
# Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. FAA-EE-84-05	2. Government Accession	on No.	3. Recipient's Catalog N	0.
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
Noise Measurement Flight	Tost for Acrosma	tiale	September 1984	
AS 350D AStar Helicopter			6. Performing Organizati	
<ol> <li>Author(s) J. Steven Newman, E Kristy R. Beattie (2), Ty</li> </ol>			B. Performing Organization	on Report No.
<ol> <li>Performing Organization Name and Addre Federal Aviation Administ</li> </ol>	ration, Office o	of Environmen	10. Work Unit No. (TRAI	5)
and Energy, Noise Abateme logy Branch, (AEE-120), 8			11. Contract or Grant No	
Washington, D.C. 20591  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Federal Aviation Administ	eration Office o	of Environmen	13. Type of Report and P	eriod Covered
and Energy, Noise Abateme	ent Division. Noi	ise Technolog	· V	
Branch, (AEE-120), 800 Ir Washington, D.C. 20591	dependence Ave.,	, S.W.	14. Spansoring Agency C	ode
(1) U.S. Department of Tr Cambridge, Mass 02142 (2) ORI, Inc., 1375 Picc				kendaii squar
This report is the fifth measurement program condu 1983. The AStar test proposition and meterological	in a series of s acted at Dulles I ogram involved th	seven documer International	nting the FAA hel Airport during	the summer of
This program was designed 1) acquisition of acousti 2) documentation of direct 3) establishment of ground relationships for helical 4) determination of noise metrics, 5) examination of the diffusion of the diff	cal data for use tivity character id-to-ground and copters, e event duration ferences between ophone mounted as e levels acquired	e in assessing istics for some air-to-ground influences on noise measure a height of	ng heliport environments and acoustical pro- on energy dose acoustical pro- ired by a surface four feet (1.2)	onmental impact of helicopters pagation oustical mounted meters), and
17. Key Words helicopter, noise, AStar environmental impact, dis noise certification stand	, heliport rectivity,	through the	ement ent is available e National Techni pringfield, VA 2	cal Informatio
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif	. / . / . /	21. No. of Pages	
174 Secoutly Constituted into report		, (of this page)	ZI. No. of Fages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassif		175	22. Price



AEROSPATIALE AS 350D ASTAR

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the following individuals and organizations who contributed to the success of the measurement program and/or the production of this report.

- The Aerospatiale Helicopter Corporation, for providing the test helicopter, the flight crew, and the test coordination assistance of Mr. John Tolfa.
- 2. The Dulles Air Traffic Control Tower Mr. Art Harrison, Chief
- The National Air and Space Administration (NASA), Rotorcraft Office, and Mr. John Ward for their support of data reduction activities.
- 4. Ms. Sharon Daboin for her support in data acquisition.
- Ms. Maryalice Locke of ORI, Inc. for her support in report production.
- Ms. Loretta Harrison for her typing and report production assistance.

The state of the s

and the state of t

The second secon

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
LIST	OF T	ABLES	iv
LIST	OF F	GURES	vi
GLOS.	SARV		100 F 2
OLOU	DILICI.		viii
1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
2.0	TEST	HELICOPTER DESCRIPTION	5
3.0	TEST	SYNOPSIS	9
	3.1	MEASUREMENT FACILITY	11
	3.2	MICROPHONE LOCATIONS	13
	3.3	FLIGHT PATH MARKERS AND GUIDANCE SYSTEMS LOCATIONS	14
4.0	TEST	PLANNING AND BACKGROUND	17
	4.1	ADVANCE BRIEFINGS AND COORDINATION	17
		TEST COMMUNICATIONS	17
		LOCAL MEDIA NOTIFICATION	19
		AMBIENT NOISE	19
5.0	DATA	ACQUISITION AND GUIDANCE SYSTEMS	21
	5.1	APPRÖACH GUIDANCE SYSTEM	21
	5.2	PHOTO ALTITUDE DETERMINATION SYSTEM	23
	5.3	COCKPIT PHOTO DATA	26
	5.4	UPPER AIR METEOROLOGICAL DATA/NWS: STERLING, VA	27
	5.5	SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA/NWS: DULLES ALRPORT	29
		NOISE MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION	31
		5.6.1 TSC MAGNETIC RECORDING SYSTEM	31
		5.6.2 FAA DIRECT READ MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	33
		5.6.3 DEPLOYMENT OF ACOUSTICAL MEASUREMENT	33
		INSTRUMENTATION	35
6.0	NOISE	DATA REDUCTION	39
	6.1	TSC MAGNETIC RECORDING DATA REDUCTION	39
	West Tes	6.1.1 AMBIENT NOISE	41
		6.1.2 SPECTRAL SHAPING	41
		6.1.3 ANALYSIS SYSTEM TIME CONSTANT	41
		6.1.4 BANDSHARING	42
		6.1.5 TONE CORRECTIONS	42
		6.1.6 OTHER METRICS	42
		6.1.7 SPECTRAL DATA/STATIC TESTS	43

					PAGE
	6.2			TA PROCESSING	45
				SITION AND TRAJECTORY	45
		6.2.2	DIRECT READ	NOISE DATA	46
7.0	TEST	SERIES	DESCRIPTION		47
8.0	DOCU	MENTARY	ANALYSES/PR	OCESSING OF TRAJECTORY AND	
	ME'	reorolo(	GICAL DATA		53
	8.1	PHOTO A	ALTITUDE/FLI	GHT PATH TRAJECTORY ANALYSES	53
	8.2	UPPER A	AIR METEOROL	OGICAL DATA	55
9.0	EXPL	ORATORY	ANALYSES AN	D DISCUSSIONS	59
	9.1			LEVELS WITH AIRSPEED FOR LEVEL	
	9.2			NS	60
	2.44	000000		ATION CHARACTERISTICS	63
	9.3			ON EFFECTS	65
	3.5			P BETWEEN SEL, AL AND Tio	65
				OF 10 dB DOUN DURATION TIME	68
				P BETWEEN SEL MINUS AL AND THE	0.0
				٧	70
	9.4			ILITY IN NOISE LEVELS FOR TWO T OVER SIMILAR PROPAGATION PATHS	73
	9.5			LEVELS WITH AIRSPEED FOR 6 AND 9	13
	F1.0.41			OPERATIONS	76
	9.6			-TO-GROUND ACOUSTICAL PROPAGATION.	80
	2.0			ATION PATH	80
				ATION PATH	81
	0.7			TION ANALYSIS/AIR-TO-GROUND	84
	9.7	ACOUST.	ICAL PROPAGA	IION ANALISIS/AIR-IO-GROUND	04
REFE	RENCE	S			90
10.0	APPE	NDICES			
	APPE	NDIX A:		CORDING ACOUSTICAL DATA AND CTORS FOR FLIGHT OPERATIONS	
	APPE	NDIX B:		ACOUSTICAL DATA AND DURATION FLIGHT OPERATIONS	
	APPE	NDIX C:	MAGNETIC RE OPERATIONS	CORDING ACOUSTICAL DATA FOR STATIC	
	APPE	NDIX D:	DIRECT READ	ACOUSTICAL DATA FOR STATIC	

APPENDIX E: COCKPIT INSTRUMENT PHOTO DATA AND OBSERVER DATA

APPENDIX F: PHOTO-ALTITUDE AND FLIGHT PATH TRAJECTORY

APPENDIX G: NWS UPPER AIR METEOROLOGICAL DATA

APPENDIX H: NWS-IAD SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA

APPENDIX I: ON-SITE METEOROLOGICAL DATA

# LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
2.1	HELICOPTER CHARACTERISTICS	6
2.2	ICAO REFERENCE PARAMETERS	7
5.1	REFERENCE HELICOPTER ALTITUDES FOR APPROACH	22
5.2	EWS SYSTEM ACCURACY	30
5.3	RUSTRAK RECORDER RANGE	31
7.1	TEST SUMMARY	49
9.1	ADVANCING BLADE TIP MACH NUMMBERS	61
9.2	DURATION (T-10) REGRESSION ON D/V	69
9.3	SEL-ALM REGRESSION ON D/V	72
9.4	COMPARISON OF NOISE VS. DIRECTIVITY ANGLES FOR TWO SOFT SURFACES: HIGE	75
9.5	COMPARISON OF NOISE VS. DIRECTIVITY ANGLES FOR TWO SOFT SURFACES: FI	75
9.6	APPROACH ADJUSTMENTS	78
9.7	DATA FROM SOFT SITES	82
9.8	EMPIRICAL PROPAGATION CONSTANTS FOR SOFT SITES	82
9.9	PROPAGATION DATA - ICAO TAKEOFF	87
9.10	PROPAGATION DATA - STANDARD TAKEOFF	87
9.11	SUMMARY TABLE OF PROPAGATION CONSTANTS FOR TWO TAKEOFF OPERATIONS	87

			PAGE
9.12	SUMMARY TABLE	FOR TAKEOFF OPERATION	87
9.13	LEVEL FLYOVER	PROPAGATION ANALYSIS - AL	88
9.14	SUMMARY TABLE	FOR LFO - AL	88
9.15	LEVEL FLYOVER	PROPAGATION - EPNL	89
9.16	SUMMARY TABLE	FOR LFO - EPNL	89

# LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
1.1	HELICOPTER NOISE SOURCES	3
3.1	FLIGHT TEST AND NOISE MEASUREMENT PERSONNEL IN ACTION	10
3.2	DULLES AIRPORT AND TEST FLIGHT TRACK	12
3.3	NOISE MEASUREMENT AND PHOTO-SITE SCHEMATIC	15
4.1	COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	18
4.2	QUAIL AND QUAIL SONG	20
5.1	POP SYSTEM	24
5.2	COCKPIT PHOTOGRAPH	27
5.3	TECHNICIANS LAUNCHING RADIOSONDE BALLOON	28
5.4	ACOUSTICAL MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION	32
5.5	ACOUSTICAL MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION	34
5.6	MICROPHONE AND ACOUSTICAL EQUIPMENT DEPLOYMENT FOR FLIGHT OPERATIONS	37
5.7	MICROPHONE AND ACOUSTICAL EQUIPMENT DEPLOYMENT FOR STATIC OPERATIONS	38
6.1	TSC LABORATORY	39
6.2	ACOUSTICAL DATA REDUCTION/INSTRUMENTATION	40
6.3	ACOUSTICAL EMISSION ANGLE CONVENTION	43
6.4	DIRECT READ DATA REDUCTION	44
7.1	HELICOPTER TAKEOFF NOISE TESTS	50
7.2	HELICOPTER APPROACH NOISE TESTS	51
7.3	HELICOPTER FLYOVER NOISE TESTS	52
8.1	TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS FOR TEMPERATURE	56
	TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS FOR RELATIVE HUMIDITY	56
	WIND SPEED VS. TIME: HEAD/TAIL WIND	58

		PAGE
8.4	WIND SPEED VS. TIME: CROSS WIND	58
9.1	LFO: SEL VS. INDICATED AIRSPEED	62
9.2	LFO: AL VS. INDICATED AIRSPEED	62
9.3	LFO: EPNL VS. INDICATED AIRSPEED	62
9.4	LFO: PNLTM VS. INDICATED AIRSPEED	62
9.5	HARD VS. SOFT PATH DIRECTIVITY: FI	63
9.6	HELICOPTER HOVER NOISE TEST	64
9.7	DURATION ANALYSIS - 500 FT. LFO	68
9.8	APPROACH OPERATION: SEL	78
9.9	APPROACH OPERATION: AL	78
9.10	TIP VORTEX INTERACTION	79

# GLOSSARY

AGL	2 1	Above ground level
AIR	<u>-</u>	Aerospace Information Report
AL	- 4	A-Weighted sound level, expressed in decibels (See ${\rm L}_{\rm A})$
ALM	-	Maximum A-weighted sound level, expressed in decibels (see $L_{\mbox{\scriptsize AM}})$
$\mathtt{AL}_{AM}$	-	As measured maximum A-weighted Sound Level
ALT	-	Aircraft altitude above the microphone location
APP	_	Approach operational mode
CLC	2	Centerline Center
CPA	9 11	Closest point of approach
d	1877	Distance
dB	·	Decibel
dBA	<b>&gt;</b>	A-Weighted sound level expressed in units of decibels (see $A_{ m L}$ )
df	343	Degree of freedom
Δ		Delta, or change in value
Δ1	2 <del>5</del> 2	Correction term obtained by correcting SPL values for atmospheric absorption and flight track deviations per FAR 36, Amendment 9, Appendix A, Section A36.11, Paragraph d
Δ2	-	Correction term accounting for changes in event duration with deviations from the reference flight path
DUR(A)	_	"10 dB-Down" duration of LA time history
EPNL	-	Effective perceived noise level (symbol is LEPN)
EV	-	Event, test run number

FAA	T	Federal Aviation Administration
FAR	2	Federal Aviation Regulation
FAR-36	IT (	Federal Aviation Regulation, Part 36
GLR	-	Graphic level recorder
HIGE	. 20 11	Hover-in-ground effect
HOGE		Hover-out-of-ground effect
IAS		Indicated airspeed
ICAO		International Civil Aviation Organization
IRIG-B		Inter-Range Instrumentation Group B (established technical time code standard)
K(DUR)	<del>-</del> 2	The constant used to correct SEL for distance and velocity duration effects in $\Delta 2$
KIAS	-	Knots Indicated Air Speed
K(P)	-	Propagation constant describing the change in noise level with distance
K(S)	-	Propagation constant describing the change in SEL with distance
Kts	-	Knots
LA	The suppose	A-Weighted sound level, expressed in decibels
Leq		Equivalent sound level
LFO	-	Level Flyover operational mode
$M_{\mathbf{A}}$	_	Advancing blade tip Mach Number
$M_R$	_	Rotational Mach Number
$M_{\mathrm{T}}$	2	Translational Mach Number
N	-	Sample Size
NWS	=:	National Weather Service
$oaspl_{\mathtt{M}}$	- :	Maximum overall sound pressure level in decibels
PISLM	94	Precision integrating sound level meter
PNLM	_	Maximum perceived noise level

$PNLT_{M}$	= = 0	Maximum tone corrected perceived noise level
POP		Photo overhead positioning system
Q	-	Time history "shape factor"
RH	= ==	Relative Humidity in percent
RPM	-	Revolutions per minute
SAE	-	Society of Automotive Engineers
SEL		Sound exposure level expressed in decibels. The integration of the AL time history, normalized to one second (symbol is $L_{\mbox{\scriptsize AE}}$ )
SELAM	h- 944	As measured sound exposure level
SEL-AL <sub>M</sub>	-	Duration correction factor
SHP	-	Shaft horse power
SLR	=	Single lens reflex (35 mm camera)
SPL	- 0 = 0	Sound pressure level
T	-	Ten dB down duration time
TC	120	Tone correction calcualted at PNLT <sub>M</sub>
T/O	inst	Takeoff
TSC	-okay t	Department of Transportation, Transportation Systems Center
v	#1	Velocity
VASI	21	Visual Approach Slope Indicator
$v_{\rm H}$		Maximum speed in level flight with maximum continuous power
$v_{\rm NE}$	=	Never-exceed speed
Vy	<del>,</del> .	Velocity for best rate of climb

1.0 <u>Introduction</u> - This report documents the results of a Federal
Aviation Administration (FAA) noise measurement/flight test program
involving the Aerospatiale AStar helicopter. The report contains documentary sections describing the acoustical characteristics of the subject helicopter and provides analyses and discussions addressing topics ranging from acoustical propagation to environmental impact of helicopter noise.

This report is the fifth in a series of seven documenting the FAA helicopter noise measurement program conducted at Dulles International Airport during the summer of 1983.

The AStar test program was conducted by the FAA in cooperation with

Aerospatiale Helicopter Corporation and a number of supporting Federal

agencies. The rigorously controlled tests involved the acquisition of


detailed acoustical, position and meteorological data.

This test program was designed to address a series of objectives including: 1) acquisition of acoustical data for use in heliport environmental impact analyses, 2) documentation of directivity characteristics for static operation of helicopters, (3) establishment of ground-to-ground and air-to-ground acoustical propagation relationships for helicopters, 4) determination of noise event duration influences on energy dose acoustical metrics, 5) examination of the differences between noise measured by a surface mounted microphone and a microphone mounted at a height of four feet (1.2 meters), and 6) documentation of noise levels acquired using international helicopter noise certification test procedures.

The helicopter is a complex acoustical source generating noise from many different origins. Figure 1.1 provides a diagram identifying some of these sources. Two other noise generating mechanisms associated with forward flight effects (both producing impulsive noise) are blade vortex interaction (see Figure 9.14) and high advancing tip Mach Numbers. These figures are provided for the reader's reference.

The appendices to this document provide a reference set of acoustical data for the AStar helicopter operating in a variety of typical flight regimes. The first seven chapters contain the introduction and description of the helicopter, test procedures and test equipment. Chapter 8 describes analyses of flight trajectories and meteorological data and is documentary in nature. Chapter 9 delves into the areas of acoustical propagation, helicopter directivity for static operations, and variability in measured acoustical data over various propagation surfaces. The analyses of Chapter 9 in some cases succeed in establishing relationships characterizing the acoustic nature of the subject helicopter, while in other instances the results are too variant and anomalous to draw any firm conclusions. In any event, all of the analyses provide useful insight to people working in the field of helicopter environmental acoustics, either in providing a tool or by identifying areas which need the illumination of further research efforts.

# Helicopter Noise Sources



ALA SENERO STORY IS SENTENCED

# TEST HELICOPTER DESCRIPTION

2.0 Test Helicopter Description - The AS 350D AStar is a light, general purpose helicopter marketed and supported by Aerospatiale Helicopter Corporation of Grand Prairie, Texas. A special feature of the AStar is Aerospatiale's "Starflex" main rotor hub, which is made of composite materials. The aircraft was designed with the idea of keeping operating and maintenance costs low as well as the noise and vibration levels. It was certificated by the FAA in December of 1977. The helicopter provides room for a pilot, copilot, and three to four passengers; there is also 35 cubic feet of baggage space. An optional ambulance layout is available.

Selected operational characteristics, obtained from the helicopter manufacturer, are presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.2 presents a summary of the flight operational reference parameters determined using the procedures specified in the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) noise certification testing requirements. Presented along with the operational parameters are the altitudes that one would expect the helicopter to attain (referred to the ICAO reference test sites). This information is provided so that the reader may implement an ICAO type data correction using the "As Measured" data contained in this report. This report does not undertake such a correction, leaving it as the topic of a subsequent report.

# TABLE 2.1

# HELICOPTER CHARACTERISTICS

HELICOPTER MANUFACTURER	: Aerospatiale			
HELICOPTER MODEL	:_AS 350D AStar			
HELICOPTER TYPE	: Single Rotor	To an Illian of		
TEST HELICOPTER N-NUMBER	: 57 868	E PELL MINES		
MAXIMUM GROSS TAKEOFF WEIGHT	: 4300 lbs (1951 kg)	E PET E MEET PA		
NUMBER AND TYPE OF ENGINE(S)	: 1 Lycoming LTS 101-6	500A2		
SHAFT HORSE POWER (PER ENGINE)	:_615 hp	STREET BEAUTION		
MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER	: 590 hp			
SPECIFIC FUEL CONSUMPTION AT MAXIMUM POWER (LB/HR/HP)	:573 lb/hr/hp			
NEVER EXCEED SPEED (V <sub>NE</sub> )	:_ 169 mph (147 kts)			
MAX SPEED IN LEVEL FLIGHT WITH MAX CONTINUOUS POWER (VH)	: 145 mph (126 kts)	Sol preminente		
SPEED FOR BEST RATE OF CLIMB (Vy)	: 63 mph (55 kts)			
BEST RATE OF CLIMB	: 1750 fpm	ates di alum		
MAIN AND TAIL	ROTOR SPECIFICATIONS			
	MAIN	TAIL		
ROTOR SPEED (maximum)	: 386 rpm	2043 rpm		
DIAMETER	:_421.2 in.	73.2 in.		
CHORD	: 11.8 in.	7.28 in.		
NUMBER OF BLADES	: 3	2		
PERIPHERAL VELOCITY	: 709 fps	653 fps		
DISK LOADING	: 4.47 lb/ft <sup>2</sup>			
FUNDAMENTAL BLADE PASSAGE FREQUENCY	: 19 hz	68 hz		
ROTATIONAL TIP MACH NUMBER (77°F)	:6243	.5750		

#### TABLE 2.2

# ICAO REFERENCE PARAMETERS

	TAKEOFF	APPROACH	LEVEL FLYOVER
AIRSPEED (KTS)	:_55	55	113
RATE OF CLIMB/DESCENT (fpm)	:1750	583	NA
CLIMB/DESCENT ANGLE (DEGREES)	:18.3°_	60	NA
ALTITUDE/CPA (FEET)			
SITE 5	: 445.64/423	342/340	492
SITE 1	: 608/578	394/392	_492
SITE 4	:_771/732	446/443	492
SLANT RANGE (FEET) TO			
SITE 2	: 782	630	696
SITE 3	:_782	630	696

### NOTE

A preliminary comparison of noise levels (for the ICAO noise certification flight regimes) has been made by engineers from Aerospatiale Helicopters using results from previous tests in France and data presented in this report. The Aerospatiale engineers cite generally good agreement, showing the uncorrected data in this report as 1.2 EPNdB higher than French results for level flyover, 1.1 EPNdB 1 ower for approach, and 0.3 EPNdB 1 ower for takeoff operations. In the process of imlementing the full ICAO correction procedure, (in a subsequent report) a more thorough comparison will be made.

At the present time, a Helicopter Noise Measurement Repeatability Program is being cnducted by The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). This program involves eight to ten different national measurement teams conducting noise tests on the same helicopter model, a Bell 206-L3. In the process of analyzing results of that program, a compendium of other comparative helicopter noise measurements will also be developed. In that context, the results reported in this document will be compared in detail with other detailed results.

## 

# TEST SYNOPSIS

- 3.0 <u>Test Synopsis</u> Below is a listing of pertinent details pertaining to the execution of the helicopter tests.
- Test Sponsor, Program Management, and Data Analysis: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Environment and Energy, Noise Abatement Division, Noise Technology Branch (AEE-120).
- Test Helicopter: AS 350D AStar, provided by Aerospatiale Helicopter Corporation
  - 3. Test Date: Wednesday, June 8, 1983.
- 4. Test Location: Dulles International Airport, Runway 30 over-run area.
- Noise Data Measurement (recording), processing and analysis:
   Department of Transportation (DOT), Transportation Systems Center (TSC),
   Noise Measurement and Assessment Facility.
- Noise Data Measurement (direct-read), processing and analysis:
   FAA, Noise Technology Branch (AEE-120).
- 7. Cockpit instrument photo documentation; photo-altitude determination system; documentary photographs: Department of Transportation, Photographic Services Laboratory.
- 8. Meteorological Data (fifteen minute observations): National Weather Service Office, Dulles International Airport.
- Meteorological Data (radiosonde/rawinsonde weather balloon launches): National Weather Service Upper Air Station, Sterling Park, Virginia.

# FIGURE 3.1 Flight Test and Noise Measurement Personnel In Action





















- 10. Meteorological Data (on site observations): DOT-TSC.
- 11. Flight Path Guidance (portable visual approach slope indicator (VASI) and theodolite/verbal course corrections): FAA Technical Center, ACT-310.
- Air Traffic Control: Dulles International Airport Air Traffic
   Control Tower.
- 13. Test site preparation; surveying, clearing underbrush, connecting electrical power, providing markers, painting signs, and other physical arrangements: Dulles International Airport Grounds and Maintenance, and Airways Facilities personnel.

Figure 3.1 is a photo collage of flight test and measurement personnel performing their tasks.

3.1 Measurement Facility - The noise measurement testing area was located adjacent to the approach end of Runway 12 at Dulles International Airport. (The approach end of Runway 12 is synonymous with Runway 30 over-run area.) The low ambient noise level, the availability of emergency equipment, and the security of the area all made this location desirable. Figure 3.2 provides a photograph of the Dulles terminal and of the test area.

The test area adjacent to the runway was nominally flat with a ground cover of short, clipped grass, approximately 1800 feet by 2200 feet, and bordered on north, south, and west by woods. There was minimum interference from the commercial and general aviation activity at the airport since Runway 12/30 was closed to normal traffic during the tests.



The Terminal and Air Traffic Control Tower at Dulles International Airport



Approach to Runway 12 at Dulles Noise Measurement Site for 1983 Helicopter Tests

The runways used for normal traffic, 1L and 1R, were approximately 2 and 3 miles east, respectively, of the test site.

The flight track centerline was located parallel to Runway 12/30 centered between the runway and the taxiway. The helicopter hover point for the static operations was located on the southwest corner of the approach end of Runway 12. Eight noise measurement sites were established in the grassy area adjacent to the Runway 12 approach ground track.

- 3.2 <u>Microphone Locations</u> There were eight separate microphone sites located within the testing area, making up two measurement arrays. One array was used for the flight operations, the other for the static operations. A schematic of the test area is shown in Figure 3.3.
- A. Flight Operations The microphone array for flight operations consisted of two sideline sites, numbered 2 and 3 in Figure 3.3, and three centerline sites, numbered 5, 1, and 4, located directly below the flight path of the helicopter. Since site number 3, the north sideline site, was located in a lightly wooded area, it was offset 46 feet to the west to provide sufficient clearance from surrounding trees and bushes.
- B. Static Operations The microphone array for static operations consisted of sites 7H, 5H, 1H, 2, and 4H. These sites were situated around the helicopter hover point which was located on the southwest corner of the approach end of Runway 12. These site locations allowed for both hard and soft ground-to-ground propagation paths.

3.3 Flight Path Markers and Guidance System Locations - Visual cues in the form of squares of plywood painted bright yellow with a black "X" in the center were provided to define the takeoff rotation point. This point was located 1640 feet (500 m) from centerline center (CLC) microphone location. Four portable, battery-powered spotlights were deployed at various locations to assist pilots in maintaining the array centerline. To provide visual guidance during the approach portion of the test, a standard visual approach slope indicator (VASI) system was used. In addition to the visual guidance, the VASI crew also provided verbal guidance with the aid of a theodolite. Both methods assisted the helicopter pilot in adhering to the microphone array centerline and in maintaining the proper approach path. The locations of the VASI from CLC are shown in the following table.


Approach Angle (degrees)		
12		
.9	2456	
6	3701	
3	7423	

Each of these locations provided a glidepath which crossed over the centerline center microphone location at an altitude of 394 feet.

This test program involved approach operations utilizing 6 and 9 degree glide slopes.

FIGURE 3.3

Noise Measurement and Photo Site Schematic



NOTES: Broken Line Indicates not to Scale.

Metric Measurements to
Nearest Meter.

15


# TEST PLANNING AND BACKGROUND

- 4.0 Test Planning/Background Activities This section provides a brief discussion of important administrative and test planning activities.
- 4.1 Test Program Advance Briefings and Coordination A pre-test briefing was conducted approximately one month prior to the test. The meeting was attended by all pilots participating in the test, along with FAA program managers, manufacturer test coordinators, and other key test participants from the Dulles Airport community. During this meeting, the airspace safety and communications protocol were rigorously defined and at the same time test participants were able to iron out logistical and procedural details. On the morning of the test, a final brief meeting was convened on the flight line to review safety rules and coordinate last-minute changes in the test schedule
- 4.2 <u>Communications Network</u> During the helicopter noise measurement test, an elaborate communications network was utilized to manage the various systems and crews. This network was headed by a central group which coordinated the testing using three two-way radio systems, designated as Radios 1-3.

Radio 1 was a walkie talkie system operating on 169.275 MHz, providing communications between the VASI, National Weather Service, FAA Acoustic Measurement crew, the TSC acoustic team coordinator, and the noise test coordinating team.

Radio 2 was a second walkie talkie system operating on 170.40 MHz, providing communications between the TSC acoustic team coordinator and the TSC acoustic measurement teams.

Helicopter Noise Test Communication Network Schematic FIGURE 4.1



Radio 3, a multi-channel transceiver, was used as both an air-to-ground and ground-to-ground communications system. In air-to-ground mode it provided communications between VASI, helicopter flight crews, and noise test control on 123.175 MHz. In ground-to-ground mode it provided communications between the air traffic control tower (121.9 MHz), Page Avjet (the fuel source; 122.95 MHz), and noise test control. A schematic of this network is shown in Figure 4.1.

- 4.3 Local Media Notification Noise test program managers working through the FAA Office of Public Affairs released an article to the local media explaining that helicopter noise tests were to be conducted at Dulles Airport on June 8, the test day commencing around dawn and extending through midday. The article described general test objectives, flight paths, and rationale behind the very early morning start time (low wind requirements). In the case of a farm located very close to the airport, a member of the program management team personally visited the residents and explained what was going to be involved in the test. As a consequence of these efforts (it is assumed), there were very few complaints about the test program.
- 4.4 Ambient Noise One of the reasons that the Dulles Runway 30 over-run area was selected as the test site was the low ambient noise level in the area. Typically one observed an A-Weighted LEQ on the order of 45 dB, with dominant transient noise sources primarily from the avian and insect families. The primary offender was the Collinus Virginianus, commonly known as the bobwhite, quail, or partridge. The infrequent intrusive


sound pressure levels were on the order of 55 dB centered in the 2000 Hz one-third octave band. A drawing of the noisy offender and a narrow band analysis of the song may be found in figure 4.2.

As an additional measure for safety and for lessening ambient noise, a Notice to Airmen or NOTAM was issued advising aircraft of the noise test, and indicating that Runway 12/30 was closed for the duration of the test.



FIGURE 4.2

1.5 Sec. Avg.



# DATA ACQUISITION AND GUIDANCE SYSTEMS

- 5.0 Data Acquisition and Guidance Systems This section provides a detailed description of the test program data acquisition systems, with special attention given to documenting the operational accuracy of each system. In addition, discussion is provided (as needed) of field experiences which might be of help to others engaged in controlled helicopter noise measurements. In each case, the location of a given measurement system is described relative to the helicopter flight path.
- 5.1 Approach Guidance System Approach guidance was provided to the pilot by means of a visual approach slope indicator (VASI) and through verbal commands from an observer using a ballon-tracking theodolite. (A picture of the theodolite is included in Figure 3.1, in Section 3.0.) The VASI and theodolite were positioned at the point where the approach path intercepted the ground.

The VASI system used in the test was a 3-light arrangement giving vertical displacement information within ±0.5 degrees of the reference approach slope. The pilot observed a green light if the helicopter was within 0.5 degrees of the approach slope, red if below the approach slope, white if above. The VASI was adjusted and repositioned to provide a variety of approach angles. A picture of the VASI is included in Figure 3.1.

The theodolite system, used in conjunction with the VASI, also provided accurate approach guidance to the pilot. A brief time lag existed between the instant the theodolite observor perceived deviation, transmitted a

command, and the pilot made the correction; however, the theodolite crew was generally able to alert the pilot of approach path deviations (slope and lateral displacement) before the helicopter exceeded the limits of the one degree green light of the VASI. Thus, the helicopter only occasionally and temporarily deviated more than 0.5 degrees from the reference approach path.

Approach paths of 6 and 9 degrees were used during the test program.

Table 5.1 summarizes the VASI beam width at each measurement location for a variety of the approach angles used in this test.

TABLE 5.1

REFERENCE HELICOPTER ALTITUDES FOR APPROACH TESTS
(all distances expressed in feet)

	MICROPHONE	MICROPHONE	MICROPHONE
	NO. 4	NO. 1	NO. 5
APPROACH ANGLE = 3°	A = 8010 B = 420 C = +70	A = 7518 B = 394 C = +66	A = 7026 B = 368 C = +62
6°	A = 4241	A = 3749	A = 3257
	B = 446	B = 394	B = 342
	C = ±37	C = +33	C = +29
9°	A = 2980	A = 2488	A = 1362
	B = 472	B = 394	B = 316
	C = +27	C = +22	C = ±18

A = distance from VASI to microphone location

B = reference helicopter altitude

C = boundary of the l degree VASI glide slope "beam width".

Photo Altitude Determination Systems - The helicopter altitude over a given microphone was determined by the photographic technique described in the Society of Automotive Engineers report AIR-902 (ref. 1). This technique involves photographing an aircraft during a flyover event and proportionally scaling the resulting image with the known dimensions of the aircraft. The camera is initially calibrated by photographing a test object of known size and distance. Measuring the resulting image enables calculation of the effective focal length from the proportional relationship:


(image length)/(object length) = (effective focal length)/(object distance)

This relationship is used to calculate the slant distance from microphone to aircraft. Effective focal length is determined during camera calibration, object length is determined from the physical dimensions of the aircraft (typically the rotor diameter or fuselage) and the image size is measured on the photograph. These measurements lead to the calculation of object distance, or the slant distance from camera or microphone to aircraft. The concept applies similarly to measuring an image on a print, or measuring a projected image from a slide.

The SAE AIR-902 technique was implemented during the 1983 helicopter tests with three 35mm single lens reflex (SLR) cameras using slide film. A camera was positioned 100 feet from each of the centerline microphone locations. Lenses with different focal lengths, each individually calibrated, were used in photographing helicopters at differing altitudes in order to more fully "fill the frame" and reduce image measurement error.

### Figure 5.1

### Photo Overhead Positioning System (Pop System)



Artist's Drawing of the Photo Overhead Positioning System (Figure is not to scale.)



Photographer using the POP system to photograph the helicopter.





Photographs of the AS 350D AStar, as taken by the photographer using the POP system.

The photoscaling technique assumes the aircraft is photographed directly overhead. Although SAE AIR-902 does present equations to account for deviations caused by photographing too soon or late, or by the aircraft deviating from the centerline, these corrections are not required when deviations are small. Typically, most of the deviations were acoustically insignificant. Consequently, corrections were not required for any of the 1983 test photos.

The photographer was aided in estimating when the helicopter was directly overhead by means of a photo-overhead positioning system (POPS) as illustrated in the figure and pictures in Figure 5.1 The POP system consisted of two parallel (to the ground) wires in a vertical plane orthogonal to the flight path. The photographer, lying beneath the POP system, initially positioned the camera to coincide with the vertical plane of the two guide wires. The photographer tracked the approaching helicopter in the viewfinder and tripped the shutter when the helicopter crossed the superimposed wires. This process of tracking the helicopter also minimized image blurring and the consequent elongation of the image of the fuselage.

A scale graduated in 1/32-inch increments was used to measure the projected image. This scaling resolution translated to an error in altitude of less than one percent. A potential error lies in the scaler's interpretation of the edge of the image. In an effort to quantify this error, a test group of ten individuals measured a selection of the fuzziest photographs from the helciopter tests. The resulting statistics revealed that 2/3 of the participants were within two percent of the mean altitude. SAE

AIR-902 indicates that the overall photoscaling technique, under even the most extreme conditions, rarely produces error exceeding 12 percent, which is equivalent to a maximum of 1 dB error in corrected sound level data. Actual accuracy varies from photo to photo; however, by using skilled photographers and exercising reasonable care in the measurements, the accuracy is good enough to ignore the resulting small error in altitude.

Tests were recently conducted in West Germany which compared this camera method with the more elaborate Kinotheodolite tracking method to discover which was best for determining overflight height and overground speed.

Both methods were found to be reasonably accurate; thus, the simpler camera method remains appropriate for most test purposes (ref. 2).

5.3 Cockpit Photo Data - During each flight operation of the test program, cockpit instrument panel photographs were taken with a 35mm SLR camera, with an 85mm lens, and high speed slide film. These pictures served as verification of the helicopter's speed, altitude, and torque at a particular point during a test event. The photos were intended to be taken when the aircraft was directly over the centerline-center microphone site #1 (see Figure 3.3). Although the photos were not always taken at precisely that point, the pictures do represent a typical moment during the test event. The word typical is important because the snapshot freezes instrument readings at one moment in time, while actually the readings are constantly changing by a small amount because of instrument fluctuation and pilot input. Thus, fluctuations above or below reference conditions are to be anticipated. A reproduction of a typical cockpit photo is shown in Figure 5.2. When slides were projected onto a screen,

it was possible to read and record the instrument readings with reasonable accuracy. This data acquisition system was augmented by the presence of an experienced cockpit obersver who provided additional documentation of operational para-eters.

For future tests, the use of a video tape system is being considered to acquire a continuous record of cockpit parameters during each data run.

Preliminary FAA studies (April 1984) indicate that this technique can be successful using off the shelf equipment.



### FIGURE 5.2

5.4 Upper Air Meteorological Data Acquisition/NWS: Sterling, VA - The
National Weather Service (NWS) at Sterling, Virginia provided upper air
meteorological data obtained from balloon-borne radiosondes. These data
consisted of pressure, temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, and

speed at 100' intervals from ground level through the highest test altitude. The balloons were launched approximately 2 miles north of the measurement array. To slow the ascent rate of the balloon, an inverted parachute was attached to the end of the flight train. The VIZ Accu-Lok (manufacturer) radiosonde employed in these tests consisted of sensors which sampled the ambient temperature, relative humidity, and pressure of the air. Each radiosonde was individually calibrated by the manufacturer. The sensors were coupled to a radio transmitter which emitted an RF signal of 1680 MHz sequentially pulse-modulated at rates corresponding to the values of sampled meteorological parameters. These signals were received by the ground-based tracking system and converted into a continuous trace on a strip chart recorder. The levels were then extracted manually and entered into a minicomputer where calculations were performed. Wind speed and direction were determined from changes in position and direction of the "flight train" as detected by the radiosonde tracking system. Figure 5.3 shows technicians preparing to launch a radiosonde.

### FIGURE 5.3



The manufacturer's specifications for accuracy are:

Pressure =  $\pm 4$  mb up to 250 mb

Temperature =  $\pm 0.5$ °C, over a range of  $\pm 30$ °C to  $\pm 30$ °C

Humidity =  $\pm 5\%$  over a range of  $\pm 25$ °C to  $\pm 5$ °C

The National Weather Service has determined the "operational accuracy" of a radiosonde (as documented in an unpublished report entitled "Standard for Weather Bureau Field Programs", 1-1-67) to be as follows:

Pressure =  $\pm 2$  mb, over a range of 1050 - 5 mb Temperature =  $\pm 1$ °C, over a range of  $\pm 50$ °C to  $\pm 70$ °C Humidity =  $\pm 5\%$  over a range of  $\pm 40$ °C to  $\pm 40$ °C

The temperature and pressure data are considered accurate enough for general documentary purposes. The relative humidity data are the least reliable. The radiosonde reports lower than actual humidities when the air is near saturation. These inaccuracies are attributable to the slow response time of the humidity sensor to sudden changes. (Ref. 3).

For future testing, the use of a SODAR (acoustical sounding) system is being considered. The SODAR is a measurement system capable of defining the micro-wind structure, making the influences of wind speed, direction and gradient easier to identify and to assess in real time (Ref. 4).

5.5 Surface Meteorological Data Acquisition/NWS: Dulles Airport - The
National Weather Service Station at Dulles provided temperature,
windspeed, and wind direction on the test day. Readings were noted every
15 minutes. These data are presented in Appendix H. The temperature
transducers were located approximately 2.5 miles east of the test site at

a height of 6 feet (1.8 m) above the ground, the wind instruments were at a height of 30 feet (10 m) above ground level. The dry bulb thermometer and dew point transducer were contained in the Bristol (manufacturer) HO-61 system operating with <u>+</u> one degree accuracy. The windspeed and direction were measured with the Electric Speed Indicator (manufacturer) F420C System, operating with an accuracy of 1 knot and <u>+</u>5°.

On-site meterological data were also obtained by TSC personnel using a Climatronics (manufacturer) model EWS weather system. The anemometer and temperature sensor were located 10 feet above ground level at noise site 4. These data are presented in Appendix I. The following table:

(Table 5.2) identifies the accuracy of the individual components of the EWS system.

TABLE 5.2

Sensor	Accuracy	Range	Time	Constant
Windspeed	+.025 mph or 1.5%	0-100 mph	5	sec
Wind Direction	<u>+</u> 1.5%	0-360°	15	sec
Relative Humidity	+2% 0-100% RH	0-100% RH	10	sec
Temperature	<u>+</u> 1.0°F	-40 to +120°F	10	sec


After "detection" (sensing), the meteorological data are recorded on a Rustrak (manufacturer) paperchart recorder. The following table (Table 5.3) identifies the range and resolutions associated with the recording of each parameter.

TABLE 5.3

Sensor Windspeed	Range 0-25 TSC mod 0-50 mph	Chart Resolution +0.5 mph
Wind Direction		<u>+</u> 5°
Relative Humidity	0-100% RH	<u>+</u> 2% RH
Temperature	-40° to 120°F	<u>+1</u> °F

- 5.6.0 Noise Data Acquisition Sytems/System Deployment This section provides a detailed description of the acoustical measurement systems employed in the test program along with the deployment plan utilized in each phase of testing.
- deployed Nagra two-channel direct-mode tape recorders. Noise data were recorded with essentially flat frequency response on one channel. The same input data were weighted and amplified using a high frequency pre-emphasis filter and were recorded on the second channel. The pre-emphasis network rolled off those frequencies below 10,000 Hz at 20 dB per decade. The use of pre-emphasis was necessary in order to boost the high frequency portion of the acoustical signal (such as a helicopter spectrum) characterized by large level differences (30 to 60 dB) between the high and low frequencies. Recording gains were adjusted so that the best possible signal-to-noise ratio would be achieved while allowing enough "head room" to comply with applicable distortion avoidance requirements.

Acoustical Measurement Instrumentation




IRIG-B time code synchronized with the tracking time base was recorded on the cue channel of each system. The typical measurement system consisted of a General Radio 1/2 inch electret microphone oriented for grazing incidence driving a General Radio P-42 preamp and mounted at a height of four feet (1.2 meters). A 100-foot (30.5 meters) cable was used between the tripod and the instrumentation vehicle located at the perimeter of the test circle. A schematic of the acoustical instrumentation is shown in Figure 5.4.

Figure 5.4 also shows the cutaway windscreen mounting for the ground microphone. This configuration places the lower edge of the microphone diaphram approximately one-half inch from the plywood (4 ft by 4 ft) surface. The ground microphone was located off center in order to avoid natural mode resonant vibration of the plywood square.

5.6.2 <u>FAA Direct Read Measurement Systems</u> - In addition to the recording systems deployed by TSC, four direct read, Type-1 noise measurement systems were deployed at selected sites. Each noise measurement site consisted of an identical microphone-preamplifier system comprised of a General Radio 1/2-inch electret microphone (1962-9610) driving a General Radio P-42 preamplifier mounted 4 feet (1.2m) above the ground and oriented for grazing incidence. Each microphone was covered with a 3-inch windscreen.

FIGURE 5.5
Acoustical Measurement Instrumentation



Direct Read Noise Measurement System

Three of the direct read systems utilized a 100-foot cable connecting the microphone system with a General Radio 1988 Precision Integrating Sound Level Meter (PISLM). In each case, the slow response A-weighted sound level was output to a graphic level recorder (GLR). The GLRs operated at a paper transport speed of 5 centimeters per minute (300 cm/hr). These systems collected single event data consisting of maximum A-weighted Sound Level (AL), Sound Exposure Level (SEL), integration time (T), and equivalent sound level (LEQ).

The fourth microphone system was connected to a General Radio 1981B Sound Level Meter. This meter, used at site 7H for static operations only, provided A-weighted Sound Level values which were processed using a micro sampling technique to determine LEQ.

All instruments were calibrated at the beginning and end of each test day and approximately every hour in between. A schematic drawing of the basic direct read system is shown in Figure 5.5.

5.6.3 <u>Deployment of Acoustical Measurement Instrumentation</u> - This section describes the deployment of the magnetic tape recording and direct read noise measurement systems.

During the testing, TSC deployed six magnetic tape recording systems.


During the flight operations, four of these recording system were located at the three centerline sites: one system at site 4, one at site 5, and two at centerline center with the microphone of one of those systems at 4

feet above ground, the microphone of the other at ground level. The two remaining recording systems were located at the two sidelines sites. The FAA deployed three direct read systems at the three centerline sites during the flight operations. Figure 5.6 provides a schematic drawing of the equipment deployment for the flight operations.

In the case of static operations, only four of the six recorder systems were used. The recorder system with the 4-foot microphone at site 1 moved to site 1H. The recorders at sites 4 and 5 moved to 4H and 5H respectively. The recorder at site 2, the south sideline site, was also used. The three direct read systems were moved from the centerline sites to sites 5H, 2, and 4H. The fourth direct read system was employed at site 7H. Figure 5.7 provides a schematic diagram of the equipment deployment for the static operations.


FIGURE 5.6
Microphone and Acoustical Measurement
Instrument Deployment
Flight Operations

3 © •



 1988 Sound Level Meter with Graphic Level Recorder


FIGURE 5.7
Microphone and Acoustical Measurement
Instrument Deployment
Static Operations



### **ACOUSTICAL DATA REDUCTION**

- 6.0 Acoustical Data Reduction This section describes the treatment of tape recorded and direct read acoustical data from the point of acquisition to point of entry into the data tables shown in the appendices of this document.
- recordings analyzed at the TSC facility in Cambridge, Massachusetts were fed into magnetic disc storage after filtering and digitizing using the GenRad 1921 one-third octave real-time analyzer. Figure 6.1 is a picture of the TSC facility; Figure 6.2 provides a flow chart of the date collection, reduction and output process accomplished by TSC personnel. Recording system frequency response adjustments were applied, assuring overall linearity of the recording and reduction system. The stored 24, one-third octave sound pressure levels (SPLs) for contiguous one-half second integration periods making up each event comprise the base of "raw data." Data reduction followed the basic procedures defined in Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 36 (Ref. 3). The following sections describe the steps involved in arriving at final sound level values.

### FIGURE 6.1



700 Hz-11.2 KHz Gen Rad 1925 Data General 1/3 Octave Hard Disk Filter Set Ithaco 451 Amplifier Decade Bands 29-40 Acoustical Data Reduction/Instrumentation Dasher Printer Band Pass Filter 630 Hz-20 KHz Data General Multi Channel Gen Rad 1926 Data General Gen Rad 1952 Computer Detector\* Nova 2 6194 Bands 14-40 22 Hz-11.2 KHz Gen Rad 1925 Data General 1/3 Octave De-Emphasis Floppy Disk Filter Set Lo-Pass 6030 Filter Coincidence Ckt. Remote Start CH 1 CH2 Instrumentation Graphic Level Recorder Tape Recorder Time Code Gen Rad 1523 Nagra IVSS Datum 9300 Time Code Reader CUE CH 1 1/2 Second Linear Bands 14-40 CH1; Bands 29-40 CH2 Loud Simultaneous Microphone Integration Detection

FIGURE 6.2

- 6.1.1 Ambient Noise The ambient noise is considered to consist of both the acoustical background noise and the electrical noise of the measurement system. For each event, the ambient level was taken as the five to ten-second time averaged one-third octave band taken immediately prior to the event. The ambient noise was used to correct the measured raw spectral data by subtracting the ambient level from the measured noise levels on an energy basis. This subtraction yielded the corrected noise level of the aircraft. The following execptions are noted:
- 1. At one-third octave frequencies of 630 Hz and below, if the measured level was within 3 dB of the ambient level, the measured level was corrected by being set equal to the ambient. If the measured level was less than the ambient level, the measured level was not corrected.
- 2. At one-third octave frequencies above 630 Hz, if the measured level was within 3 dB or less of the ambient, the level was identified as "masked."
- 6.1.2 Spectral Shaping The raw spectral data, corrected for ambient noise, were adjusted by sloping the spectrum shape at -2 dB per one-third octave for those bands (above 1.25 kHz) where the signal to noise ratio was less than 3 dB, i.e., "masked" bands. This procedure was applied in cases involving no more than 9 "masked" one-third octave bands. The shaping of the spectrum over this 9-band range was conducted to minimize EPNL data loss. This spectral shaping methodology deviates from FAR-36 procedures in that the extrapolation includes four more bands than normally allowed.
- 6.1.3 Analysis System Time Constant/Slow Response The corrected raw spectral data (contiguous linear 1/2 second records of data) were

processed using a sliding window or weighted running logarithmic averaging procedure to achieve the "slow" dynamic response equivalent to the "slow response" characteristic of sound level meters as required under the provisions of FAR-36. The following relationship using four consecutive data records was used:

 $L_i$  = 10 Log [0.13(10.0.1 $L_i$ -3)+0.21(10.0.1 $L_i$ -2)+0.27(10.0.1 $L_i$ -1)+0.39(10.0.1 $L_i$ )] where  $L_i$  is the one-third octave band sound pressure level for the ith one-half second record number.

- 6.1.4 Bandsharing of Tones All calculations of PNLTM included testing for the presence of band sharing and adjustment in accordance with the procedures defined in FAR-36, Appendix B, Section B 36.2.3.3, (Ref. 6).
- 6.1.5 Tone Corrections Tone corrections were computed using the helicopter acoustical spectrum from 24 Hz to 11,200 Hz, (bands 14 through 40). Tone correction values were computed for bands 17 through 40, the same set of bands used in computing the EPNL and PNLT. The initiation of the tone correction procedure at a lower frequency reflects recognition of the strong low frequency tonal content of helicopter noise. This procedure is in accordance with the requirements of ICAO Annex 16, Appendix 4, paragraph 4.3. (Ref. 7)
- 6.1.6 Other Metrics In addition to the EPNL/PNLT family of metrics and the SEL/AL family, the overall sound pressure level and 10-dB down duration times are presented as part of the "As Measured" data set in Appendix A. Two factors relating to the event time history (distance duration and speed corrections, discussed in a later section) are also presented.

6.1.7 Spectral Data/Static Tests - In the case of static operations, thirty-two seconds of corrected raw spectral data (64 contiguous 1/2 second data records) were energy averaged to produce the data tabulated in Appendix C. The spectral data presented is "as measured" at the emission angles shown in Figure 6.3, established relative to each microphone location. Also included in the tables are the 360 degree (eight emission angles) average levels, calculated by both arithmetic and energy averaging.

Note that "masked" levels (see Section 6.1.1) are replaced in the tables of Appendix C with a dash (-). The indexes shown, however, were calculated with a shaped spectra as per Section 6.1.2.

FIGURE 6.3

### Acoustical Emission Angle Convention





FIGURE 6.4

Direct Read Data Reduction



6.2 FAA Direct Read Data Reduction - Figure 6.4 provides a flow diagram of the data collection, reduction and output process effected by FAA personnel. FAA direct read data was reduced using the Apple IIe microcomputer and the VISICALC® software package. VISICALC® is an electronic worksheet composed of 256 x 256 rows and columns which can support mathematical manipulation of the data placed anywhere on the worksheet. This form of computer software lends itself to a variety of data analyses, by means of constructing templates (worksheets constructed for specific purposes). Data files can be constructed to contain a variety of information such as noise data and position data using a file format called DIF (data interchange format).

Data analysis can be performed by loading DIF files onto analysis templates. The output or results can be displayed in a format suitable for inclusion in reports or presentations. Data tables generated using these techniques are contained in Appendices B and D, and are discussed in Section 9.0.

6.2.1 Aircraft Position and Trajectory - A VISICALC® DIF file was created to contain the photo altitude data for each event of each test series for the test conducted. These data were input into a VISICALC® template designed to perform a 3-point regression through the photo altitude data from which estimates of aircraft altitudes could be determined for each microphone location.

6.2.2 <u>Direct Read Noise Data</u> - Another template was designed to take two VISICALC® DIF files as input. The first contained the "as measured" noise levels SEL and dBA obtained from the FAA direct read systems and the 10-dB duration time obtained from the graphic level recorder strips, for each of the three microphone sites.

The second consisted of the estimates of aircraft altitude over three microphone sites. Calculations using the two input files determined two figures of merit related to the event duration influences on the SEL energy dose metric. This analysis is described in Section 9.4. All of the available template output data are presented in Appendix B.

### **TEST SERIES DESCRIPTION**

7.0 <u>Test Series Description</u> - The noise-flight test operations schedule for the Aerospatiale AStar consisted of two major parts.

The first part or core test program included the ICAO certification test operations (takeoff, approach, and level flyover) supplemented by level flyovers at various altitudes (at a constant airspeed) and at various airspeeds (at a constant altitude). In addition to the ICAO takeoff operation, a second, direct climb takeoff flight series was included. An alternative approach operation was also included, utilizing a nine degree approach angle to compare results with the six degree ICAO approach data.

The second part of the test program consisted of static operations designed to assess helicopter directivity patterns and examine ground-to-ground propagation.

The information presented in Table 7.1 describes the Aerospatiale AStar test schedule by test series, each test series representing a group of similar events. Each noise event is identified by a letter prefix, corresponding to the appropriate test series, followed by a number which represents the numerical sequence of event (i.e., Al, A2, A3, A4, B5, B6,...etc.). In some cases the actual order of test series may not follow alphabetically, as a D1, D2, D3, D4, E5, E6, E8, H9, H10, H11,... etc.). In the case of static operations the individual events are reported by the acoustical emission angle referenced to each individual microphone location (i.e., J120, J165, J210, J255, J300, J345, J030, J75). In Table 7.1, the test target operational parameters for each series are specified along with approximate start and stop times. These times can be used to

reference corresponding meteorological data in Appendix G. Timing of fuel breaks are also identified so that the reader can estimate changes in helicopter weight with fuel burn-off. Actual operational parameters and position information for specific events are specified in the appendices of this document.

The "standard takeoff" operation, elected by the manufacturer, consisted of a direct climbout from a 5-foot hover, using the best angle of climb.

The reader is referred to Appendices E and F for appropriate cockpit instrument and trajectory information necessary to fully characterize this operation.

Figures 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 present the test flight configuration for the takeoff, approach and level flyover operations. A schematic of the actual flight tracks is available in Figure 3.3.

TABLE 7.1 TEST SUMMARY

### ASTAR

TEST SERIES			
AND RUN NO.	DESCRIPTION OF SERIES	START TIME	FINISH TIME
I	Hover in ground effect	8:05 am	8:24 am
J(A)	Static/flight idle	8:26 am	8:50 am
J(B)	Static/ground idle	8:26 am	8:50 am
F/F1-F9	6 deg approach, 63 mph	9:18 am	9:51 am
	FUEL BREAK		
E/E10-E17	ICAO takeoff, 63 mph	10:26 am	10:42 am
н/н18-н21	9 deg approach, 75 mph	10:47 am	10:56 am
A/A22-A27	LFO, 500 ft./0.9 VH	11:03 am	11:13 am
B/B28-B31	LFO, 500 ft./0.8 VH	11:20 am	11:30 am
C/C33-C36	LFO, 500 ft./0.7 VH	11:35 am	11:42 am
D/D37-D40	LFO, 1000 ft./0.9 VH	11:45 am	11:51 am
N/N41-N44	LFO, 500 ft./143 mph	11:54 am	11:59 am
M/M45-M48	LFO, 500 ft./86 mph	12:02 pm	12:08 pm
G/G49-G54	Takeoff	12:13 pm	12:30 pm

Note: Test series are listed in the order of actual testing. Running order changes were made as dictated by environmental conditions.

# Helicopter Takeoff Noise Tests

The take-off flight path shall be established as follows:

best rate of climb speed,  $V_{\rm W}$ ,  $\pm$  3 knots, of the maximum speed of the curve contignous to the ordinated of the above the ground until a point 500 m (1,640 ft) before the flight path reference point is reached; the helicopter shall be established in level flight at the limiting height speed envelope + 3 knots (±3 knots), whichever is greater, and, at a height of 20 m (66 ft)

they melly Takeoff

- shall be increased to maximum take-off power and a steady climb initiated and maintained over the noise measurement upon reaching the point specified in a) above, the power time period; P
- airspeed established in a) above shall be maintained throughout the take-off reference procedure; O
- stabilized at the maximum rpm for power-on operations the steady climb shall be made with the rotor speed T
- a constant take-off configuration selected by the applicant shall be maintained throughout the take-off reference procedure except that the landing gear may be retracted; and

0

Takeoff Point the weight of the helicopter shall be the maximum take-off weight. F

Most

Microphone Positions

> Track Ground

Helicopter Approach

**Noise Tests** 

The approach procedure shall be established as follows:

- the helicopter shall be stabilized and following a 6.00 approach path;
- the approach shall be made at a stabilized airspeed equal to the best rate of climb speed  $V_{\nu}$ ,  $\pm 3$  knots, or the maximum speed of the curve contiguous to the ordinate of the limiting height-speed envelope  $\pm 3$  knots ( $\pm 3$  knots), whichever is the greater, with power stabilized during the approach and over the flight path reference point, and continued to 50 feet above ground level 9
- the approach shall be made with the rotor speed stabilized at the maximum rpm for power-on operations.
- the constant approach configuration used in airworthiness certification tests, with the landing gear extended, shall be P
- Approach Flight Path Approach maintained throughout the approach reference procedure; and the weight of the helicopter shall be the meximum landing weight 8

Microphone

Positions

Ground Track

## Helicopter Flyover Noise Tests

The flyover procedure shall be established as follows:


- a) the helicopter shall be stabilized in level flight overhead the flight path reference point at a height of 150 m (492 ft);
- b) a speed of 0.9 V<sub>H</sub> or 0.9 V<sub>NE</sub>, whichever is the lesser, shall be maintained throughout the overflight reference procedure;

NOTE: V<sub>H</sub> is the maximum speed in level flight at maximum continuous power.

V<sub>NE</sub> is the never exceed speed.

- c) the overflight shall be made with the rotor speed stabilized at the maximum rpm; for power-on operations.
- d) the helicopter shall be in the cruise configuration; and

e) the weight of the helicopter shall be the maximum take—off weight.



### **DOCUMENTARY ANALYSES**

- 8.0 <u>Documentary Analyses/Processing of Trajectory and Meteorological</u>

  Data This section contains analyses which were performed to document the flight path trajectory and upper air meteorological characteristics during the Aerospatiale AS 350D AStar test program.
- 8.1 Photo-Altitude Flight Path Trajectory Analyses Data acquired from the three centerline photo-altitude sites were processed on an Apple IIe microcomputer using a VISICALC® (manufacturer) electronic spreadsheet template developed by the authors for this specific application. The scaled photo-altitudes for each event (from all three photo sites) were entered as a single data set. The template operated on these data, calculating the straight line slope in degrees for the helicopter position between each pair of sites. In addition, a linear regression analysis was performed in order to create a straight line approximation to the actual flight path. This regression line was then used to compute estimated altitudes and CPA's (Closest Point of Approach) referenced to each microphone location (Note: Photo sites were offset from microphone sites by 100 feet). The results of this analysis are contained in the tables of Appendix F.

Discussion - While the photo-altitude data do provide a reasonable description of the helicopter trajectory and provide the means to effect distance corrections to a reference flight path (not implemented in this report), there is the need to exercise caution in interpretation of the data. The following excerpt makes an important point for those trying to relate the descent profiles (in approach test series) to resulting acoustical data.

In our experience, attempts by the pilot to fly down a very narrow VASI beam produce a continuously varying rate of descent. Thus while the mean flight path is maintained within a reasonable degree of test precision, the rate of descent (important parameter connected with blade/vortex interactions) at any instant in time may vary much more than during operational flying. (Ref. 8)

Further, care is necessary when using the regression slope and the regression estimated altitude; one must be sure that the site-to-site slopes are similiar (approximate constant angle) and that they are in agreement with the regression slope. If these slopes are not in agreement, then use photo altitude data along with the site-to-site slopes in calculating altitude over microphone locations. Also included for reference are the mean values and standard deviations for the data collected at each site, for each series. These data display the variability in helicopter position within a given test series.

8.2 Meteorological Data - This section documents the course variation in upper air meteorological parameters as a function of time for the June 8 test program. References are also made to surface meterological data.

The National Weather Service office in Sterling, Virginia provided preliminary data processing resulting in the data tables shown in Appendix H. Supplementary analyses were then under taken to develop time histories of various parameters over the period of testing for selected altitudes. Each time history was constructed using least square linear regression techniques for the five available data points (one for each launch). The plots attempt to represent the gross (macro) meteorological trends over the test period.

Temperature: Figure 8.1 shows the time history of temperature (P.C.) for June 8, 1983. Between hours of 8 and 11 a.m. it can be seen that the surface temperture remains fairly constant at approximately 27°C, while the upper altitude's (above 500') show a significant increase in temperture over the same period. Aside from the surface temperature remaining fairly constant (approximately 27°C), Figure 8.1 shows a normal lapse rate of (2-3°C)/1000 ft. above the 500 ft. level; where as the difference between the ground and 500 ft. levels is a marked 10°C/1000 ft., which is consistent with solar heating of the earth's surface as a function of time. Static, Takeoff, and Approach operations were conducted during this time frame. Level flyover operations were conducted between







FIGURE 8.2



11:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. and it is expected that these same conditions existed during these operations also. The effects of temperture during the test period are most notable in performance characteristics (i.e., rate of climb) of the aircraft.

Relative Humidity: Figure 8.2 shows the time history of Relative Humidity (% percent) for June 8, 1983. It is seen that surface moisture is burnt off as a function of time due to solar heating as expected. However, Figure does not show the expected increase in surface temperature that would precipitate such a drastic decrease in relative humidity. Therefore some meterological phenomena (? fog) must have existed during this time period that would account for such major inconsistencies.

Wind Data: Figures 8.3 and 8.4 show the Head/tail and cross wind components versus the time of day for June 8, 1983. During the hours of 8 and 11 a.m., one observes (Figure 8.3) a steady 7-8 kts head/tail component, depending on the direction of flight.

Takeoffs were flown in the 300° direction, while approach operations were flown in the 120° direction, suggesting a head/tail wind contribution respectively to the airspeed of the aircraft. The crosswind components of the wind vector are plotted in Figure 8.3 over the same period of time. Level flyover operations were conducted between 11:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m.

10

307

30-

25

15

1400

0061

1200

00.

1000

000

800

TIME

WIND SPEED VS

JUNE 8 (DIR 030 -210)

## HEAD/TAIL WIND

This plot indicates a headwind for operations in the 300 degree magnetic direction.

### CROSS WIND

1400

1300

TIME OF DAY (Hrs)

693

This plot indicates a right side crosswind for operations in the 120 degree magnetic direction.

MIND Sheed (Kfs)

-10

-12

-25

20

### **EXPLORATORY ANALYSES AND DISCUSSIONS**

9.0 Exploratory Analyses and Discussion - This section is comprised of a series of distinct and separate analyses of the data acquired with the Aerospatiale AS 350D AStar test helicopter. In each analysis section an introductory discussion is provided describing pre-processing of data (beyond the basic reduction previously described), followed by presentation of either a data table, graph(s), or reference to appropriate appendices. Each section concludes with a discussion of salient results and presentation of conclusions.

The following list identifies the analyses which are contained in this section.

- 9.1 Variation in noise levels with airspeed for level flyover operations
- 9.2 Static data analysis: source directivity and hard vs. soft propagation characteristics
- 9.3 Duration effect analysis
- 9.4 Analysis of variability in noise levels for two sites equidistant over similar propagation paths
- 9.5 Variation in noise levels with airspeed and rate of descent for approach operations
- 9.6 Analysis of ground-to-ground acoustical propagation for a nominally soft propagation path
- 9.7 Air-to-ground acoustical propagation analysis

### 9.1 Variation in Noise Levels with Airspeed for Level Flyover

Operations - This section analyzes the variation in noise levels for level flyover operations as a function of airspeed. Data acquired from the centerline-center location (site 1) magnetic recording system (see Appendix A) have been utilized in this analysis. All data are "as measured", uncorrected for the minor variations in altitude from event to event.

The data scatter plotted in Figures 9.1 through 9.4 represent individual noise events (for each acoustical metric). The line in each plot links the average observation at each target airspeed.

Discussion - The plots show the general trend that can be expected with an increase in airspeed during level flyover operations. It has been observed that as a helicopter increases its airspeed, two acoustically related events take place. First, the noise event duration is decreased as the helicopter passes more quickly. Second, the source acoustical emission characteristics change. These changes reflect the aerodynamic effects which accompany an increase in speed. At speeds higher than the speed for minimum power, the power required (torque) increases with an increase in airspeed. These influences lead to a noise intensity versus airspeed relationship generally approximated by a parabolic curve. At first, noise levels decrease with airspeed, then an upturn occurs as a consequence of increasing advancing blade tip Mach number effects, which in turn generates impulsive noise.

The noise versus airspeed plots for the AStar are shown for various acoustical metrics in Figures 9.1 through 9.4.

The AStar airspeed/noise level relationships follow a very shallow parabolic pattern characterized by an upturn at approximately 115 mph. A similar curve shape is observed for each metric.

Advancing tip Mach Number relationships corresponding to airspeeds are presented in the table below.

Table 9.1


1	LAS (MPH)	MA
	80	.7
	90	.72
	100	.73
	110	.74
	120	.76
	130	.77
	140	.78
	150	.79


It is seen that the curves begin to bend upward at an advancing tip Mach number of approximately 0.75. This is a somewhat sooner onset than the trend observed for the Aerospatiale TwinStar where levels increase most rapidly beyond a value of 0.79.

### ASTAR LEVEL FLYOVER PLOTS




### FIGURE 9.2






### FIGURE 9.3

FIGURE 9.4





9.2 Static Operations: Static Operations were conducted on the

Aerospatiale AS 350D AStar for three operational configurations on June 8,

1983. Where it has been the case in previous reports (of this series) to

graphically display noise levels propagated over hard and soft paths

during static operations; it is only possible for one operation for the

AStar. During the Ground Idle and Hover-In-Ground-Effect operation the

noise levels collected at sites 2 or 5H or both are not available,

probably because the levels were below the noise floor of the recording

equipment. Appendix C shows the tape recorded noise levels for these

operations.

Flight Idle: Figure 9.5 presents data acquired for the Aerospatiale AS 350D AStar during it's Flight Idle static mode propagated across hard and soft paths of equal distance from the Hover point. It can be observed from Figure 9.5 that the AStar displays an acoustic emission pattern that is pronounced on the left side of the aircraft. In fact the maximum noise occurs for the 270° emission angle over the hard path where as the soft path remains relatively stable across all emission angles. The maximum difference between hard and soft path occurs therefore at the 270° emission angle and is about 12 dB.





FIGURE 9.5

# Helicopter Hover Noise Test



Helicopter Rotates in 45° Steps 8 Microphone Positions

FIGURE 9.6

- 9.3 Analysis of Duration Effects This section consists of three parts, each developing relationships and insights useful in adjusting from one acoustical metric to another (typically from a maximum level to an energy dose). Each subsection quantitatively addresses the influence of the event duration.
- 9.3.1 Relationships Between SEL, AL and T-10 This analysis explores the relationship between the helicopter noise event (intensity) time-history, the maximum intensity, and the total acoustical energy of the event. Our interests in this endeavor include the following:
- It is often necessary to estimate an acoustical metric given only part of the information required.
- 2) The time history duration is related to the ground speed and altitude of a helicopter. Thus any data adjustments for different altitudes and speeds will affect duration time and consequently the SEL (energy metric). The requirement to adjust data for these effects often arises in environmental impact analysis around heliports. In addition, the need to implement data corrections in helicopter noise certification tests further warrants the study of duration effects.

Two different approaches have been utilized in analyzing the effect of event 10-dB-down duration (DURATION or  $^{\text{T}}10$ ) on the accumulated energy dose (Sound Exposure Level).

Both techniques are empirical, each employing the same input data but using a different theoretical approach to describe duration influences.

The fundamental question one may ask is "If we know the maximum A-weighted sound level and we know the 10-dB-down duration time, can we with confidence estimate the acoustical energy dose, the Sound Exposure Level?" A rephrasing of this question might be: If we know the SEL, the AL, and the 10-dB-down duration time (DURATION), can we construct a universal relationship linking all three?

Both attempts to establish relationships involve taking the difference between the SEL and AL (delta), placing the delta on the left side of the equation and solving as a function of duration. The form which this function takes represents the differences in approach.

In the first case, one assumes that delta equals some constant K(DUR) multiplied by the base 10 logarithm of DURATION, i.e.,

### SEL - AL = K(DUR) x LOG(DURATION)

In the second case, we retain the 10 x LOG dependency, consistent with theory, while achieving the equality through the shape factor, Q, which is some value less than unity i.e., SEL-AL = 10 x LOG(Q x DURATION). In a situation where the flyover noise event time history was represented by a step function or square wave shape, we would expect to see a value of Q equaling precisely one. However, we know that the time history for typical non-impulsive event is much closer in shape to an isoceles triangle and consequently likely to have a Q much closer to 0.5.

Another possible use of this analytical approach for the assessment of duration effects is in correcting noise certification test data which were acquired under conditions of nonstandard ground speed and/or distance.

Discussion - Each of the noise template data tables lists both of the duration related figures of merit for each individual event (see Appendix B). One immediate observation is the apparent insensitivity of the metrics to changes in operation, and the extremely small variation in the range of metric values, nearly a constant Q = 0.4 and a stable k(A) value of 7.0. Data have been plotted in Figure 9.7 which shows the minor variation (a transition from 0.4 to 0.5 at 130 mph) of both metrics with airspeed for the level flyover operations for the microphone site 1 direct read system. The lack of variation in the parameters suggests that a simple and nearly constant dependency exists between SEL, AL, and log DURATION, relatively unaffected by changes in airspeed, in turn suggesting a consistent time history shape for the range of airspeeds evaluated in this test. As SEL increases with airspeed, the increase appears to be related to increase in ALM but mitigated in part by reduced duration time ( and a nearly constant k(A)=7).

It is interesting to note that similar results were found for the other helicopters tested (Ref. 10 - 13), (Ref. 10) suggesting that different helicopter models will have similar values for K and Q. This implies that it would be unnecessary to develop unique constants for different helicopter models for use in implementing duration corrections.




FIGURE 9.7

9.3.2 Estimation of 10 dB Down Duration Time - In some cases, one does not have access to 10 dB down duratin time (DURATION) information. A moderate to highly reliable technique for estimating DURATION for the AStar is developed empirically in this section.

The distance from the helicopter to the observer at the closest point of approach (expressed in feet) divided by the airspeed (expressed in knots) yields a ratio, hereafter referred to as (D/V). This ratio has been compiled for various test series for micorphone sites 1,2 and 3 and has been presented in Table 9.2 along with the average DURATION expressed in seconds. A linear regression was performed on each data set in Table 9.2 and those results are also displayed in Table 9.2. Here one observes generally high correlation coefficients, in the range of 0.75 to 0.92.

TABLE 9.2

### DURATION (T-10) REGRESSION ON D/V

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

SITE 1

	COCKPIT					
PERCON	PHOTO	NVIS	2285S			
TEST	DATA	AVG		12.00		
SERIES	V AVG	DUR(A)	EST ALT	D/V		
Α	130	14.4		4.3	LINEAR	
В	118	14.8		4.5	REGRESSION	
C	101.75	16.1	558	5.5		
D	126.75	24.6	1070	8.4	SITE #1	
E	60.86	24.3	586.9	9.6		
F	64.78	14.7	355.5	5.5	SLOPE	2.19
6	73.6	17.7	542.9	7.4	INTERCEPT	3.88
Н	75.67	14.7		5.2	R SQ.	.89
М	83		538.2	6.5	R	.95
N	142.67	11.7	568.3	4	SAMPLE	10
SITE 2						
А	130	15.1	745.8	5.7	LINEAR	
B	118	15.8		6.1	REGRESSION	
	101.75	18.5	Professional Control	7.3		
D	126.75	26.5		9.3	SITE #2	
Ē	60.86			12.6	War-States	
-	64.78	26.5		9.4	SLOPE	2.04
G	73.6		733.1	10	INTERCEPT	4.82
Н	75.67	27.6		8.3	R SQ.	.73
М	83		729.6	8.8	R	.86
N	142.67			5.3	SAMPLE	10
SITE 3						
	100		744.0	5.7	LINEAR	
A		14.6		6.1	REGRESSION	
B	118	15.4 23.4		7.3	KEOKESSIUN	
100	101.75	25		9.3	SITE #3	
D E		23.4			2115 #2	
F	60.86	20.6		9.3	SLOPE	1,31
6	72 4	18.8		9.8	INTERCEPT	8.33
Н	73.6	14.5		8.3	R SQ.	.46
M	83	21.2		8.8	R .	.68
N	142.67	14.3		5.3	SAMPLE	10
TV.	174.0/	14.0	14614	0.0	OFT II to be	4.0

The regression equations relating DURATION with D/V are given as

Centerline center, Microphone Site 1:  $T_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \times (\text{D/V}) \end{bmatrix} + 3.8$  Sideline South, Microphone Site 2:  $T_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0 \times (\text{D/V}) \end{bmatrix} + 4.8$  Sideline North, Microphone Site 3:  $T_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \times (\text{D/V}) \end{bmatrix} - 8.3$ 

It is interesting to note that each relationship has a similar slope but differing intercept values. Because the regression analyses were conducted for a population consisting of all test series (which involved the operations in both directions) it is not possible to comment on left-right side acoustical directivity of the helicopter.

It is worth noting that the general trend observed for the AStar (longer sideline duration) is consistent with results seen for the TwinStar (Ref. 13). It appears necessary to consider carefully helicopter specific characteristics in estimating SEL or other energy-dose acoustical metrics at sideline locations. It is also significant to note that slopes computed above for the AStar are very similar (approximately 2) to those observed for both the TwinStar and the Hughes 500D.

Synthesis of Results - It is now possible to merge the results of Section 9.3.1 with the finding above in establishing a relationship between (D/V) and SEL and AL. Given the approximation: SEL = AL + (10\*LOG(0.45\* DURATION)), it is possible to insert the computed value for  $^{T}10$  (DURATION) into the equation and arrive at the desired relationship.

9.3.3 Relationship Between SEL minus AL and the Ratio D/V - The difference between SEL and  $AL_M$  or conversely, EPNL and  $PNLT_M$  (in a

certification context), is referred to as the DURATION CORRECTION. This difference is clearly controlled by the event T10 (10 dB down duration time) and the acoustical energy contained within those bounds. As discussed in previous sections, the T10 is highly correlated with the ratio D/V. This analysis establishes a direct link between D/V and the DURATION CORRECTION in a manner similar to that employed in Section 9.3.2. Table 9.3 provides a summary of data used in regression analyses for microphones 1, 2 and 3. The regression equations, along with other statistical information, are provided in Table 9.3 also.

It is encouraging to note the strong correlations (coefficients greater than 0.73) which suggest that SEL can be estimated directly (and with confidence) from the ALM and knowledge of D/V. It is also interesting to note the similar regression equations. As mentioned in Section 9.3.2, it is difficult to comment explicitly (and quantitatively) on source directivity because operations were conducted in both directions.

Regardless, one can see that centerline/sideline differences do exist. The reader is cautioned, however, not to expect these relationships to necessarily hold for D/V ratios beyond the range explored in this analysis.

TABLE 9.3

### SEL-ALm REGRESSION ON D/V

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

SITE 1

	COCKPIT PHOTO							
TEST		AVG	AUG					
TOTAL STREET		777.77	EST ALT	D/U				
0011700	4 1140	WELL COM	EVI NEI	D7 4				
A	130	7.6	560.1	4.3	1	INEAR		
В	118	7.6	529	4.5		EGRESSION		
C	101.75	8.3		5.5				
D	126.75	10	1070	8.4	S	ITE #1		
E	60.86	9.9	586.9	9.6				
F	64.78	8.6	355.5	5.5	S	LOPE	.49	
G	73.6		542.9	7.4	1	NTERCEPT	5.53	
	75.67		395.3	5.2	R	50.	.93	
М	83		538.2	6.5	F	1	.96	
N	142.67	7.5	568.3	4	9	AMPLE	10	
SITE 2								
Α	130	7.8	745.8	5.7		INEAR		
В	118		722.7	6.1		EGRESSION		
0	101.75	8.8	744	7.3		TOUT ANY MA		
D	126.75	10.3		9.3		SITE #2		
E	60.86			12.6		ATE HE		
Ē	64.78		607	9.4	5	LOPE	.47	
G	73.6	10.6		10		INTERCEPT	5.51	
H	75.67	10.3		8.3		SQ.	.82	
M	83					1	.91	
N	142.67			5.3		AMPLE	10	
1.20	TIATO-DI A	200	1000000					
SITE 3								
A	130	7.9	744.8	5.7	1	INEAR		
В	118	8.4			1	REGRESSION		
C	101.75	9.6		7.3				
D	126.75	10	1177	9.3		SITE #3		
E	60.86	9.9	749.2	12.3				
F	64.78			9.3		SLOPE	.26	
G	73.6			9.8		INTERCEPT	6.8	
Н	75.67	8.4	626.8	8.3	1	R SQ.	.53	
М	83	9.3	728.1	8.8		?	.73	
N	142.67	8	752.5	5.3	4	SAMPLE	10	

9.4 Analysis of Variability in Noise Levels for Two Sites Over Similiar

Propagation Paths - This analysis examines the differences in noise levels
observed for two sites each located 500 feet away from the hover point
over similar terrain. The objective of the analysis was to examine
variability in noise levels associated with ground-to-ground propagation
over nominally similar propagation paths. The key word in the last
sentence was nominally,...in fact the only difference in the propagation
paths is that microphone IH was located in a slight depression, (elevation
is minus 2.5 feet relative to the hover point), while site 2 has an
elevation of plus 0.2 feet relative to the hover point. This is a net
difference of 2.7 feet over a distance of 500 feet. This configuration
serves to demonstrate the sensitivity of ground-to-ground sound
propagation over minor terrain variations.

<u>Discussion</u> - The results presented in Tables 9.4 and 9.5 show the observed differences in time average noise levels for eight directivity angles and the spacial average. In each case, magnetic recording data (Appendix C) have been used in the analyses. It is observed that significant differences in noise level occur for the low angle (ground-to-ground) propagation scenarios.

It is speculated that very minor variations in site elevation (and resulting microphone placement) lead to site-to-site differences in the measured noise levels for static operations. Differences in microphone height result in different positions within the interference pattern of incident and reflected sound waves. It is also appropriate to consider whether variation in the acoustical source characteristics contributes to

noise level differences. In this analysis, magnetic recording data from microphone site 2 are compared with data recorded at site 1H approximately one minute later. That is, the helicopter rotated 45 degrees every sixty seconds, in order to project each directivity angle (there is a 45 degree separation between the two sites). In addition to source variation, it is also possible that the helicopter "aim," based on magnetic compass readings may have been slightly different in each case, resulting in the projection of different intensities and accounting for the observed differences. A final item of consideration is the possibility of refraction of sound waves (due to thermal or wind gradients) resulting in shadow regions. It is worth noting that, generally, similar results have been observed for other test helicopters (Bell 222, ref. 10; Aerospatiale Dauphin, ref. 11, Hughes 300D, Ref. 12; TwinStar, Ref. 13). Regardless of what the mechanisms are which create this variance, one perceives that static operations display intrinsically variant sound levels, in both direction and time, and also potentially variant (all other factors being normalized) for two nominally identical propagation paths.

TABLE 9.4

## COMPARISON OF NOISE VERSUS DIRECTIVITY ANGLES FOR TWO SOFT SURFACES

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

OPERATION: HOVER-IN-GROUND

DIRECTIVITY ANGLES (DEGREES)						Lav(360 DEGREE)				
SITE	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERGY	ARITH.
	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ
OFT 1H OFT 2	61.3 66.7	63.8 66.8	61.8 67.3	63.8 71.2	66.5 72.7	67.2 69.6	64.2 68.1	65.2 67.7	64.6 69.3	64.2 68.8
ELTA dB*	5.4	3	5.5	7.4	6.2	2.4	3.9	2.5	4.7	4.6

\* DELTA dB = (SITE 2) MINUS (SITE 1H)

TABLE 9.5

COMPARISON OF
NOISE VERSUS DIRECTIVITY ANGLES
FOR
TWO SOFT SURFACES

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

OPERATION: FLIGHT IDLE

		DIF	RECTIVITY	ANGLES (	EGREES)				Lav(360	DEGREE)	
SITE	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERGY	ARITH.	00.15
	LE0	LEQ	LEQ	LEG	LEG	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	LEQ	H
SOFT 1H SOFT 2	56.1 59.1	56.5 63.3	60.3 62.5	59.3 64.1	59.5 62.5	60.3 64.5	61.1 63.2	60.3 63.1	59.5 63	59.2 62.8	
DELTA dB*	3	6.8	2.2	4.8	3	4.2	2.1	2.8	3.5	3.6	

<sup>\*</sup> DELTA dB = (SITE 2) MINUS (SITE 1H)

9.5 Variation in Noise Levels With Airspeed for 6 and 9 Degree Approach
Operations - This section examines the variation in noise level for
variations in approach angle. This analysis has two objectives: first,
to evaluate further the realm of "Fly Neighborly" operating possibilities,
and second, to consider whether or not it is reasonable to establish a
range of approach operating conditions for noise certification testing.
Data is presented for the 6 and 9 degree approache. The appropriate
series "As Measured" acoustical data contained in Appendix A, have been
tabulated in Table 9.6 and plotted (corrected for the minor differences in
altitude) in Figures 9.8 and 9.9.

Discussion - In the approach operational mode, impulsive (banging or slapping) acoustical signatures are a result of the interaction between vortices (generated by the fundamental rotor blade action) colliding with successive sweeps of the rotor blades (see Figure 9.10). As reported in reference 11, for certain helicopters, maximum interaction occurs at airspeeds in the 50 to 70 knot range, at rates-of-descent ranging from 200 to 400 feet per minute. When the rotor blade enters the vortex region, it experiences local pressure fluctuations and associated changes in blade loading. These perturbations and resulting pressure gradients generate the characteristic impulsive signature.

The data presented in Figures 9.9 and 9.10 for the three centerline locations (150 meter spacing) portray the variation in noise level along the ground track as the approach angle (rate of descent) changes (from 6

to 9 degrees) with airspeed held nominally constant. The 9 degree approach achieves a 2 dB reduction in the intensity metric L<sub>A</sub> at sites 1 and 5. There is practically no improvement at site 4. The reduction in the energy dose metric SEL is more consistent from site to site with a value of approximately 2dB. The change in the rate of descent changes the vertical location of the tip vortices with respect to the blades, thereby changing the relative degree of interaction. From a certification stand point, it is clear that the 6 degree approach would present greater noise than the alternative procedure examined.

In the context of the "Fly Neighborly" program, it is worth acknowledging
the potential tradeoff (and classic problem) of diminishing noise levels
at one location while increasing noise levels at another. In this regard,
it is considered important to further evaluate candidate "Fly Neighborly"
operations at a matrix of locations in the vicinity of the overflight
corridor.

A recent study conducted in France (ref. 12) included a matrix of 24 microphones. While cost and logistical constraints make this unrealistic for evaluation of each civil transport helicopter, one would be prudent to evaluate several centerline and sideline microphone locations in any in-depth "Fly Neighborly" flight test.

Two other points of concern in developing "Fly Neighborly" procedures are safety and passenger comfort. Rates of descent, airspeed, initial approach altitude and "engine-out" performance are all factors requiring careful consideration in establishing a noise abatement approach.

Finally, while certain operational modes may significantly reduce noise levels, there may be an unacceptable acceleration /deceleration or rate-of-descent imposed on passengers. This is clearly an important concern in commercial air-shuttle operations.





Table 9.6
APPROACH ADJUSTMENT

		Average Altitude	Average AL	Average SEL
6-	Approach	348.3	82.8	91.4
9-	Approach	384.8	80.6	88.4
9-	Adjusted approach	384.8	81.6	88.9

Figure 9.10

## Tip Vortex Interaction



### 9.6 Analysis of Ground-to-Ground Acoustical Propagation

9.6.1 Soft Propagation Path - This analysis involves the empirical derivation of propagation constants for a nominally level, "soft" path, a ground surface composed of mixed grasses. As discussed in previous analyses, there are several physical phenomena that influence the diminution of sound over distance. Among these phenomena, spreading loss, ground-to-ground attenuation and refraction are considered dominant in controlling the observed propagation constants.

A-weighted  $L_{\rm eq}$  data for the three static operational modes- HIGE, Flight Idle, and Ground Idle- have been analyzed in each case for eight different directivity angles. Direct read acoustical data from sites 2 and 4H have been used to calculate the propagation constants (K) as follows:

$$K = (\text{Leq(site 2)} - \text{Leq(site 4)})/\text{Log (2/1)}$$

where the Log (2/1) factor represents the doubling of distance dependency (Site 2 is 492 feet and site 4H is 984 feet from the hover point).

For each mode of operation, the average (over various directivity angles) propagation constant has also been computed.

The data used in this analysis (derived from Appendix C) are displayed in Table 9.7 and the results are summarized in Table 9.8.

<u>Discussion</u> - The results shown in Table 9.11 exhibit some minor variation from one operational mode to the next.

In the case of HIGE and Flight Idle (FI), one observes similar and rather consistent average attenuation constants, 37 and 36 respectively. The attenuation constants agree well with results for the Aerospatiale TwinStar (Ref. 13), but tend to differ from results reported for the Hughes 500D (Ref. 12) and the Aerospatiale Dauphin (Ref. 11). As noted in those reports, the relationship  $\Delta dB = 25 \log (d1/d2)$  provided a reasonable working approximation for calculating ground-to-ground diminution of A-weighted sound levels over nominally soft paths out to a distance of 1000 feet. In the case of the AStar however, it appears that  $\Delta dB = 35 \log(d1/D2)$  would perform better. The results for the Ground Idle operational mode are somewhat surprising, showing a reduction in the rate of attenuation (characterized by a constant of approximately 23).

9.6.2 <u>Hard Propagation Path</u> - This part of the analyses would involve the empirical derivation of constants for sound propagation over a "hard" propagation path, a concrete/composite taxi-way surface. The analytical methods described above (Section 9.7.1) are applicable using data from sites 5H and 7H, respectively 492 and 717 feet from the hover site. The salient feature of this scenario is the presence of a ground surface which is highly reflective and uniform in composition.

### STATIC OPERATIONS DIRECT READ DATA (ALL VALUES A-WEIGHTED LEG, EXPRESSED IN DECI

	do sin	w e	1	_
$^{\sim}$	C .	1	v	0

6-8-83

SITE 4H (S	OFT SITE)				
HIGE	LEQ	FLT IDLE	LEQ	GND IDLE	LEQ
1-0	54.3	J-0A	50.4	J-0B	40.3
I-315	56.8	J-315A	52.3	J-315B	NA
1-270	57.7	J-270A	53.3	J-270B	NA
1-225	55.2	J-225A	52.6	J-225B	NA
1-180	61	J-180A	52.2	J-180B	39
I-135	59.7	J-135A	51.9	J-135B	NA
1-90	56.3	J-90A	51.3	J-90B	NA
1-45	54.9	J-45A	51.8	J-45B	NA
SITE 2 (SI	OFT SITE)				
HIGE	LEQ	FLT IDLE	LEQ	GND IDLE	LEG
I-0	65.8	J-0A	59.4	J-OB	47.2
1-315	68.2	J-315A	62	J-315B	NA
1-270	68.1	J-270A	63.4	J-270B	NA
1-225	68.2	J-225A	63.7	J-225B	NA.
I-180	72.3	J-180A	62.5	J-180B	46.1
1-135	71.4	J-135A	64.3	J-135B	NA
I-90	67.4	J-90A	62	J-90B	NA
1-45	65.4	J-45A	65.2	J-45B	NA

### TABLE 9.8

### ASTAR

### EMPIRICAL PROPAGATION CONSTANTS (K) FOR SOFT SITES (4H+2)

EMISSION ANGLE	HIGE K	FLT.1DLE K	GND.IDLE K
0	38.33	30.00	23.00
315	38.00	32.33	
270	34.67	33.67	
225	43.33	37.00	
180	37.67	34.33	23.67
135	39.00	41.33	
90	37.00	35.67	
45	35.00	44.67	
	*****		
AVERAGE	37.87	36.12	23.33

Piscussion - The results of the analysis (not shown) revealed absurdly large propagation constant values. This outcome suggests a very high rate of attenuation between site 5H and 7H. The presence of a temperature inversion (very low wind and very high humidity) is probably the source of difficulty, resulting in a shadow region beyond site 5H. It is evident that an isothermal condition with no wind would be the preferred condition for assessment of ground-to-ground propagation. If there is in fact significant shadowing (along the hard path), one may ask why the soft path scenario does not exhibit strange results as well. It can only be speculated that the hard concrete/asphalt surface controlled the temperature profile (and micrometeorology) in the vicinity of 5H and 7H. Conversely, the temperature profile in the vicinity of sites 2 and 4H may have differed significantly, perhaps controlled by the moist grassy surface. In essence, the rate of heat loss, the specific heat, and rate of heating for the dissimilar surfaces may have played a significant role in influencing the test results. Subsequent reports in this series will endeavor to further investigate hard path ground-to-ground propagation.

It is significant to note that similarly strange results (K approximately equal to 50) were acquired for the Hughes 500D (Ref. 12) from test data measured in the early morning with a temperature inversion present.

9.7 <u>Air-to-Ground Acoustical Propagation Analysis</u> - The approach and takeoff operations provided the opportunity to assess empirically the influences of spherical spreading and atmospheric absorption. Through utilization of both noise and position data at each of the three flight track centerline locations (microphones 5, 1, and 4), it was possible to determine air-to-ground propagation constants.

One would expect the propagation constants to reflect the aggregate influences of spherical spreading and atmospheric absorption. It is assumed that the acoustical source characteristics remain constant as the helicopter passes over the measurement array. In past studies (Ref. 10, Ref. 11), it has been observed that this assumption is reasonably valid for takeoff and level flyover operations. In the case of approach, however, significant variation has been evident. Because of the spacial/temporal variability in approach sound radiation along the (1000 feet) segment of interest, approach data have not been utilized in estimating propagation constants. As a final background note relating to the assumption of source stability, a helicopter would require approximately 10 seconds, travelling at 60 knots, to travel the distance between measurement sites 4 and 5.

In both the case of the single event intensity metric, AL, and the single event energy metric, SEL, the difference between SEL and AL is determined for each pair of centerline sites. The delta in each case is then equated with the base ten logarithm of the respective altitude ratio multiplied by the propagation constant (either kA(AL) or kA(SEL), the values to be determined.

Data have also been analyzed from the 500 and 1000 foot level flyover operations and the KP(AL) has been computed. Data were pooled for all centerline sites (5, 1, and 4) in the process of arriving at the propagation constant.

The takeoft analyses are shown in Tables 9.9 and 9.10 and are summarized in Table 9.11. Results of the level flyover calculations are presented in Table 9.13. The level flyover and takeoff analyses are also accompanied by a tabulation of results from four previous reports (Tables 9.12 and 9.14).

Discussion - In the case of takeoff data (Table 9.11) one observes a propagation constant of 20, a value in good agreement with previous results. This value suggests that either little (to moderate) absorption takes place over the propagation path or that the source frequency content is dominated by low frequency components, (relatively unaffected by absorption).

In the case of level flyover data (Table 9.13), one observes a value less than 20. This result is somewhat anomolous suggesting the possibility of changes in absorption (or source characteristly) between the 500 and 1000 toot test series. Given the extremely small variation in noise levels within each test series one can speculate that source characteristics were constant while the rate of absorption changed. In any event one can assume that a rather low value propagation constant (K=20) would be appropriate for the AStar. This is consistent with the result acquired

for the TwinStar (Ref. 13). This characteristic is likely associated with a combination of dominant low frequency source content and low test day atmospheric absorption. Using meteorlogical data contained in the appendices of this report along with reference , the reader can further explore this topic.

Table 9.15 provides a brief examination of propagation for the EPNL acoustical metric, used in noise certification. Calculations show a constant of approximately 12. The propagation constant is somewhat below the mean value (16.8) observed for a set of six helicopters, the results of which are summarized in Table 9.16 (also see Refs. 10, 11, 12, 13). The reader may consider computing propagation constants for other acoustical metrics as the need arises.

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAD TAKEOFF

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: STANDARD TAKED

	•	_	-	
- No	181	100	-	·n
-11	1	С.	5-	-11

EVENT NO.	KP(AL)	KP(SEL)
E10	17.8	8.9
E11	29.5	16.4
E12	NA	NA
E13	18.4	14.7
E14	18.9	12.4
E15	19.3	10.3
E16	NA	NA
E17	12.4	6.7
AVERAGE	19.4	11.6
STD. DEV	5.59	3.64
90% C.I.	4.60	2.99

### MIC. 5-4

EVENT	NO.	KP(AL)	KP(SEL)
	G49	20.6	10.1
	650	NA	NA
	G51	21.3	13.5
	G52	21.5	12.3
	653	18.7	12.5
	654	21	11.5
AVE	AGE	20.6	12
STD.	DEV	1.14	1.28
90% (	.1.	1.08	1.22

### Table 9.11

### Table 9.12

Summar	у Т	able	of Propa	agation
Constants	for	Two	Takeoff	Operations

ICAO Takeoff		19.4
Standard Takeoff		20.6
		Emilian.
	Average	20

### Summary Table for Takeoff Operation--AL Metric

Helicopter	Constant (K)
Bell 222	NA
Aerospatiale Dauphin 2	20.06
Hughes 500D	21.15
Aerospatiale TwinStar	24.4
Aerospatiale AStar	20
	Average 21.40

TABLE 9.13

ASTAR

### LEVEL FLYOVER PROPAGATION -- AL

OPERATION		MIC 5	MIC 1	HIC 4	AL WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
500′ (0.9Vh)	N= AVG AL=	6 75.3	6 75.6	6 74.8	75.23	
	STD DEV=	.3	1	.6		
	N=	4	4	4	Anizavi delete e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
1000' (0.9Vh)	AVG AL= STD DEV=	69.9 .9	70 .7	69.8 1.7	69.90	
	K=	△dB / L06(1	072.9 / 577.69)		△dB= 5.33	
	K=	5.33 / .2	841664			
	K=	18.77				

TABLE 9.14

### SUMMARY FOR LEVEL FLYOVER OPERATION

### AL METRIC

HELICOPTER	PROPAGATION CONSTANT (K)
BELL 222	21.08
AEROSPATIALE DAUPHIN 2	21.40
HUGHES 5000	20.81
AEROSPATIALE TWINSTAR	20.19
AEROSPATIALE ASTAR	18,77

AVERAGE = 20.45

TABLE 9.15

LEVEL FLYOVER PROPAGATION--EPNL

OPERATION	1	IIC 5	HIC 1	MIC 4	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
	N=	6	6	6	
500' (0.9Vh)	AVG EPNL=	86.4	86.5	85.5	86.13
	STD DEV=	.3	.7 '	.4	
	N=	NA	4	4	
000' (0.9Vh)	AVG EPNL=	NA	82	82	82.40≠
	STD DEV=	NA	.2	.6	

3.73 / .2841664 K=

13.14

\* CALCULATED FROM SITES 1 AND 4

TABLE 9.16

### SUMMARY TABLE FOR EPNL

HEL1COPTER	PROPAGATION CONSTANT (K)
BELL 222	14.33
AEROSPATIALE DAUPHIN 2	18.67
HUGHES 500D	14.80
AEROSPATIALE TWINSTAR	13.84
AEROSPATIALE ASTAR	13.14

14.96 AVERAGE =


### REFERENCES

- "Determination of Minimum Distance from Ground Observer to Aircraft For Acoustic Tests," Aerospace Information Report 902, Society of Automotive Engineers, May 15, 1966.
- "Flight Path Tracking: Kinotheodolite vs. Camera A comparison of Results. "ICAO Committee on Aviaiton Environmental Protection, Working Group II meeting, Boston, MA, May 1984.
- Richner, Hans and Peter Phillips, "Reproducibility of VIZ Radiosonde Data and Some Sources of Air," <u>Journal of Applied Meteorology</u>, 26, November 1980, May 1981.
- "Measuring Micro-Wind Structure in the Vicinity of the Microphone Array During Noise Certification Testing," ICAO Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection, Working Group II Meeting, Boston, MA, May 1984.
- "Noise Standards: Aircraft Type and Airworthiness Certification," Federal Aviation Regulations Part 36, Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C., June 1974.
- 6. FAR 36, Appendix B, Section B36.2.3.3.
- "International Standards and Recommended Practices Aircraft Noise," Annex 16, International Civil Aviation Organization, May 1981, Appendix 4, paragraph 4.3.
- Westland Helicopters Limited, via P. R. Kearsey, personal communication, January 1984.
- Impact of Noise on People Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Environmental Quality, Washington, DC, May 1977.
- 10. Newman, J. Steven, Edward J. Rickley, Tyrone L. Bland, Sharon A. Daboin. Noise Measurement Flight Test: Data/Analyses Bell 222 Twin Jet Helicopter FAA-EE-84-01, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC, February 1984.
- 11. Newman, J. Steven, Edward J. Rickley, Sharon A. Daboin, Kristy R. Beattie. Noise Measurement Flight Test: Data/Analyses Aerospatiale SA 365N Dauphin Helicopter FAA-EE-84-02, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC, April 1984.
- 12. Newman, J. Steven, Edward J. Rickley, Kristy R. Beattie, Tyrone L. Bland. Noise Measurment Flight Test: Data/Analyses Hughes 500D FAA-EE-84-03, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC, June 1984.

- 13. Newman, J. Steven, Edward J. Rickley, Kristy R. Beattie, Tyrone L.

  Bland. Noise Measurement Flight Test: Data/Analyses Aerospatiale AS

  355F TwinStar FAA-EE-84-04, Federal Aviation Administration,
  Washington, DC, June 1984.
- 14. Cox, C. R., "Helicopter Rotor Aerodynamic and Aeroacoustic Environments," paper at the 4th AIAA Aeroacoustic Conference, Atlanta, GA, October 1977.
- 15. "Procedures de Vol A Empreintes Acoustiques Reduites Pour Le SA 365N Dauphin," ICAO Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection, Working Group II Meeting, Boston, MA, May 1984.



### APPENDIX A

Magnetic Recording Acoustical Data and Duration Factors for Flight Operations

This appendix contains magnetic recording acoustical data acquired during flight operations. A detailed discussion is provided in Section 6.1 which describes the data reduction and processing procedures. Helpful cross references include measurement location layout, Figure 3.3; measurement equipment schematic, Figure 5.4; and measurement deployment plan, Figure 5.7. Tables A.a and A.b which follow below provide the reader with a guide to the structure of the appendix and the definition of terms used herein.

### TABLE A.a

The key to the table numbering system is as follows:

Table No.	Α.	marmin and Dath-1-1.	1
		THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	
Appendix No			
Helicopter No. &	Micro	phone Location	
Page No. of Grou	P	and the same and the same and	
Microphone No.	1	centerline-center	
	1G	centerline-center(flush)	
	2	sideline 492 feet (150m) south	
		sideline 492 feet (150m) north	
	4	centerline 492 feet (150m) west	
	5	centerline 617 feet (188m) east	

### TABLE A.b

### Definitions

A brief synopsis of Appendix A data column headings is presented.

EV Event Number

SEL Sound Exposure Level, the total sound energy measured within the period determined by the 10 dB down duration

of the A-weighted time history. Reference duration,

1-second.

ALm A-weighted Sound Level(maximum)

SEL-ALm Duration Correction Factor

K(A) A-weighted duration constant where:

K(A) = (SEL-ALm) / (Log DUR(A))

Q Time History Shape Factor, where:

 $Q = (10^{0.1(SEL-ALm)} / (DUR(A))$ 

EPNL Effective Perceived Noise Level

PNLm Perceived Noise Level(maximum)

PNLTm Tone Corrected Perceived Noise Level(maximum)

K(P) Constant used to obtain the Duration Correction for

EPNL, where:

K(P) = (EPNL-PNLTm + 10) / (Log DUR(P))

OASPLm Overall Sound Pressure Level(maximum)

DUR(A) The 10 dB down Duration Time for the A-weighted time

history

DUR(P) The 10 dB down Duration Time for the PNLT time history

TC Tone Correction calculated at PNLTm

Each set of data is headed by the site number, microphone location and test date. The target reference condtions are specified above each data subset.

## AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/28/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

		SI	TE: 1		CENT	TERLINE -	- CENTER	}		JUNE 8,	1983		
EV	SEL	ALm	SEL-ALm	K(A)	9	EPML.	PNLm	PHLTB	K(P)	OASPLa	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
-						7000							
TAKEOF	F T/	ARGET I	AS 63 MPI	(ICAO	)								
E11	84.2	72.6	11.6	7.9	0.5	87.2	84.3	86.6	7.7	78.5	29.5	24.0	2.3
E12	83.0	73.7	9.3	6.9	0.4	86.7	86.1	88.2	6.7	80.2	22.0	18.5	2.3
E13	83.4	74.3	9.1	7.0	0.4	87.1	86.1	88.2	7.0	80.4	20.5	18.5	2.1
E15	83.2	73.3	9.9	6.9	0.4	86.7	86.2	88.3	6.4	80.4	27.5	21.0	2.1
E16	82.9	72.4	10.5	7.5	0.4	86.7	84.4	86.8	7.1	79.0	25.5	25.0	2.6
E17	84.3	75.2	9.1	6.9	0.4	87.4	86.7	88.7	6.7	79.8	21.0	19.5	2.0
Avg.	83.5	73.6	9.9	7.2	0.4	87.0	85.6	87.8	6.9	79.7	24.3	21.1	2.2
Std Dy		1.0		0.4	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.8	3.7	2.8	0.2
90% CI		0.9	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.6	3.1	2.3	0.2
6 DEGF	REE APP	ROACH	TARGET	IAS 63	3 MPH (I	CAG)							
F1	91.5	83.1	8.5	7.5	0.5	94.5	95.3	96.6	7.2	91.1	13.5	12.5	1.3
F2	91.6	83.4	8.2	7.1	0.5	94.4	94.9	95.8	7.5	90.3	14.0	14.0	0.9
F3	91.9	82.5	9.3	8.0	0.6	94.4	94.4	95.4	7.8	90.6	14.5	14.0	1.0
F4	91.7	84.0	7.8	6.7	0.4	94.4	96.0	96.9	6.7	92.3	14.5	13.5	0.9
F5	91.3	82.5	8.8	7.5	0.5	94.0	95.2	96.0	7.0	90.8	15.0	14.0	0.9
F6	91.6	82.6	9.0	7.5	0.5	94.2	94.5	95.4	7.4	91.1	16.0	15.5	1.2
F8	90.4	81.7	8.7	7.3	0.5	92.9	92.5	93.3	8.1	87.4	15.5	15.5	0.9
F9	91.2	82.5	8.6	7.4	0.5	93.7	94.4	95.4	7.4	90.0	14.5	13.0	1.1
Avq.	91.4	82.8	8.6	7.4	0.5	94.1	94.7	95.6	7.4	90.5	14.7		1.0
Std D		0.7	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.5		1.1	0.4	1.4	0.8		0.2
90% C		0.5	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.1

NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

#### TABLE NO. A.3-1.2

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

#### SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

SITE: 1 CENTERLINE - CENTER JUNE 8,1983 E۷ SEL ALB SEL-ALB K(A) EPNL PNLm PNLTm K(P) DASPLm DUR(A) DUR(P) TC 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 143 MPH 0.5 7.1 7.2 6.7 N41 84.1 77.0 87.2 88.6 90.0 85.9 10.5 10.5 1.2 N42 89.0 91.5 7.6 7.1 84.0 76.4 87.0 87.8 85.0 12.0 13.0 77.6 85.1 88.4 H43 90.0 11.0 10.5 87.6 76.4 6.8 0.4 87.2 87.9 7.1 13.5 85.9 7.5 7.0 0.5 84.3 76.8 87.4 88.6 7.0 89.9 86.1 11.7 11.9 1.3 Std Dy 0.5 0.6 0.2 0.3 0.0 0.6 0.2 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.3 1.6 0.2 90% C1 0.6 0.7 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.2 1.3 1.9 0.2 1.6 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 130.5 MPH 75.3 76.0 76.2 75.7 85.9 85.8 86.8 7.1 0.5 86.9 87.8 88.4 83.7 83.7 12.0 13.5 12.0 13.5 7.0 6.6 7.3 7.8 7.3 9.1 A23 82.6 89.0 6.0 A24 83.5 0.4 89.6 1.7 88.2 6.6 6.7 84.9 13.0 12.0 A25 86.8 87.5 6.5 87.6 83.5 6.7 0.4 83.9 17.5 14.5 6.3 A26 84.0 76.7 88.6 90.1 0.4 14.0 13.5 84.6 73.9 87.0 83.0 0.4 7.0 Avg. 83.2 Std Dv 0.5 90% CI 0.4 75.6 7.6 86.5 87.5 0.4 88.8 6.6 6.6 84.0 1.0 0.8 0.5 0.7 1.0 2.6 1.1 0.4 3.3 0.0 0.7 0.3 0.0 0.6 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 116 MPH B28 75.5 5.4 0.3 85.4 87.5 5.6 6.4 89.1 82.1 15.5 13.0 1.6 B29 NO DATA 7.6 8.7 6.5 B30 82.1 74.6 0.4 85.4 86.4 88.2 81.8 6.3 13.5 81.7 73.0 B31 80.6 0.5 85.0 85.3 86.9 14.0 6.5 1.0 1.8 Avg. 81.9 Std Dv 0.2 90% CI 0.4 85.2 74.3 0.4 86.4 88.1 6.4 0.7 1.2 7.6 81.5 14.8 1.3 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.1 1.1 0.8 0.2 0.6 1.0 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 101.5 MPH 85.7 86.0 85.9 85.9 85.8 85.3 87.7 7.1 C32 82.4 73.4 7.2 0.4 88.0 80.2 18.0 2.8 6.4 16.0 C33 76.0 6.5 12.0 83.1 88.6 82.1 0.4 12.0 0.9 6.8 82.6 82.3 82.7 87.4 87.0 86.9 17.0 18.5 16.5 74.4 8.2 8.2 9.1 0.4 86.2 86.2 6.9 7.0 7.3 17.0 17.5 C34 80.7 1.2 C35 6.6 81.1 73.6 86.0 82.6 16.0 0.9 82.6 8.3 6.9 85.8 6.9 Avg. 82.6 Std Dv 0.3 90% CI 0.3 0.4 86.3 87.6 81.3 1.5 74.3 16.0 16.1 0.4 0.1 0.9 0.7 0.3 2.4 1.0 1.0 0.8 0.1 0.8 1.0 0.8 0.0 0.8 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 86 MPH 75.1 74.4 73.2 73.4 6.1 6.5 7.4 7.2 0.3 87.4 88.5 87.7 86.5 27.0 16.5 17.5 15.0 H45 83.9 8.7 86.8 6.8 82.1 86.4 85.2 85.1 79.8 80.1 80.2 0.4 85.9 85.1 6.5 17.5 15.5 82.4 1.4 M46 8.0 **M47** 81.9 8.8 8.9 M48 85.8 19.2 82.6 8.6 0.4 7.0 16.5 1.2 Avg. 82.6 Std Dv 0.9 90% CI 1.0 6.8 86.0 87.2 80.6 0.4 0.9 0.6 0.1 1.1 0.4 1.1 0.1

1.1

0.7

0.1

0.9

0.5

6.2

DOT/TSC 3/28/84

## AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/28/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS NEASURED #

			SI	TE: 1		CENT	ERLINE -	CENTER	1		JUNE 8,	1983		
EV		SEL	ALB	SEL-ALM	K(A)	0	EPHL	PNLs	PMLTm	K(P)	DASPLE	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
1000	FT.	FLYO	VER	TARGET 1	AS 130	.5 MPH								
037	7	9.8	71.0	8.9	6.6	0.3	82.9	82.7	84.4	6.8	80.1	22.5	18.0	1.7
038	8	0.5	70.1	10.3	7.5	0.4	83.0	81.6	82.9	7.4	78.0	24.0	24.0	1.3
039	8	0.0	69.7	10.3	7.3	0.4	82.7	81.4	82.4	7.4	79.6	26.0	25.5	1.0
040	7	9.9	69.3	10.5	7.5	0.4	82.5	90.6	81.9	7.4	77.7	26.0	27.0	1.3
Avo.	8	0.0	70.0	10.0	7.2	0.4	82.8	81.6	82.9	7.2	78.8	24.6	23.6	1.3
Std			0.7	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.8	1.1	0.3	1.2	1.7	3.9	0.3
907	CI	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.3	1.0	1.2	0.3	1.4	2.0	4.6	0.3
TAKE	OFF	Ti	ARGET :	IAS 63 MP	H (MULT	1-SEG S	EE TEXT)							
649	9	5.8	77.0	8.8	7.1	0.4	88.5	88.4	90.2	6.8	81.0	17.5	16.5	1.9
G50		35.9	76.4		7.4	0.5	88.6	87.6	89.8	6.9	80.1	19.5	19.0	2.2
651		4.5	74.7	9.8	7.6	0.5	87.2	85.9	87.7	7.4	79.1	19.5	19.0	2.0
G52		35.8	76.7		7.2	0.5	88.3	87.8	89.4	7.4	80.2	18.0		1.7
653		34.8	75.8	9.0	7.4	0.5	87.4	86.8	88.7	7.1	80.4	17.0		1.9
G54	(	34.9	76.3	8.6	7.3	0.5	87.6	87.3	88.8	7.5	80.8	15.0	15.0	2.0
Avg.		35.3	76.1	9.1	7.3	0.5	87.9	87.3	89.1	7.2	80.3	17.7		2.0
Std	Dv	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.7			0.2
901	CI	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.6	1.4	1.4	0.2
9 DE	EGRE	E APP	ROACH	TARGET	IAS 6	3 MPH								
H18		90.5	83.0	7.4	7.0	0.5	93.1	94.8		7.1	90.8	11.5		1.0
H19		87.4	79.1		6.8	0.4	89.8	91.2	91.7		85.9			0.5
H20		88.9	81.3		6.6	0.4	91.4	93.1	94.1	6.5	89.0	14.5		1.0
H21		86.7	79.1	7.6	6.3	0.4	89.5	91.4	92.1	6-9	86.4	16.5	11.5	0.6
Avg		88.4	80.6	7.7	6.7	0.4	91.0							0.8
		1.7			0.3	0.1	1.7				2.3			0.2
		1.9		0.4	0.4	0.1	2.0	2.0	2.2	0.3	2.7	2.8	2.7	0.3

NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

TABLE NO. A.3-16

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/29/84

SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

SITE: 1G CENTERLINE-CENTER (FLUSH) JUNE 8,1983

SEL ALB SEL-ALB K(A) Q EPML PMLB PMLTB K(P) DASPLB DUR(A) DUR(P) TC

----- NO DATA

## AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/28/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

			SI	TE: 2		SIDE	ELINE -	150 H.	SOUTH		JUNE	8,1983		
EV		SEL	ALm	SEL-ALB	K(A)	9	EPNL	PNLs	PNLTa	K(P)	DASPLB	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
-	1		Marian Service											
TAKE	OFF	TA	RGET I	AS 63 MPH	(ICAO	)								
E11	8	5.6	74.3	11.3	7.9	0.5	-	85.3	87.6	· 70	79.4	26.5		2.4
E12	177	SCHOOL			NO D	ATA		<del>117</del> 4						
E13	8	5.9	76.3	9.6	7.2	0.4	-	87.0	89.0	-	81.8	21.5	-	2.0
E15		4.8	73.8	11.0	7.6	0.5	87.2	85.4	87.5	7.0	81.4	27.5	24.5	2.1
E16	8	5.2	73.5	11.6	7.9	0.5	87.5	84.4	87.0	7.4	80.0	29.5	26.5	2.6
E17	8	4.7	73.6	11.2	7.5	0.4	87.3	84.9	87.7	6.7	80.2	31.0	27.0	2.8
Avg.	9	5.2	74.3	10.9	7.6	0.5	87.3	85.4	87.8	7.0	80.5	27.2	26.0	2.4
Std.			1.1		0.3	0.0	0.2	1.0	0.7		1.0	3.6	1.3	0.3
901			1.1	0.7	0.3	0.0		0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	3.5	2.2	0.3
6 DE	GREE	APPI	ROACH	TARGET	IAS 63	S MPH (I	CAO)							
F1	. 6	4.5	74.5	10.0	6.7	0.3	87.3	86.7	88.3	7.0	81.7	31.0	19.0	1.6
F2		33.8	73.4		7.3	0.4	86.9	85.7	87.0	7.2	81.2	26.5	23.0	1.3
F3		34.1	73.6		7.2	0.4	86.9	85.9	87.2	7.2	80.9	28.5	22.0	1.4
F4	- 5				NO DA	ATA								
F5	8	33.7	74.0	9.7	7.1	0.4	86.9	86.4	87.8	6.7	81.3	23.5	22.5	1.4
F6		33.2	72.7		8.1	0.6	-	85.0	86.4	-	82.6	19.5		1.4
F8		34.6	74.2		7.2	0.4	87.4	86.0	87.6	7.0	80.8	28.5	25.0	1.6
F9		83.7	73.5		7.1	0.4	86.8	85.7	86.9	6.9	82.1	28.0	27.0	1.2
Avg		84.0	73.7	10.3	7.2	0.4	87.0	85.9	87.3	7.0	81.5	26.5		1.4
			0.6		0.4	0.1	0.3			0.2	0.6	3.8	2.7	0.1
				0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	2.8	2.2	0.1

MOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

TABLE NO. A.3-2.2

# AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

#### SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED \*

SITE: 2 SIDELINE - 150 M. SOUTH JUNE 8,1983 EV SEL ALm SEL-ALm K(A) 8 EPHL PNLa PNLTB K(P) DASPLE DUR(A) DUR(P) TC 500 FT. FLYDVER -- TARGET IAS 143 MPH H41 83.2 75.6 7.7 6.9 86.6 87.9 86.7 0.4 85.8 13.0 15.5 13.0 15.0 6.7 86.4 1.8 8.2 7.7 6.9 0.4 85.2 N42 82.2 74.0 6.5 86.5 88.5 1.6 77.1 N43 84.8 87.3 12.0 89.0 90.1 **N44** 83.6 74.8 8.8 0.4 85.4 85.6 86.2 7.4 87.1 17.0 18.5 0.9 Avg. 83.5 Std Dv 1.1 90% CI 1.3 8.1 75.4 7.0 86.0 0.4 86.5 87.7 6.8 87.1 14.6 15.4 1.8 1.7 1.3 0.5 0.1 0.0 0.9 2.0 3.6 0.4 1.0 0.4 1.6 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 1.1 1.1 0.5 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 130.5 MPH A22 83.3 75.6 7.7 6.7 0.4 85.6 87.0 88.2 6.6 84.5 14.0 13.5 1.3 74.1 7.8 7.3 84.4 84.5 87.2 A23 81.9 6.8 0.4 85.4 6.4 6.5 7.2 83.9 14.0 26.0 0.9 76.3 A24 83.7 6.2 0.4 86.0 88.4 84.7 15.0 15.0 1.1 A25 83.1 81.4 72.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 83.5 84.2 20.0 83.9 19.0 1.3 75.3 74.0 7.9 A26 83.2 7.0 88.5 0.5 85.7 86.8 6.5 84.1 13.5 12.5 A27 81.6 6.4 0.4 83.8 84.6 6.6 83.8 Avg. 82.5 Std Dv 1.0 74.7 7.8 6.7 0.4 84.8 85.5 86.8 6.6 84.1 15.1 17.1 1.3 0.5 0.3 1.3 0.4 0.0 1.1 1.7 1.8 0.3 2.0 5.1 0.3 90% CI 0.8 1.1 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.9 0.2 1.4 1.5 1.7 0.2 500 FT. FLYDVER -- TARGET IAS 116 MPH 6.9 828 82.3 74.2 7.0 84.6 83.7 85.3 0.4 86.5 81.8 14.5 15.0 1.2 8.6 **B29** 81.7 73.0 83.9 0.4 84.6 80.9 17.5 20.0 0.7 B30 82.6 6.7 74.6 8.0 0.4 84.8 85.6 86.9 6.6 82.6 15.5 15.5 1.3 82.2 74.0 Avg. 8.2 6.9 0.4 84.4 84.9 86.0 6.8 81.8 15.8 16.8 1.1 Std Dv 0.5 0.2 0.5 1.2 0.8 0.4 0.0 0.9 0.2 0.8 1.5 2.8 0.3 90% CI 0.8 1.4 0.6 0.0 1.5 0.3 1.4 2.6 4.6 0.5 500 FT. FLYOVER -- TARGET IAS 101.5 MPH 6.9 C32 72.9 B1.1 8.2 83.4 85.3 0.4 84.0 6.8 79.7 15.5 16.0 1.3 C33 NO DATA C34 80.4 71.4 9.0 0.4 82.6 83.6 7.0 82.6 79.7 19.5 1.0 7.0 19.0 C35 7.1 82.1 8.9 73.1 0.4 84.7 85.9 80.8 18.0 1.2 C36 80.2 71.1 9.1 0.4 82.1 6.8 79.9 21.0 82.0 83.1 21.0 1.1 80.9 72.1 8.8 7.0 0.4 82.7 83.3 84.5 6.8 80.0 18.5 18.7 1.1 Std Dv 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.1 0.0 0.7 1.2 0.1 0.5 2.3 2.5 0.1 1.3 90% CI 1.0 0.1 0.0 1.2 0.2 0.6 2.8 4.2 0.1 1.6 500 FT. FLYDVER -- TARGET IAS 86 MPH 80.0 K45 73.1 0.3 83.5 85.3 79.8 14.0 1.8 81.3 71.0 10.3 7.6 0.5 83.6 82.0 83.7 7.4 79.1 22.5 22.0 1.9 H46 81.6 8.9 0.4 18.5 H47 72.6 7.0 83.9 83.1 84.9 7.1 80.4 18.0 1.8 **M48** 80.7 70.6 10.1 6.9 0.3 82.8 83.3 7.0 79.1 22.0 Avg. 60.7 Std Dv 0.7 9.0 6.9 82.7 7.2 79.6 71.8 0.4 83.4 84.3 21.1 20.7 0.7 0.2 1.2 1.6 0.1 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.6 6.6 2.3 0.3

DOT/TSC 3/28/84

# SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

## AS HEASURED #

		SI	TE: 2		SIDE	LINE -	150 H.	SOUTH		JUNE B	,1983		
EV	SEL	ALB	SEL-ALB	K(A)	8	EPNL	PWLa	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPLB	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
-			America Constitution									7000000	2700000
1000 FT	. FLYO	WER	TARGET !	AS 130,	5 HPH								
D37	79.1	69.2	9.8	6.9	0.4	81.2	80.7	82.3	7.0	79.6	27.0	18.5	1.6
	79.6	69.0	10.7	7.3	0.4	81.5	79.5	80.4	7.6	79.9	29.0	29.5	0.9
-	78.8	68.8	10.0	71007222	0.4	81.6	81.1	82.7	6.7	79.7	22.5	21.0	1.3
	79.5	68.7	10.8	7.5	0.4	81.3	79.1	80.2	7.6	79.6	27.5	28.5	1.4
2000	70.0	68.9	10.3	7.3	0.4	81.4	80.1	81.4	7.2	79.7	26.5	24.4	1.3
	79.2	0.2		0.3	0.0	0.2	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.1	2.8	5.5	0.3
Std Dv 90% CI		0.3	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.2	1.1	1.5	0.5	0.2	3.3	6.4	0.4
TAKEOFI	T	ARGET	IAS 63 MP	H (MULT	1-SEG S	EE TEXT)							
040	84.8	74.4	10.4	7.8	0.5	- 12	85.3	86.9	-	81.1	21.5		1.6
649	84.8	73.5		7.6	0.4	87.1	84.6	87.1	6.8	80.3	30.5	29.5	2.5
650	85.0	74.8		7.3	0.4	87.2	85.3	87.8	7.0	81.1	24.5		2.6
651 652	84.5	73.7		7.8	0.5	86.9	85.1	87.7	6.9	80.8	23.5	21.5	2.6
653	83.9	72.9		7.8	0.5	86.0	84.1	86.2	7.2	79.6	25.0		2.1
654	84.4	74.1		7.6	0.5	86.8	85.3		7.1	80.6	22.0	20.0	2.3
				2.7	0.5	86.8	84.9	87.2	7.0	80.6	24.5	23.3	2.3
Avg.	84.6	73.9		7.7	0.0	0.5				0.6	3.2		0.4
Std Dy		0.7		0.2	0.0	0.5				0.5			0.3
90% CI	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.5	V.7	0.0			-		
9 DEGR	REE API	PROACH	TARGE	T IAS 6	3 MPH								
H18	83.2	71.9	11.3	7.5	0.4	86.0	84.6	86.1		81.8			1.5
H19	82.9			7.2	0.4	85.3		84.8		82.0			1.2
H20	82.8			7.7	0.5	85.4	84.4			81.7			1.4
H21	82.8			6.4	0.3	85.2	84.3	85.7	6.5	81.0	29.	0 28.0	1.4
w	no 0	70	6 10.3	7.2	0.4	85.4	84.2	85.6	7.1	81.6	27.0		1.4
Avg.	82.9			0.6	0.1	0.4				0.5			
Std D				0.7	0.1	0.4				0.5	4.	7 4.5	0.2
901 C	1 0.2	0.	0.7	V./	417	V.1	020						

NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, DR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

## TABLE NO. A.3-3.1

## AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/29/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED \*

		SI	ITE: 3		SIC	ELINE -	150 M.	. NORTH		JUNE	8,1983		
EV	SEL	ALm	SEL-ALB	K(A)	0	EPNL	PNLs	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPLa	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
200			( <del>nananna</del>										1
TAKEOF	F T	ARBET I	AS 63 MPH	(ICAO	)								
E11	84.8	73.5	11.2	8.0	0.5	87.6	84.8	87.2	7.9	79.1	25.5	21.0	2.5
E12	84.5	74.6	10.0	7.7	0.5	86.1	84.4	86.1	7.7	79.3	20.0	20.0	1.8
E13	85.2	76.4	8.7	6.6	0.3	87.2	86.4	88.9	6.8	80.4	21.5	16.5	2.5
E15	84.8	75.8	9.0	7.1	0.4	86.8	85.5	88.1	6.9	79.6	18.5	18.0	2.6
E16	85.4	75.2	10.1	7.2	0.4	87.6	84.9	87.6	7.3	79.2	25.5	23.0	2.7
E17	84.4	74.1	10.2	7.0	0.4	86.7	84.6	87.4	7.3	79.9	29.5	18.5	2.8
Avg.	84.8	75.0	9.9	7.2	0.4	87.0	85.1	87.5	7.3	79.6	23.4	19.5	2.5
	0.4		- 0.9	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.4	0.5	4.1	2.3	0.4
90% CI	0.3	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.4	3.4	1.9	0.3
6 DEGR	EE APP	ROACH -	- TARGET	IAS 63	MPH (1	CAG)							
F1	87.0	78.9	8.1	7.0	0.4	89.7	89.5	91.3	7.3	85.7	14.5	14.5	1.8
F2	85.5	75.1	10.4	7.3	0.4	87.8	86.1	88.4	6.9	83.6	26.0	22.5	2.3
F3	85.2	76.1	9.0	7.1	0.4	88.0	87.6	89.8	6.6	84.2	19.0	17.0	2.2
F4	85.7	76.4	9.3	7.0	0.4	88.2	87.5	89.2	7.3	84.8	21.0	17.5	1.7
F5	85.9	76.5	9.4	7.3	0.5	88.3	87.7	89.7	7.0	84.8	19.0	17.0	2.0
F6	85.6	77.6	8.0	6.7	0.4	88.2	87.9	89.8	7.0	84.1	16.0	15.5	2.2
F8	85.6	77.5	8.0	5.9	0.3	88.1	87.6	88.9	6.8	84.0	23.0	23.5	1.2
F9	86.3	76.2	10.1	7.1	0.4	89.0	87.6	89.2	6.9	84.5	26.5	26.0	1.6
Avg.	85.8	76.8	9.0	6.9	0.4	88.4	87.7	89.5	7.0	84.5	20.6	19.2	1.9
Std Dv		1.2	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.2	0.6	4.4	4.2	0.4
90% CI	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.4	2.9	2.8	0.2

<sup>\* -</sup> NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED
FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

DOT/TSC 3/29/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

		SI	TE: 3		SIDE	LINE -	150 H.	HORTH		JUNE 8	,1983		
EV	SEL	ALB	SEL-ALM	K(A)	8	EPNL	PNLa	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPLB D	OUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
500 F	T. FLYOV	ER	TARGET 14	S 143 P	PH								
N41 N42 N43 N44	84.3 83.1 84.3	75.9 75.8 76.2	8.4 7.4 8.1	NO DA 7.1 6.7 6.8	0.5 0.4 0.4	86.5 85.3 86.7	86.7 86.3 87.0	88.0 87.1 88.3	7.2 6.8 7.0	85.4 86.1 86.0	15.0 12.5 15.5	15.0 16.0 16.0	1.3 0.9 1.3
Avg. Std D 90% C	83.9 v 0.7	76.0 0.2 0.4	8.0 0.5 0.9	6.9 0.2 0.4	0.4	86.2 0.7 1.2	86.7 0.4 0.6	87.8 0.6 1.0	7.0 0.2 0.3	85.8 0.4 0.7	14.3 1.6 2.7	15.7 0.6 1.0	1.2 0.2 0.4
500 F	T. FLYOV	ER	TARGET I	AS 130.	5 MPH								
A22 A23 A24 A25	81.4 83.0 81.9 82.7	74.1 74.5 74.3 74.3	7.3 8.4 7.5 8.4	6.3 7.4 6.7 7.2	0.4 0.5 0.4 0.5	83.7 85.7 83.9 85.0	84.7 86.9 85.0 85.1	86.0 87.9 86.2 86.4	6.5 6.9 6.8 7.4	81.8 85.4 83.3 83.7	14.5 14.0 13.5 15.0	15.0 13.5 13.5 14.5	1.5 0.9 1.1 1.6
A26 A27	82.7	74.7		NO D	ATA 0.4	85.3	85.5	87.2	6.4	82.6	16.0	19.0	1.7
Avg. Std 1 90% (	82.3 0v 0.7 01 0.6	74.4 0.3 0.2	7.9 0.5 0.5	6.8 0.4 0.4	0.4 0.1 0.0	84.7 0.9 0.8	85.5 0.9 0.8	86.7 0.8 0.7	6.8 0.4 0.4	83.4 1.4 1.3	14.6 1.0 0.9	15.1 2.3 2.2	1.4 0.3 0.3
500 1	FT. FLYO	VER	TARGET I	AS 116	КРН								
B28 B29 B30 B31	80.3 82.8 81.5 82.7	72.3 74.3 72.9 74.4	8.7	6.9 7.0 7.4 7.0	0.4 0.4 0.5 0.4	82.9 85.2 83.2 85.1	83.0 84.8 83.8 84.9	84.2 86.5 84.4 86.7	6.5 7.0 7.4 7.0	79.4 81.1 79.7 81.3	14.5 16.5 15.0 15.5	21.5 17.5 15.5 16.0	1.2 1.6 0.6 1.7
Avg. Std 90%	81.8 Dv 1.2	73.4 1.1 1.2	8.4	7.1 0.2 0.2	0.4	84.1 1.2 1.4	84.2 0.9 1.1	85.4 1.3 1.5	7.0 0.4 0.4	80.4 0.9 1.1	15.4 0.9 1.0	17.6 2.7 3.2	1.3 0.5 0.6
500	FT. FLYO	IVER -	TARGET	IAS 101	.5 HPH								
C32	82.6	73.2	9.4	7.0	0.4 DATA	84.6	83.6	85.1	7.1	80.1	21.5		1.5
C33 C34 C35 C36	81.9 81.6 82.0	72.3 71.1 72.8	10.5	7.3 7.2 6.8	0.4 0.4 0.4	84.3 84.9 84.5	83.3 82.6 84.1	84.8 83.7 85.3	7.5 7.3 6.8	81.0 79.2 81.5	20.5 29.0 22.5	34.0	1.9 1.9 1.3
Avg Std 90%	Dv 0.4	72 0.9	0.6	7.1 0.2 0.3	0.4	84.6 0.3 0.3	0.7	84.7 0.7 0.9	0.3	80.5 1.0 1.2	23.4 3.8 4.5	6.8	1.7 0.3 0.3
500	FT. FLY	OVER -	- TARGET	1AS 86	KPH								
H45 H46 H47 H48	82.2 80.3	72.	7 9.4	7.3 7.2 6.4 7.4	0.5 0.4 0.3 0.5	83.1 84.7 82.9 84.8	83.1 82.3	85.1 84.0	7.4 6.4	79.7 81.2 78.1 80.1	20.0	19.5	1.6 2.1 1.7 2.2
Avo		72.	1 9.3 9 0.1	7.1 0.4 0.5	0.4	83.9 1.0 1.2	0.5	0.8	0.5	1.3	3.	2 20.4 9 3.1 5 3.7	1.9 0.3 0.3

# SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

## AS MEASURED \*

Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5  Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2  Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9  90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5  H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5  H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0  H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0  Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0  Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5			SI	TE: 3		911	DELINE -	- 150 M	. WORTH		JUNE	8,1983		
D37 79.2 69.5 9.7 7.4 0.4 81.6 80.5 81.8 6.9 79.3 21.0 26.0 D38 80.7 70.0 10.7 7.4 0.4 83.1 81.6 82.9 7.1 79.9 28.0 27.0 D39 78.7 69.6 9.1 6.7 0.4 80.7 79.6 81.1 7.0 77.5 23.0 24.0 D40 80.1 69.8 10.3 7.1 0.4 82.9 80.5 81.7 7.7 79.7 28.0 28.5 Avg. 79.7 69.7 10.0 7.1 0.4 82.1 80.5 81.9 7.2 79.1 25.0 26.4 Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 90.2 CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2 TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 850 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 851 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 852 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 853 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 853 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 853 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 854 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 849. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.7 85.9 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 1.9 90.2 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 84.0 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 84.9 84.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 84.9 84.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 84.9 84.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 84.9 84.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 84.9 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 84.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 84.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 84.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 84.0 0.1 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5 2.5		SEL	ALn	SEL-ALm	K(A)	Q		PNLB	PMLTs	K(P)	DASPLa	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
D38	1000 F	FT. FLY	OVER	TARGET 1	AS 130	.5 MPH								
D38 80.7 70.0 10.7 7.4 0.4 83.1 81.6 82.9 7.1 79.9 28.0 27.0 D39 78.7 69.6 9.1 6.7 0.4 80.7 79.6 81.1 7.0 77.5 23.0 24.0 D40 80.1 69.8 10.3 7.1 0.4 82.9 80.5 81.7 7.7 79.7 28.0 28.5 Avg. 79.7 69.7 10.0 7.1 0.4 82.1 80.5 81.9 7.2 79.1 25.0 26.4 Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 902 CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2 TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 850 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 851 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 852 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 853 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 854 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 854 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 84.9 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 907 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 Avg. 84.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.9 907 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 14.0 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.9 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.9 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.9 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.9 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 11.0 409. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 17.0 11.0 409. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 84.0 11.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	D37	79.2	69.5	9.7	7.4	0.4	81.6	80.5	81.8	4.0	70 7	21.0	24.0	
D39 78.7 69.6 9.1 6.7 0.4 80.7 79.6 81.1 7.0 77.5 23.0 24.0 D40 80.1 69.8 10.3 7.1 0.4 82.9 80.5 81.7 7.7 79.7 28.0 28.5 Avg. 79.7 69.7 10.0 7.1 0.4 82.1 80.5 81.9 7.2 79.1 25.0 26.4 Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 902 CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2 TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 HPH (HULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 850 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 851 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 852 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 853 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 854 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 854 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 81d Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 90 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.9 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 87.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 11.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	038	80.7	70.0											1.4
D40 80.1 69.8 10.3 7.1 0.4 82.9 80.5 81.7 7.7 79.7 28.0 28.5 Avg. 79.7 69.7 10.0 7.1 0.4 82.1 80.5 81.9 7.2 79.1 25.0 26.4 Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 902 CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2 TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 650 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	D39	78.7			V 1									1.3
Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 90% CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2  TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 650 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 95.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5  Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.9 86.3 78.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 1.9 86.3 78.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.0 86.3 78.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.0 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 19.0 86.5 78.1 8.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 18.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19	D40	80.1						2 1 2 2 2						1.5
Std Dv 0.9 0.2 0.7 0.3 0.0 1.1 0.8 0.8 0.3 1.1 3.6 1.9 90% CI 1.1 0.3 0.8 0.4 0.1 1.3 1.0 0.9 0.4 1.3 4.2 2.2  TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 650 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5  Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 81.6 80.4 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.9 86.3 78.4 79.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 14.0 84.9 86.5 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.1 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 84d Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	A COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY.			10.0	7.1	0.4	82.1	80.5	81.9	7.2	79.1	25.0	26.4	1.3
TAKEOFF TARGET IAS 63 MPH (MULTI-SEG SEE TEXT)  649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 650 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5  Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 81.4 80.5 0.4 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 16.0 16.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 1						0.0	1.1	0.8						0.1
649 85.2 75.7 9.4 7.5 0.5 87.1 86.0 88.7 7.1 79.8 18.0 15.0 850 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 851 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 901 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	90% CI	1.1	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.1	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.4				0.1
G50 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 654 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 81d Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 654 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.0 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.5 14.5 14.0 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 80.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 80.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 80.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 80.9 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 80.9 14.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	TAKEOF	F T	ARGET 1	AS 63 MPH	(MULT	1-SEG S	EE TEXT)							
G50 84.8 75.8 9.1 6.8 0.4 87.1 85.9 88.5 6.6 80.2 21.0 19.5 651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 654 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 81d Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 818 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 14.9 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 14.0 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 18.5 17.0 18.5 17.0 18.5 18.0 18.0 17.0 18.5 17.0 18.5 18.0 18.0 17.0 18.5 17.0 18.5 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	649	85.2	75.7	9.4	7.5	0.5	87.1	86.0	88.7	7.1	79.8	18.0	15.0	2.7
651 84.2 76.4 7.8 6.1 0.3 86.3 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.6 19.0 15.5 652 84.2 75.7 8.5 6.7 0.4 86.4 86.1 88.9 6.2 80.2 18.0 16.0 653 83.9 75.6 8.3 6.7 0.4 86.0 85.3 87.6 6.6 79.3 17.0 18.5 654 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5 Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6 PEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	650	84.8	75.8	9.1	6.8									2.8
G52	651	84.2	76.4	7.8	6.1	0.3					0.000.00			2.7
G53	652	84.2	75.7	8.5	6.7									2.8
Avg. 84.1 75.1 9.0 6.9 0.4 86.1 85.3 87.9 6.5 78.9 20.0 18.5  Avg. 84.4 75.7 8.7 6.8 0.4 86.5 85.8 88.4 6.5 79.8 18.8 17.2 Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90% CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0  Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5		83.9	75.6	8.3	6.7	0.4	86.0							2.4
Std Dv 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.6 1.5 1.9 90X CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	654	84.1	75.1	9.0	6.9	0.4	86.1	85.3						2.6
901 CI 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.2 1.6  9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0  Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	ALL AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF													2.7
9 DEGREE APPROACH TARGET IAS 63 MPH  H18						530 G.S.								0.1
H18 86.1 77.4 8.8 7.4 0.5 88.7 88.9 90.8 6.9 85.4 15.5 14.5 H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	901 C1	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.2	1.6	0.1
H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	9 DEGR	EE APPI	ROACH	TARGET	IAS 63	MPH						174		
H19 86.3 78.4 7.9 7.1 0.5 88.3 88.4 89.5 7.8 84.4 13.0 13.5 H20 88.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	H18	86-1	77.4	R.R	7.4	0.5	89.7	99 0	90.9	4.0	DE A	15.5	14 E	1.0
H20 B8.4 78.8 9.7 7.8 0.5 90.4 89.5 91.3 7.4 85.8 17.5 17.0 H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0 Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5														1.9
H21 85.2 77.8 7.4 6.8 0.5 87.6 89.1 90.6 6.7 84.4 12.0 11.0  Avg. 86.5 78.1 8.4 7.3 0.5 88.7 89.0 90.6 7.2 85.0 14.5 14.0 81d Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5														1.6
Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5														1.8
Std Dv 1.4 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.0 1.2 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 2.5 2.5	Avg.	86.5	78.1	8.4	7.3	0.5	88.7	89.0	90.6	7.2	85.0	14.5	14.0	1.7
- 마시아마시아 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이어 아이	(C) (T) (C)													0.2
90% CI 1.6 0.7 1.2 0.5 0.0 1.4 0.5 0.9 0.6 0.8 2.9 2.9	90% CI	1.6	0.7	1.2	0.5		1.4							0.2

MOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING HEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

DOT/TSC 3/29/84

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED \*

		SI	TE: 4		CENT	ERLINE -	150 M.	WEST		JUNE (	8,1983		
EV	SEL	ALm	SEL-ALB	K(A)	8	EPNL	PHLa	PWLTm	K(P)	OASPLB	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
TAKE	OFF T	ARGET 1	AS 63 MPI	(ICAO	)								
E11	81.9	69.7	12.2	7.9	0.5	84.7	81.9	84.4	7.1	76.0	35.0	28.0	2.6
E12	81.6	71.6	10.0	7.1	0.4	84.7	83.6	85.8	6.7	78.3	25.5	21.0	2.2
E13	81.2	71.0	10.2	7.2	0.4	84.0	82.9	84.9	6.7	77.1	26.0	22.5	2.1
E15	81.0	70.1	11.0	7.7	0.5	84.4	82.1	84.7	7.3	77.0	26.5	22.0	2.6
E16	81.5	71.3	10.2	7.0	0.4	84.8	82.9	84.9	6.8	77.3	29.5	28.0	2.0
E17	82.2	70.8		7.9	0.5	84.8	82.2	84.5	7.7	76.6	27.5	21.5	2.3
Avg.	81.6	70.8	10.8	7.5	0.4	84.6	82.6	84.9	7.0	77.0	28.3	23.8	2.3
	Dv 0.4	0.7		0.4	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.8	3.6	3.3	0.3
	CI 0.4	0.6		0.4	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.6	2.9	2.7	0.2
6 DE	GREE APP	ROACH	TARGET	1AS 63	S HPH (I	CAO)							
										115			
F1	91.2	81.8		7.6	0.5	93.4	93.5	94.7	7.3	89.4	17.0	16.0	1.1
F2	90.6	81.1		7.5	0.5	93.0	92.6	93.8	7.4	88.1	18.5	17.5	1.8
F3	90.1	81.0		7.4	0.5	92.4	92.9	94.1	6.9	88.6	17.0	16.0	1.2
F4	89.0	79.8		7.7	0.5	91.8	92.0	93.2	7.2	86.5	15.5	15.5	1.2
F5	90.0	80.2		7.6	0.5	92.9	92.7	93.9	7.1	88.6	19.0	18.5	1.7
F6	90.2	82.1	8.1	6.7	0.4	92.8	94.2	95.1	6.4	89.7	16.0	15.5	0.9
F8	89.1	79.4	9.8	8.1	0.6	91.8	91.6	92.6	7.7	86.9	16.0	15.5	1.1
F9	90.0	81.3	8.8	7.7	0.5	92.6	92.9	93.8	7.8	88.7	14.0	13.5	0.9
Avg.	90.0	80.8	9.2	7.5	0.5	92.6	92.8	93.9	7.2	88.3	16.6	16.0	1.2
Std		1.0	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.6		0.3
90%	C1 0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.2

 <sup>\* -</sup> NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

# TABLE NO. A.3-4.2

# AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

## SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED \*

		SI	ITE: 4		CENT	ERLINE -	150 H.	WEST		JUNE	8,1983		
EV	SEL	ALB	SEL-ALB	K(A)	6	EPHL	PMLn	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPLa	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
500 FT	. FLYO	VER	TARGET 1A	S 143	MPH								1000
N41 N42 N43 N44	83.2 82.6 83.2 84.0	75.6 74.7 75.9 76.0	7.6 7.9 7.3 8.0	6.5 6.6 6.9 7.0	0.4 0.4 0.5 0.4	85.9 85.4 86.3 86.7	87.5 86.0 87.9 87.7	88.7 86.8 89.4 89.2	6.8 7.0 6.5 7.2	84.5 83.0 86.2 85.1	14.5 15.5 11.5 14.0	11.0 17.0 11.5 11.0	1.2 0.7 1.5 1.7
Avg. Std Dv 90Z CI	83.2 0.6 0.7	75.6 0.6 0.7	7.7 0.3 0.4	6.7 0.2 0.2	0.4	86.1 0.6 0.7	87.3 0.9 1.0	88.5 1.2 1.4	6.9 0.3 0.4	84.7 1.3 1.6	13.9 1.7 2.0	12.6 2.9 3.4	1.3 0.4 0.5
500 FT	. FLYO	VER	TARGET IA	S 130.	5 HPH								
A22 A23 A24 A25 A26 A27	82.2 82.3 82.6 82.4 83.0 82.4	75.7 74.8 74.9 73.9 75.0 74.7	6.5 7.5 7.7 8.4 8.0 7.7	6.5 6.8 7.1 7.0 7.2 6.8	0.4 0.5 0.4 0.5	85.4 85.2 85.8 85.6 86.1 85.3	87.2 87.1 87.4 85.6 86.9 86.4	88.6 88.4 89.1 87.0 88.1 87.8	6.8 6.3 6.6 7.1 7.4 6.7	82.6 84.1 83.8 82.3 83.0 81.7	10.0 12.5 12.0 16.0 13.0 14.0	10.0 12.0 10.5 16.0 12.0 13.0	1.4 1.3 1.7 1.4 1.3 1.5
Avg. Std Dv 90% CI	82.5 0.3 0.2	74.8 0.6 0.5	7.6 0.6 0.5	6.9 0.2 0.2	0.5 0.0 0.0	85.5 0.4 0.3	86.8 0.7 0.6	88.2 0.7 0.6	6.8 0.4 0.3	82.9 0.9 0.8	12.9 2.0 1.7	12.2 2.1 1.8	1.4 0.2 0.1
500 FT	. FLYO	VER	TARGET IA	S 116	MPH								
B28 B29 B30 B31	81.5 82.0 83.1 81.9	73.4 74.1 75.2 73.8	8.1 7.8 7.9 8.1	7.4 7.2 6.6 7.0	0.5 0.5 0.4 0.5	84.7 85.1 86.2 85.0	85.1 85.8 87.6 85.6	86.4 87.0 88.7 87.1	7.5 6.5 6.4 6.9	81.3 80.7 82.7 79.7	12.5 12.0 16.0 14.0	13.0 17.5 14.5 14.0	1.4 1.6 1.3 1.6
Avg. Std Dv 90% CI		74.1 0.8 0.9	8.0 0.1 0.1	7.1 0.3 0.4	0.5 0.1 0.1	85.2 0.6 0.8	86.0 1.1 1.3	87.3 1.0 1.2	6.8 0.5 0.6	81.1 1.3 1.5	13.6 1.8 2.1	14.7 1.9 2.3	1.5 0.2 0.2
500 FT	. FLYO	VER	TARGET IA	S 101.	5 HPH								
C32 C33 C34 C35 C36	81.2 80.7 81.2 81.1 82.3	72.8 73.2 72.2 73.7 73.7	8.4 7.5 9.0 7.4 8.6	7.2 7.2 7.0 6.5 6.8	0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4	84.2 84.6 85.3	84.7 85.7 83.7 86.3 84.7	85.7 86.6 85.0 87.2 85.7	6.8 6.8 7.6	79.2 82.0 78.9 81.4 81.0	14.5 11.0 19.5 13.5 18.0	18.0 26.0 18.5	1.0 0.9 1.3 0.9 1.3
Avg. Std Dv 90% CI	81.3 0.6 0.5	73.1 0.6 0.6	8.2 0.7 0.7	7.0 0.3 0.3	0.4	84.7 0.5 0.9	85.0 1.0 1.0	86.0 0.9 0.8	7.1 0.4 0.7	80.5 1.4 1.3	15.3 3.4 3.3	20.8 4.5 7.6	1.1 0.2 0.2
500 FT	. FLYO	VER	TARGET 1A	S 86 H	PH								
H45 H46 H47 H48	83.2 81.4 81.2 81.2	74.1 71.8 73.1 72.4	9.1 9.6 8.1 8.8	7.4 7.3 6.3 7.4	0.5 0.4 0.3 0.5	84.3 84.1 84.4	85.9 83.4 84.8 84.4	87.3 84.8 86.1 86.0	7.2 7.0 7.1	81.7 78.4 79.2 79.4	17.0 20.5 19.0 15.5	21.0 14.0 15.5	1.4 1.4 1.2 2.0
Avg. Std Dv 90% CI	81.7 1.0 1.1	72.9 1.0 1.1	8.9 0.6 0.7	7.1 0.5 0.6	0.4 0.1 0.1	84.3 0.2 0.3	84.6 1.0 1.2	86.0 1.0 1.2	7.1 0.1 0.2	79.7 1.4 1.6	18.0 2.2 2.6	16.8 3.7 6.2	1.5 0.3 0.4

DOT/TSC 3/29/84

## AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

# SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

## AS MEASURED #

			SI	TE: 4		CENTE	RLINE -	150 H.	WEST		JUNE 8	,1983		
E	V	SEL	ALa	SEL-ALB	K(A)	0	EPNL	PHLs	PNLTs	K(P)	OASPLB	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
Ť	-	-		-	2000	777	-7							
1	000 F1	. FLYO	WER	TARGET I	AS 130	.5 MPH								
	37	79.9	71.9	8.1	6.5	0.4	82.7	83.0	84.3	6.9	80.0	17.5	16.5	1.3
- 15	38	79.2	69.3	9.9	6.9	0.4	81.8	80.3	82.1	6.8	77.4	27.0	26.0	1.9
		79.3	70.2	9.2	7.1	0.4	82.0	81.6	83.2	6.9	78.9	19.5	19.0	1.5
	)40	78.8	67.9		7.5		81.4	79.6	81.0	7.2	76.9	27.5	28.0	1.4
1	lva.	79.3	69.8	9.5	7.0	0.4	82.0	81.1	82.7	6.9	78.3	22.9	22.4	1.5
	Std Dv		1.7	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.6	1.5	1.4	0.2	1.4	5.1	5.5	0.3
5	OZ CI	0.6	1.9	1.4	0.5	0.0	0.7	1.8	1.7	0.2	1.7	6.0	6.5	0.3
	TAKEOF	F T	ARGET	IAS 63 MP	H (MULT	I-SEG S	EE TEXT)							
-	349	84.6	74.7	9.9	7.5	0.5	87.0	85.4	87.5	7.3	78.2	20.5	20.5	2.1
	G50	84.2	73.9		7.8	0.5	86.6	84.6	86.3	7.8	77.8	21.0	20.5	1.8
	651	83.8	73.9	9.9	7.3	0.4	85.9	84.3	86.0	7.4	77.2	22.5	22.0	2.0
		84.0	74.4	9.6	7.1	0.4	-	85.3	87.1	876	77.9	23.0	-	1.8
	653	83.0	73.8	9.3	7.4	0.5	85.4	84.7	86.4	7.2	77.1	18.0	18.0	1.5
	G54	83.1	73.8	9.3	7.6	0.5	85.5	84.7	86.6	7.2	77.9	17.0	17.0	2.2
	Avg.	83.8	74.1	9.7	7.4	0.5	86.1	84.8	86.6		77.7	20.3		1.9
		0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	2.4		0.3
		0.5		0.3	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	2.0	1.9	0.2
	9 DEGI	REE APP	ROACH	TARGET	IAS 6	3 MPH								
	H18	88.3	79.8	8.5	6.3	0.3	91.0	91.9		6.2	86.6			1.0
	H19	86.9	78.6		6.3	0.3	89.1	90.4	91.0	6.2	85.0			0.
	H20	88.2	80.4	7.8	6.6	0.4	90.6	92.3	93.4	6.3	87.1			1.
	H21	85.6	76.4	9.2	6.9	0.4	87.9	88.1	89.2	6.6	82.3	21.5	20.5	1.
	Avg.	87.2	78.8	8.4	6.5	0.4	89.7	90.7			85.3			0.
		v 1.2			0.3	0.0	1.4				2.2			0.
		1 1.5			0.4	0.1	1.7	2.2	2.2	0.2	2.6	3.9	3.6	0.

<sup>\* -</sup> NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

#### TABLE NO. A.3-5.1 (REV.1)

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

#### SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED \*

SITE: 5 CENTERLINE - 188 N. EAST JUNE 8,1983 ALB SEL-ALB K(A) EPNL PNLm PNLTm K(P) EV SEL 0 DASPLm DUR(A) DUR(P) TAKEOFF -- TARGET IAS 63 MPH (ICAO) 79.1 76.3 6.7 0.4 90.6 90.6 92.6 91.8 14.5 15.5 1.9 2.5 2.7 2.3 E11 86.9 7.8 7.1 83.8 13.5 84.7 8.4 89.3 E12 89.6 0.4 6.6 83.6 14.0 16.5 89.4 88.7 92.1 6.5 13.5 13.5 E13 85.3 76.9 8.4 6.9 0.4 89.5 83.8 91.7 83.1 82.7 82.1 84.5 7.8 0.4 89.4 E15 76.7 6.6 E16 E17 76.5 8.3 6.6 0.4 88.8 90.9 18.5 84.8 2.1 84.4 88.4 7.1 16.5 Avg. 85.1 Std Dv 0.9 76.8 8.3 83.2 6.8 0.4 89.3 89.3 91.5 6.7 14.2 2.3 16.6 0.3 0.8 2.0 0.6 0.0 1.0 1.3 1.0 0.4 1.3 0.3 90% CI 0.8 0.5 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.2 6 DEGREE APPROACH -- TARGET IAS 63 MPH (ICAO) 7.2 97.5 F1 92.1 0.5 92.6 96.7 7.3 11.5 11.0 0.8 94.8 93.7 95.2 94.7 83.5 83.5 84.7 96.1 F2 92.1 8.7 0.5 96.9 7.2 92.0 13.5 12.5 0.8 96.6 97.3 97.2 97.9 7.4 7.9 8.1 7.2 6.7 95.8 96.3 91.5 92.0 91.7 6.7 7.5 7.1 F3 90.9 0.4 13.0 11.5 0.7 92.6 F4 F5 0.6 11.0 11.0 1.0 96.4 12.0 84.0 F6 91.8 84.6 0.5 94.7 96.9 6.8 92.5 11.0 10.0 1.0 F8 F9 92.9 84.7 8.2 7.3 0.4 95.2 93.8 96.9 97.7 6.6 92.5 15.0 13.5 0.9 7.0 6.6 Avg. 92.0 Std Dv 0.7 90% CI 0.4 97.2 0.5 0.3 92.0 0.8 84.2 7.8 0.5 94.6 12.5 11.6 7.1 96.4 7.0 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.1 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 1.4 1.1 0.1

DOT/TSC 6/ 9/84

0.9

0.7

0.1

<sup>\* -</sup> NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

#### SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

AS MEASURED #

		12	TE: 5		CENTE	RLINE -	188 M.	EAST		JUNE 8	3,1983		
EV	SEL	ALm	SEL-ALB	K(A)	6	EPNL	PNLa	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPLB	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
500 F	FT. FLYOV	JER	TARGET I	AS 143	MPH								
N41 N42 N43 N44	83.9 84.3 84.0 83.7	76.2 76.4 76.5 76.1	7.8 7.9 7.5 7.6	7.6 6.9 7.0 6.5	0.6 0.4 0.5 0.4	86.8 87.2 87.2 86.6	88.2 87.8 88.3 87.5	89.7 89.0 89.6 88.6	7.0 7.1 6.8 6.9	85.7 84.9 86.7 84.5	10.5 14.0 12.0 14.5	10.5 14.0 13.0 14.5	1.4 1.2 1.5 1.1
Avg. Std 1 90% i	Dv 0.2	76.3 0.2 0.2	7.7 0.2 0.2	7.0 0.4 0.5	0.5 0.1 0.1	87.0 0.3 0.3	88.0 0.4 0.5	89.2 0.5 0.6	7.0 0.1 0.2	85.4 1.0 1.2	12.7 1.8 2.2	13.0 1.8 2.1	1.3 0.2 0.2
500	FT. FLYO	VER	TARGET I	AS 130.	5 MPH								
A22 A23 A24 A25 A26 A27	83.0 83.1 83.2 82.9 82.8 82.3	75.3 75.2 75.5 75.3 75.3 74.7	7.7 7.9 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.6	7.3 7.5 6.9 6.7 6.6 6.3	0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4	86.1 86.0 85.9 86.1 85.4	86.9 86.6 87.5 87.1 87.6 86.4	88.5 87.9 88.5 88.1 89.0 87.3	7.3 7.6 7.0 6.4 6.7	84.1 82.6 84.2 83.0 84.8 82.8	11.5 11.5 13.5 13.5 14.0 16.0	11.0 11.5 13.0 13.0 16.5	1.7 1.4 1.0 1.0 1.3 0.9
Avg. Std 90%	Dv 0.3	75.2 0.3 0.2	7.7 0.2 0.1	6.9 0.4 0.4	0.4 0.1 0.1	85.9 0.3 0.3	87.0 0.5 0.4	88.2 0.6 0.5	7.0 0.5 0.5	83.6 0.9 0.7	13.3 1.7 1.4	13.0 2.2 2.1	1.2 0.3 0.3
500	FT. FLYO	VER	TARGET 1	AS 116	MPH								
B28 B29 B30 B31	83.1 82.1 83.1 82.4	75.5 73.8 75.7 74.4	7.7 8.3 7.3 8.0	7.1 7.3 6.8 6.9	0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4	86.2 85.2 86.4 85.6	87.3 85.6 88.3 86.6	88.2 87.2 89.4 87.4	7.4 7.1 6.6 7.2	82.6 80.6 84.4 82.2	12.0 13.5 12.0 14.5	12.0 13.5 11.5 14.0	1.4 1.8 1.0 0.8
Avg. Std 90%	Dv 0.5	74.9 0.9 1.0	7.8 0.4 0.5	7.0 0.2 0.3	0.5 0.0 0.0	85.9 0.5 0.6	86.9 1.1 1.3	88.1 1.0 1.2	7.1 0.3 0.4	82.5 1.5 1.8	13.0 1.2 1.4	12.7 1.2 1.4	1.3 0.4 0.5
500	FT. FLYO	VER	TARGET I	AS 101	.5 MPH								
C32 C33 C34 C35 C36	82.5 82.3 82.9 82.7	74.5 77.0 75.0 74.0 74.9	5.4 7.3 8.9	6.8 5.4 6.3 6.6 6.4	0.4 0.3 0.4 0.3	85.7 85.5 85.6 87.1 85.9	86.2 88.4 86.9 86.6 87.0	87.1 89.6 88.0 88.9 88.3	7.2 5.6 6.6 6.1 6.3	81.9 84.3 81.3 82.9 81.4	15.5 10.0 14.5 23.0 17.0	15.5 11.0 14.5 21.0 16.5	1.3 1.2 1.0 2.4 1.3
	. 82.6 Dv 0.2 C1 0.2	75.1 1.2 1.1	1.3	6.3 0.5 0.5	0.4	86.0 6.0 8.0	87.0 0.8 0.8	88.4 0.9 0.9	6.4 0.6 0.5	82.4 1.3 1.2	16.0 4.7 4.5	15.7 3.6 3.4	1.4 0.5 0.5
500	FT. FLYO	VER	TARGET !	AS 86	HPH								
H45 H46 H47 H48	82.3 81.5	74.4 73.5 72.7 74.3	8.8	6.2 7.4 7.1 6.9	0.3 0.5 0.4 0.4	85.6 85.6 84.6 85.8	86.5 85.7 84.8 86.4	87.5 86.9 86.2 87.4	6.6 7.4 6.8 7.3	81.4 81.9 80.3 81.3	20.0 15.5 17.0 15.0	15.0	1.2 1.4 1.0
Avg	10000000	73.7	8.4	6.9 0.5 0.6	0.4 0.1 0.1	85.4 0.5 0.6	85.8 0.8 0.9	87.0 0.6 0.7	7.0 0.4 0.4	81.2 0.7 0.8	2.2	1.2	1.2 0.2 0.2

<sup>\* -</sup> HOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

## TABLE NO. A.3-5.3 (REV.1)

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

SUMMARY NOISE LEVEL DATA

DOT/TSC 6/ 9/84

AS MEASURED #

HO DEHOUKED 3

		SI	TE: 5		CENT	ERLINE -	188 M.	EAST		JUNE	8,1983		
EV	SEL	ALB	SEL-ALB	K(A)	Ω	EPNL	PNLa	PNLTs	K(P)	DASPL	DUR(A)	DUR(P)	TC
	W. P. Tri	2002											
1000 F	T. FLY	OVER	TARGET 1	AS 130	.5 MPH								
037	79.3	71.2	8.1	6.3	0.3	-	82.7	84.1	-	80.3	19.0	-	1.4
D38	79.9	69.7	10.2	7.4	0.4	-	81.1	82.4	-	82.5	24.5	-	1.3
D39	79.2	69.2	10.0	7.4	0.4		80.4	81.7	-	76.6	22.5	-	1.5
D40	79.7	69.4	10.3	7.6	0.5	-	80.7	82.0	<u> 1920</u>	78.7	23.0	197	1.4
Avg.	79.5	69.9	9.7	7.2	0.4	-	81.2	82.6		79.5	22.2	-	1.4
Std Dv		0.9	1.0	0.6	0.1	-	1.0	1.1	-	2.5	2.3	-	0.1
901 CI	0.4	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.1		1.2	1.2	7.0	3.0	2.7	(m)	0.1
TAKEOF	F T	ARGET I	AS 63 MPH	(MULT	I-SEG SI	EE TEXT)							
649	87.6	80.5	7.1	6.9	0.5	90.9	92.1	94.3	6.3	84.6	11.0	11.0	2.3
650	86.6	77.6	9.0	7.4	0.5	89.6	89.2	91.2	7.0	82.4	16.5	15.5	2.0
651	87.0	78.9	8.1	6.9	0.4	89.9	90.4	92.8	6.4	82.8	15.0	12.5	2.4
G52	87.2	79.6	7.6	7.0	0.5	90.2	91.5	93.9	6.1	82.9	12.5	11.0	2.3
653	86.1	78.7	7.4	6.6	0.4	89.2	90.5	92.6	6.3	82.5	13.5	11.0	2.2
G54	85.5	78.1	7.4	6.6	0.4	88.4	89.3	91.1	6.5	82.8	13.0	13.0	2.0
Avg.	86.7	78.9	7.8	6.9	0.4	89.7	90.5	92.6	6.5	83.0	13.6	12.3	2.2
Std Dv		1.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.8	1.2	1.3	0.3	0.8	1.9	1.8	0.2
90% CI	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.7	1.0	1.1	0.3	0.7	1.6	1.5	0.1
9 DEGR	EE APP	ROACH -	- TARGET	IAS 63	MPH								
H18	91.9	85.9	6.1	6.1	0.4	94.4	97.6	98.6	6.3	93.5	10.0	8.5	1.0
H19	88.4	82.3	6.1	7.0	0.5	91.4	94.6	95.1	7.0	89.2	7.5	8.0	0.6
H20	89.7	82.4	7.3	6.6	0.4	92.4	95.0	95.7	6.7	89.8	12.5	10.0	0.9
H21	87.7	80.5	7.2	6.9	0.5	-	92.7	93.4	-	87.4	11.0	•	0.7
Avg.	89.5	82.8	6.7	6.7	0.5	92.8	95.0	95.7	6.7	90.0	10.2	8.8	0.8
Std Dv		2.2	0.7	0.4	0.1	1.5	2.0	2.1	0.3	2.6	2.1	1.0	0.2
90% CI	2.2	2.6	0.8	0.5	0.1	2.6	2.4	2.5	0.6	3.0	2.5	1.8	0.2

<sup>\* -</sup> NOISE INDEXES CALCULATED USING MEASURED DATA UNCORRECTED FOR TEMPERATURE, HUMIDITY, OR AIRCRAFT DEVIATION FROM REF FLIGHT TRACK

## APPENDIX B

## Direct Read Acoustical Data and Duration Factors for Flight Operations

In addition to the magnetic recording systems, four direct-read, Type-l noise measurement systems were deployed at selected sites during flight operations. The data acquisition is described in Section 5.6.2.

These direct read systems collected single event data consisting of maximum A-weighted sound level (AL), Sound Exposure Level (SEL), integration time (T), and equivalent sound level (LEQ). The SEL and dBA, as well as the integration time were put into a computer data file and analyzed to determine two figures of merit related to the event duration influence on the SEL energy dose metric. The data reduction is further described in Section 6.2.2; the analysis of these data is discussed in Section 9.3.

This appendix presents direct read data and contains the results of the helicopter noise duration effect analysis for flight operations. The direct read acoustical data for static operations is presented in Appendix D.

Each table within this appendix provides the following information:

Run No.	The test run number
SEL(dB)	Sound Exposure Level, expressed in decibels
AL(dB)	A-Weighted Sound Level, expressed in decibels
T(10-dB)	Integration time
K(V)	Propagation constant describing the change in dBA with distance
Q	Time history "shape factor"
Average	The average of the column
N	Sample size
Std Dev	Standard Deviation
90% C.I.	Ninety percent confidence interval
Mic Site	The centerline mircophone site at which the measurements were taken

MIC SITE: 5

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	0
A22	83.2	75.7	NA	NA	NA.
A23	83.3	75.2	NA	NA	NA
A24	83.6	76.1	NA	NA	NA
A25	83.1	75.2	NA	NA	NA
A26	83	75.5	NA	NA	NA
A27	82.5	74.4	NA	NA.	NA
AVERAGE	83.10	75.40			
N	6	6			
STD.DEV.	0.37	0.58			
90% C.1.	0.30	0.47			

TABLE B.1.2

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

			1		
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
A22	82.7	75.1	11.5	7.2	.5
A23	82.7	75.6	11	6.8	.5
A24	83.4	75.9	12.5	6.8	.4
A25	83.5	75.5	14	7	.5
A26	84	76.7	10	7.3	.5
A27	82.8	73.7	20	7	.4
AVERAGE	83.20	75.40	13.20	7.00	.5
N	6	6	6	6	ó
STD.DEV.	0.53	1.00	3.61	.19	.05
90% C.I.	0.44	0.82	2.97	.15	.04

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

* U		MIC SITE:		SITE:	4	
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q	
A22	82.7	75.7	11	6.7	.5	
A23	83.1	75.4	13	6.9	.5	
A24	83.1	75.1	12	7.4	.5	
A25	82.9	74.9	14	7	.5	
A26	83.5	75.1	13	7.5	.5	
A27	83	75.3	14	6.7	.4	
AVERAGE	83.10	75.30	12.80	7.00	.5	
N	6	6	6	6	6	
STD.DEV.	0.27	0.28	1.17	.35	.05	
90% C.I.	0.22	0.23	0.96	.29	.04	

TABLE B.2.1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=116 MPH

RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A)	5
	9
K40 85.1 (91)	
AVERAGE 82.50 74.80	
N 4 4	
STD.DEV. 0.69 0.96	
90% C.I. 0.82 1.13	

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=116 MPH

MIT	~	0.7	77		
MI	B.C.C	N. 1	11-		
114	w	WA.	3 14		

	RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	9
	828	82.2	75.5	12.5	6.1	.4
	B29	82.2	74	15.5	6.9	.4
	B30	83.1	75	12	7.5	.5
	B31	82.1	73.9	12.5	7.5	.5
1	AVERAGE	82.40	74.60	13.10	7.00	.5
	N	4	4	4	4	4
1000	STD.DEV.	0.47	0.78	1.60	.66	.08
7	90% C.1.	0.55	0.92	1.88	.77	.09

TABLE B.2.3

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=116 MPH

мτ	0	0.1	TE	
m	ы.	2	TE	

RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
828	81.8	73.3	13	7.6	.5
B29	82.6	74.6	13	7.2	.5
B30	83.6	75.9	16	6.4	.4
B31	82.5	74.3	13	7.4	.5
AVERAGE	82.60	74.50	13.80	7.10	.5
N	4	4	4	4	4
STD.DEV.	0.74	1.07	1.50	.53	.07
90% C.I.	0.87	1.26	1.77	.63	.09

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=101.5 MPH

RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A) Q

C32 82.4 74.5 NA NA NA NA
C33 82.8 77.4 NA NA NA NA
C34 82.1 74.6 NA NA NA NA
C35 82.5 74 NA NA NA NA
C36 82.4 74.3 NA NA NA
AVERAGE 82.40 75.00

N 5 5

STD.DEV. 0.25 1.38

90% C.I. 0.24 1.32

TABLE B.3.2

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYDVER/TARGET IAS=101.5 MPH

1	C SITE:	MIC SITE:			
Q	K(A)	T(10-DB)	AL(DB)	SEL(DB)	RUN NO.
.5	7.1	16	.74	82.6	C32
.3	5.7	12	76.7	82.9	C33
.4	6.8	17	73.8	82.2	C34
.4	6.6	18	73.5	81.8	C35
.5	7.4	16	73.7	82.6	C36
.4	6.70	15.80	74.30	82.40	AVERAGE
5	5	5	5	5	N
.06	.63	2.28	1.33	0.43	STD.DEV.
.05	.6	2.17	1.27	0.41	90% C.I.

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=101.5 MPH

			MIC SITE:		
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
C32	81.7	73.4	14	7.2	.5
C33	82.2	73.6	16	7.1	.5
C34	81.7	72.6	17	7.4	.5
C35	81.9	73.4	16	7.1	.4
C36	82.7	74.4	17	6.7	.4
AVERAGE	82.00	73.50	16.00	7.10	.5
N	5	5	5	5	5
STD.DEV.	0.42	0.64	1.22	.24	.03
90% C.I.	0.40	0.61	1.17	.23	.03

TABLE B.4.1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 1000 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

		nic site:			J	
	RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
	D37	79	71.1	NA NA	NA	NA
	D38	82.2	71.1	NA	NA	NA
	039	79.3	69.2	NA	NA	N/A
	D40	79	69.4	NA	NA NA	NA
	AVERAGE	79.90	70.20			
	N	4	4			
	STD.DEV.	1.56	1.04	R.		
	90% C.I.	1.83	1.23			

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 1000 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

MIC SITE: 1 RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A) Q D37 79.6 70.7 18 7.1 .4 D38 79.9 69.6 23 7.6 .5 .5 79.7 69 22 8 79.6 69.3 25 7.4 D39 .4 D40 79.6 79.70 69.70 22.00 7.50 AVERAGE 4 N 4 4 4 4 .05 STD.DEV. 0.14 0.74 2.94 .37 .06 90% C.1. 0.17 0.87 3.46 .44

TABLE B.4.3

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 1000 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

			MIC	SITE:	4
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
D37	80.4	71.9	16	7.1	.4
D38	79.5	69.5 70.5	21 20	7.6	.4
D40	79.3	68.9	25	7.4	.4
AVERAGE	79.80	70.20	20.50	7.30	.4
N	4	4	4	4	4
STD.DEV.	0.48	1.31	3.70	.24	.02
90% C.I.	0.56	1.54	4.35	.28	.03

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAO TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

MIC SITE: 5

RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	0
E10	85.9	77.2	NA	NA	NA
E11	87	78.9	NA	NA	NA
E12	84.5	76.4	NA	NA	NA
E13	85.2	76.1	NA	NA	NA
E14	84.4	74.9	NA	NA	NA
E15	84.6	76.1	NA	NA	NA
E16	NA.	NA.	NA	NA	NA
E17	84.5	74.9	NA	NA	NA
AVERAGE	85.20	76.40			
N	7	7			
STD.DEV.	0.97	1.39			
90% C.I.	0.72	1.02			

TABLE 8.5.2

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAO TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

MIC SITE: 1 RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A) E10 84.6 75.3 20 7.1 .4 E11 83.7 72.1 NA NA E12 82.5 72.9 22 7.2 19 E13 7.2 83.1 73.9 E14 NA NA NA NA NA 22.5 7.5 .5 E15 83 72.9 71.5 29 .5 E16 82.7 7.7 E17 84.1 75.1 19 .4 7.30 AVERAGE 83.40 73.40 21.90 7 7 6 N 6 6 STD.DEV. 0.77 1.45 3.77 .24 .02 1.06 3.10 .19 .01 90% C.I. 0.57

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAD TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	4
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
E10	83.9	73.2	30	7.2	.4
E11	82	69.9	34	7.9	.5
E12	81.9	71.7	22	7.6	.5
E13	81.6	71.6	24	7.2	.4
E14	81.1	69.9	26	7.9	.5
E15	81.6	70.5	24	8	.5
E16	82	71.3	30	7.2	.4
E17	82.6	71.4	25	8	.5
AVERAGE	82.10	71.20	26.90	7.70	.5
N	8	8	8	8	8
STD.DEV.	0.85	1.09	4.05	.36	.06
90% C.I.	0.57	0.73	2.71	.24	.04

TABLE B.6.1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 6 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	5
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
F1	92.7	85	NA	NA	NA
F2	92.5	83.9	NA	NA	NA.
F3	91.2	84.1	NA	NA	NA
F4	93	85.2	NA	NA	NA
F5	92.5	84.9	NA	NA	NA
F6	92	85.4	NA	NA.	NA
F7	92.1	84	NA	NA	NA
F8	93.4	85.3	NA	NA	NA
F9	92	84.3	NA	*NA	NA
AVERAGE	92.40	84.70			
N	9	9			
-STD.DEV.	0.64	0.60			
90% C.I.	0.40	0.37	,		

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 6 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	1
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
F1	91.9	83.6	12.5	7.6	.5
F2	91.7	83.5	13	7.4	.5
F3	91.8	82.6	14	8	.6
F4	91.6	83.8	13	7	.5
F5	91.2	82.3	15	7.6	.5
F6	91.6	82.6	16	7.5	.5
F7	91.8	83.2		7.3	.5
F8	90.5	81.4	15.5	7.6	.5
F9	91.6	83.1		7.9	.6
AVERAGE	91.50	82.90	14.00	7.50	.5
N	9	9	9	9	9
STD.DEV.	0.43	0.76	1.44	.3	.04
90% C.1.	0.27	0.47	0.89	.19	.03

TABLE B.6.3

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 6 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGET 1AS=63 MPH

			M	C SITE:	4
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
F1	NA	NA.	NA	NA.	NA
F2	91.2	81.5	19	7.6	.5
F3	90.6	81.6	17	7.3	.5
F4	89.5	80	16	7.9	.6
F5	90.5	81	16	7.9	.6
F6	91.1	82.7	16	7	.4
F7	90.9	81.7	16	7.6	.5
F8	89.7	80	16	8.1	.6
F9	91	82	14	7.9	.6
AVERAGE	90.60	81.30	16.30	7.70	.5
N	8	8	8	8	8
STD.DEV.	0.64	0.94	1.39	.36	.05
90% C.I.	0.43	0.63	0.93	.24	.04

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			н	IC SITE:	5
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
649	87.4	80	NA	NA	NA
G50	86.4	77.4	NA	NA	NA
651	86.8	78.8	NA	NA	NA
G52	87.1	79.5	NA.	NA.	NA
653	86.4	78.9	NA	NA.	NA.
654	85.7	78.2	NA	NA	NA
AVERAGE	86.60	78.80			
N	6	6	es M		
STD.DEV.	0.60	0.92	2		
90% C.I.	0.50	0.76	5		

TABLE B.7.2

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			MIC	SITE:	1	
	RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
	649	85.5	76.7	17	7.2	.4
	650	85.4	75.9	19	7.4	.5
	651	84	74.6	18	7.5	.5
	G52	85.5	76.4	15	7.7	.5
	653	84.1	75.3	14	7.7	.5
	G54	84.5	76.2	14	7.2	.5
	AVERAGE	84.80	75.90	16.20	7.50	.5
	N	6	6	6	6	6
	STD.DEV.	0.71	0.78	2.14	.23	.04
	90% C.I.	0.59	0.64	1.76	.19	.03

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	4
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
649	84.9	74.9	20	7.7	.5
650	NA	NA	21	NA	NA.
651	84	74.4	22	7.2	.4
652	84.3	74.6	23	7.1	.4
653	83.4	74.4	18	7.2	.4
G54	83.4	74	18	7.5	.5
AVERAGE	84.00	74.50	20.30	7.30	.4
N	5	5	6	5	5
STD.DEV.	0.64	0.33	2.07	.25	.04
90% C.I.	0.61	0.31	1.70	.24	.04

TABLE B.8.1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 9 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGETIAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	5
	0240102400			2000	
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	0
H18	92.2	85.9	NA.	NA	NA
H19	88.2	82.2	NA.	NA.	NA
H20	89.3	82.7	NA	NA	NA
H21	87.7	80.6	NA	NA	NA
AVERAGE	89.40	82.90			
N	4	4			
STD.DEV.	2.01	2,22			
90% C.I.	2.37	2.61			

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 9 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGETIAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	1	
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q	
H18	90.6	82.8	11	7.5	.5	
H19	87	78.7	16	6.9	.4	
H20	88.6	80.9	12	7.1	.5	
H21	86.7	78.6	12	7.5	.5	
AVERAGE	88.20	80.30	12.80	7.30	.5	
N	4	4	4	4	4	
STD.DEV.	1.79	2.00	2.22	.3	.06	
90% C.1.	2.11	2.36	2.61	.35	.07	

TABLE B.8.3

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 9 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGETIAS=63 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	4	
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q	
H18	88.9	80	19	7	.4	
H19	87.5	79.3	13	7.4	.5	
H20	88.8	80.8	15	6.8	.4	
H21	85.9	76.8		6.9	.4	
AVERAGE	87.80	79.20	17.00	7.00	.4	
N	4	4	4	4	4	
STD.DEV.	1.40	1.73	3.65	.25	.05	
90% C.I.	1.65	2.03	4.30	.29	.06	

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=86 MPH

			MI	C SITE:	5
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
M45	82.5	74.5	NA NA	NA	NA
M46	82.2	73.4	NA	NA	NA
M47	81.4	72.7	NA	NA	NA.
M48	82.8	73.9	NA	NA NA	NA
AVERAGE	82.20	73.60			
N	4	4			
STD.DEV.	0.60	8.76			
90% C.I.	0.71	0.90			

TABLE B.9.2

MIC SITE: 1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=86 MPH

			111	G JIIL.	1
RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
M45	83.6	74.8	17	7.2	.4
M46	82	73.8	15	7	4
M47	81.5	72.7	15	7.5	.5
M48	82.2	73.1	17	7.4	.5
AVERAGE	82.30	73.60	16.00	7.30	.5
N	4	4	4	4	4
STD.DEV.	0.90	0.92	1.15	.23	.03
90% C.I.	1.06	1.08	1.36	.27	.04

TABLE B.9.3

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=86 MPH

MIC SITE: 4 RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A) 8 83.8 74 M45 17 .6 71.9 7.7 .5 81.9 20 M46 14 7.4 .5 73.1 M47 81.6 16 7.5 .5 M48 82.1 73.1 .5 82.40 73.00 16.80 7.60 AVERAGE 4 N 4 4 STD.DEV. 0.99 0.86 2.50 .25 .03 90% C.I. 1.16 1.01 2.94 .29 .03

# TABLE 8.10.1

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=143 MPH

MIC SITE: 5 RUN NO. SEL(DB) AL(DB) T(10-DB) K(A) NA NA NA 76.7 83.2 N41 76.4 76.6 75.9 NA NA 84.1 NA N42 NA N43 84 NA 83.7 NA N44 76.40 AVERAGE 83.80 4 N 0.36 STD.DEV. 0.40 90% C.I. 0.48 0.42

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=143 MPH

	n .	-	100
M I		C 1 1	
111		31 I	E:

RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	0
N41	84.3	77	12	6.8	.4
N42	83.9	75.7	12	7.6	.6
N43	84.9	77.2	11	7.4	.5
N44	83.7	75.6	14	7.1	.5
AVERAGE	84.20	76.40	12.30	7.20	.5
N	4	4	4	4	4
STD.DEV.	0.53	0.84	1.26	.37	.05
90% C.I.	0.62	0.99	1.48	.43	.06

## TABLE B.10.3

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=143 MPH

me arre, 4	MIC	SITE:	4
------------	-----	-------	---

RUN NO.	SEL(DB)	AL(DB)	T(10-DB)	K(A)	Q
N41	84.4	76.8	10	7.6	.6
N42	83.7	76	13	6.9	.5
N43	84.1	76.6	11	7.2	.5
N44	84.8	76.8	12	7.4	.5
AVERAGE	84.30	76.60	11.50	7.30	.5
N	4	4	4	4	4
STD.DEV.	0.47	0.38	1.29	.3	.05
90% C.1.	0.55	0.45	1.52	.35	.06

#### APPENDIX C

Magnetic Recording Acoustical Data for Static Operations

This appendix contains time averaged, A-weighted sound level data along with time averaged, one-third octave sound pressure level information for eight different directivity emission angles. These data were acquired June 6 using the TSC magnetic recording system discussed in Section 5.6.1.

Thirty-two seconds of corrected raw spectral data (64 contiguous 1/2 second data records) have been energy averaged to produce the data tabulated in this appendix. The spectral data presented are "As Measured" for the given emission angles established relative to each microphone location. Also included in the tables are the 360 degree (eight emission angle) average levels, calculated by both arithmetic and energy averaging. The data reduction is further described in Section 6.1. Figure 6.1 (previously shown) provides the reader with a quick reference to the emission angle convention.

The data contained in these tables have been used in analyses presented in Sections 9.2 and 9.7. The reader may cross reference the magnetic recording data of this appendix with direct read static data presented in Appendix D.

A STATE OF THE STA

recommendate the contract of the state of th

#### TABLE NO. C.3-1H.1

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

## 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 1H (SOFT) - 150 M. NW

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

	LEVELS	@ ACO	HOVER	-IN-GF	ROUND-E	FFECT GLES OF	- (DEGR	(EES)	OVER	ERAGE 360	LEVEL DEGREES	
BAND NO.	0	45	90 IND PRE	135 SSURF	180 LEVEL	225 dB re	270 20 mic	315 roPasc	ENERGY	AVE **	ARITH	Std
456789012345678901234567890	120764805336241627704678342 090992881596529887542197639 5575665666555554484444333332	101986245682545678458239 101986630961 101986630961	988802287359881393041010005 890742950420409878784319759 8575665666655544444433332	892240369027680343407822009 222245263553831988653207528 5676766666665555444444433332	7165949150967255928623322 1220553268532053118765297 567676676666666555554444333	8102686312003433994921078 9012167889950854231988520 67676666665555554484444	49636250188123578412200083 98996212471852011118886420 456566676665555555444444	734285425984437864047914687 567556657666555555555544443	994771684680543895854 001014294642852101987 666666665555554444	258556570724724091877055254 616055555555555598852060 2334445555555555554444433	773427417292617258164849149 00010031936317411100976530961 567676666666555555444443333	4517092666990835316680222451
AL DASPL PNL PNLT	61.3 75.7 75.2 76.7	63.8 77.5 77.2 78.6	61.8 74.8 75.0 76.0	63.8 78.5 77.1 78.9	80.1 79.6	78.8 80.9	76.7 78.5	65.2 77.2 79.5 81.4	64.6 77.7 78.2 79.8	64.6	64.2 77.4 77.9 79.4	2.1 1.7 2.1 2.3

BANDS 14 TO 40 - STANDARD 1/3 OCTAVE BANDS 25 TO 10KHz

<sup>--</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES -- A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES -- UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-1H.2

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

E DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 1H

(SOFT) - 150 M. NW

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

	LEVELS	0 ACC	OUSTIC	FLIGHT EMMIS		GLES OF	CDEGR	REES)	OVE	VERAGE R 360	LEVEL	ı.
BAND NO.	0	45 SOL	90 IND PRE	135 SSURF	180 LEVEL	225 dB re	270	315 roPasc	ENERGY	Y AVE	ARITH	Std Dv
456789012345678901234567890	538268063946239330827730352 907008535083795456441087643 4666755656554333333333222222	21699751478624900876555085 566565555555543444333355353522	47962957616526845217892816927 45662977559330471010876431974 440876431974	5666839637219362010875420864 56657556655442010875420864	456571878931742820896388 4565718789317117677554210964 55655433333333332222	4048543241255666128408888888 40700095055340010874319864 4010874319864	500707006055680518231177777805 5007070066053054201177777805	769831382744242057920790711 45656556556554423333333333332222		573336435623304614036434162 5020468052328288800986531952	719339254944668074890915166 90600875568249999765320964 4666755686554333333333332222	2001111222222222222222111111110
AL OASPL PNL PNLT	56.1 74.1 68.9 70.7	56.5 73.0 69.5 71.3	60.3 74.5 72.9 74.4	59.3 75.5 71.9 74.3	59.5 75.6 72.5 74.7	60.3 75.0 73.1 74.7	61.1 74.7 73.7 74.9	60.3 75.1 73.3 75.3	59.5 74.7 72.1 73.9	59.5 - -	59.2 74.7 72.0 73.8	1.9 0.8 1.8 1.8

BANDS 14 TO 40 - STANDARD 1/3 OCTAVE BANDS 25 TO 10KHz

\*\*\*\* - 32 SECOND AVERGING TIME

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

#### TABLE NO. C.3-1H.3

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR)

#### 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 1H (SOFT) - 150 M. NW

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

	GROUND IDLE****								AVERAGE LEVEL OVER 360 DEGREES								
	LEVELS @ ACQUSTIC EMMISION ANGLES OF (DEGREES									360	ARITH Std *** Dv 50.7 0.9 50.1 1.1 48.4 1.2						
BAND NO.	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERGY	AVE **	ARITH						
		SOUND	PRE	SSURE	LEVEL	dB re	20 mi	croPasca	1								
14	***	50.1		***	-	51.4	(mm)		50.8	6.1	50.7	0.9					
15		49.3	-	-	-	50.9	<del>12</del>	***	50.2	10.B	30.1						
16		47.6	55		***	49.3		***	48.5	13.9	48.4	0.4					
17 18		49.3	99.00	-		48.8		-	49.1	18.9	49.1	0.9					
18	**	51.7		355	-	50.0	**	11841	50.7	24.5	50.6	0.1					
19	2	50.1	-	***	-	49.9	=		50.0 48.3	27.5	40.0	0.3					
20	-70	50.1 48.1		an	-	48.4		-	48.5	29.2 31.3 34.1 36.3	48.2	0.2					
21	-	47.2	**	-	850	47.5	- 5	100	47.4	27 - 1	47.5	0.4					
21 223 45 67 89 01	_	47.8 47.7	**	**	**	47.2			47.0	34.1	47.1	ŏ.8					
23	-	47.7	730	- 55	-	46.6			47.2	30 - 3	47.1	0.3					
24		47.0	***			47.4		2.57	47.2	38.6	47.2 46.5	0.2					
25		46.7	-	_		46.4		-	46.6	40.0	70 4	0.1					
26		44	-	-	-	39.6	-	- 2	39.7	34.9	30 A	0.6					
27	-	30.0 28.5 28.7	**	~	-	30.8	7		30.4	51.5	39.4 30.4 28.2	0.4					
28	444	28.3	350	77	-	28.9			28.6 28.3	26.7	29.0	0.4					
29	444	28.5		***	-	28.0	-	-	20.0	27 4	27.7	0.4					
30	***	27.7	are		**	27.0	-	- 2	20 2	20.0	57 6	0.5					
31			-		73	26.6		-	27.0	27.4 28.8 28.8	57 6	1 5					
32	_	28.7	-			26.6			27.4 28.2 27.8 31.7	32.9	27.3 27.9 27.6 31.5	1.5					
33		32.8		-	77	30.2 30.5 29.2	_		31.7	75 7	31 0	0.7					
34 35 36	-	31.5	**	1	3.70	30.5	7.2		31.0	32.3	31.0 30.2 27.6	1.4					
35		31.2	***			27.2	-	**	77 6	28 6	27.6	1.1					
36	-	28.3 25.2	-			26.8	- 72		27.6 25.2 23.8 22.5	28.6	25.2	0.0					
37	-	25.2	-	100		25.2	-		27.0	23.7	23.7	0.4					
38		23.5	***			24.0	-		22.5	21.4	23.7	0.1					
39		22.4	-	-	-	22.0			21.6	19.1	21.6	0.1					
40	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	21.7	40	_		21.5	**		21.0	27.2	4						
		46.3	-			45.8	17.2	-	46.1	46.1	46.1	0.4					
AL		59.6			_	59.8		-	59.7	-	59.7	0.1					
DASPL		50.7		-	24	58.1	2		58.4	***	58.4	0.4					
PNLT		58.7 59.6	-	*-	-	58.4	-	100	58.9		59.0	0.6					

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-2H.1

## AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 2

(SOFT) - 150 M. WEST

JUNE 8,1983

	LEVELS	@ ACC	HOVEF DUSTIC	R-IN-GE EMMIS	REES)	AVERAGE LEVEL OVER 360 DEGREES						
BAND NO.	0	45 SOL	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERG		ARITH	
23 24 25	433663056808263373332298933 623324091500218315532174293 6767666666665555555544293	5034284049771194797466987884 8555535060344410590098639748 5676766666666665455444433332	153688470206788479657191384 6334384395776418398754308526 567666666666554444433332	SURE 0911179297807179927765617252 54444763956778748556654295355 56767666666666555555555442933	LE 364116510805071849296252883 5454876647909987177631062848 567667666665555555448332	e 633488679418277991209215917 4345364655776752414420074204 567676666666665555555544443	0111549415716474864787280374 56766676766666555554444072	Pa 5704332222758410209500035683 0P 535394114754431510098752072 5676666666665555544444333	984228289453007012797431979 55344453048666652734310963071	248003175597288218710636864 149483444177791180634432073069	773195704787407021788574211 534425204755541623209852071 567676676666666555555444433	110031200000000000000000000000000000000
AL OASPL PNL PNLT	66.7 78.4 79.4 80.9	66.8 79.4 79.2 80.4	67.3 78.9 79.3 80.2	71.2 81.6 83.6 85.7	72.7 83.0 84.4 86.5	69.6 80.0 82.2 83.4	68.1 79.6 81.9 83.4	67.7 79.3 79.9 81.4	69.3 80.3 81.6 83.2	69.3	68.8 80.0 81.2 82.7	2.2 1.5 2.1 2.4

BANDS 14 TO 40 - STANDARD 1/3 OCTAVE BANDS 25 TO 10KHz

\*\*\*\* - 32 SECOND AVERGING TIME

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

#### TABLE NO. C.3-2H.2

### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 2

(SOFT) - 150 M. WEST

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

				AVERAGE LEVEL								
	LEVELS	@ ACC	USTIC	EMMISI	ON AND	SLES OF	(DEGR	EES)	OVER	360	DEGREES	Š.
BAND NO.	0	45 SOU	90 IND PRE	135 SSURE	180 LEVEL	225 dB re	270 20 mic	315 roPasc	ENERGY	AVE **	ARITH	Std Dv
456789012345678901234567890	373661896412446368697008386 630331656396416988876420951 65656565555433333333332221	195023481045895412348939497 329209748233173622108641951 56667556566665544444833332222	56665556665554433333333333322	273297563277485547591306500 429220750554162521209752073 566676556666555421209752073	9 4 6 8 6 1 5 9 8 7 7 4 2 6 7 8 3 6 2 6 8 8 4 1 7 2 7 8 3 6 2 6 8 8 4 1 7 2 7 8 3 6 2 6 8 8 4 1 7 2 7 8 3 6 5 5 6 5 5 6 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 5 4 4 4 4 4	367732190516869483277505999 63042315174219554443210863183 56767666666655544432333322	5677799565730735081520651250 5676919906329624221097533174 56766665555442210975331774	540294488972021764581564396 5676765718521764581564396 567657656655554442220853395	099581520462061208798008841 52931188805320625211198863184 444433333222	1233343354416506242404711203736 03533589264555550422211974171	399348357137513256565554407 66976565666555444444333333222	20012112111222223211112222111
AL DASPL PNL PNLT	59.1 76.9 72.3 74.2	63.3 75.7 74.9 76.5	62.5 75.4 74.4 75.5	64.1 77.0 76.0 77.9	62.5 77.6 75.8 77.6	64.5 77.5 76.9 78.3	63.2 77.0 75.8 77.4	63.1 77.2 76.6 78.7	63.0 76.8 75.3 76.9	63.0 - -	62.8 76.8 75.3 77.0	1.6 0.8 1.5 1.5

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-2H.3

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

SITE: 2

(SOFT) - 150 M. WEST JUNE 8,1983

			GROU	ND IDLE	****					3542000	
	LEVELS	@ ACOUS	TIC EMMI	SION AN	GLES 0	F (DEG	REES)	OVE	R 360	DEGREES	3
BAND NO.	0	45	90 135	180	225	270	315	ENERG	Y AVE	ARITH	Std
		SOUND	PRESSUR	E LEVEL	dB re	20 mi	croPasc	* la	**	***	DV
14	56.6	_		51.9		-		54 0	10.2	54.2	3.3
15	54.2	-		51.8	_	3	2	53.2	13.8	57.0	1.7
16	54.45 51.8 51.8 51.8 51.8	-		51.8 49.7	-	-	-	92632764622554985913971552 43011086555415198802210742 55555544444433222333333222	16.0	53.0 50.5 51.2 51.1 50.6	1 2
7890123456789012	51.5	_		51.0 50.5 50.7 48.7	_		-	51.3	16.0 21.1 25.0	51.2	0.4
18	51.8			50.5	-	_		51.2	25.0	51.1	0.9
19	50.6			50.7	- 7			50.7	28.2	50.6	0.1
20	48.5		-	48.7	-			48.6	28.2 29.5 30.3 32.2	48.6	0.1
22	46.1	-	-		_	-	75	46.4	30.3	46.4	0.4
22	40.4		5	45.7	Ξ	77		45.6	32.2	45.5	0.2
24	44.6 43.5 43.9	Ξ		45.8 46.4 45.0	-		-	45.2	34.3 36.6 37.9 36.2 30.0 29.5 28.5	45.2	0.8
25	47.0	_		40.4	_		-	45.2	36.6	44.9	2.1
24	41 9		I I	45.0			2.2	44.5	37.9	44.4	0.8
27	41.8 34.9 31.9 30.0 28.7	_	-	41.2 35.8	Ξ		-	41.5	36.7	41.5 35.3	0.4
28	31.9	_	-	31.8	- 5			35.4	32.2	35.3	0.6
29	30.0	323		29.6	-	_	_	31.7	30.0	31.8	0.1
30	28.7	-	I I	28.3	-	- 2	-	27.0	27.0	29.8	0.3
31	27.8	12	<u> </u>	29.8		_	-	20.0	20.0	28.5	0.3
32	28.3		-	31.3	=		-	70.1	71 1	20.0	2 . 4
33	29.1	-		28.3 29.8 31.3 34.1		-	-	30.1	27.5	71 /	4 · 1
33 34 35 36	2078.3.15.89.2.10 228.90.8.9.2.10 228.5.4.10		= =	34.5 33.4 32.2	-	2	_	32.0	29.5 31.15 34.2 31.10 20.4	28.8 29.8 31.6 32.5 31.1 29.0 26.8	123234357
35	28.8	-		33.4			-	31.7	32.0	31 1	4.5
36	25.9	= 2		32.2			-	30.1	31 1	29.0	AF
37	24.2	-	2 2	29.4	+	-	-	27.5	28.0	26.8	3 7
38 39	22.1	-		26.1	-	-	-	24.5	24.4	24.1	2.8
39	20.8	-		23.2	-		-	22.2	21.1	22.0	1.7
40	20.1	-	-	21.2	-		-	20.7	21.1	20.6	0.8
AL	44.9	-		46.6	_	24	_	45.8	45.8	45.7	1.2
DASPL	61.8	÷ .		60.3	=	-	-	61.1	1010	61.1	1.1
PNL	57.0	-		59.5	_	_	-	61.1 58.4	227	58.2	1.8
PNLT	57.3	-		59.7	50	1377	650	58.6	-	58.5	1.7

<sup>-</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

<sup>\*\*\*\*\*</sup> TABULATED LEVELS ARE CONTAMINATED BY LOCAL AMBIENT

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-3500 HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 4H

(SOFT) - 300 M. WEST JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

			HOVER	-IN-GR	DUND-E	FFECT			AU	EDAGE	LEVEL	
	LEVELS	@ ACO	USTIC	EMMISI	ON ANG	LES OF	CDEGR	EES)	OVEŘ	360	DEGREES	
BAND NO.	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERGY	AVE	ARITH	Std
		200	ND PRE	SSURE	LEVEL	dB re	20 mic	roPasca	1			
456789012345678901234567	0225581043483720025726 55655451915986176787542 	02194356172596791837428 277753616021940890996540 555555555443334333333	9.66.43.41.9.55.53.79.99.7.460.19.10 6.66.65.55.53.82.9.89.9.75.40 6.55.55.53.82.9.89.9.75.40 6.55.55.53.82.9.89.9.75.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33	71681718786670132530256 51868800816666555555444431083	37.9	36.6 37.8 36.8 33.7 32.3	47166801936279242330534 5545405445514271778885439 6556554433333333333333	3001761770075310970144 55665555655554440999753	62330994172652509096473 556667615753062109096473 6555555544101976413	98718483786070629698793 313233334186070629698793 44677530629698793 4467753333333333333333333333333333333333	50213536593722680140729 066664750464294090097540 0665655655555444344333333	110141302223034432222222
38	-				<u>.</u>	-	**	**		-	**	77
39	-				-	-	51.5	-	-	_		-
46	-	**	-				***	-	70	- 5	ं	100
AL DASPL PNL PNLT	51.9 69.5 64.8 66.3	52.0 70.0 64.3 65.5	54.8 70.4 67.2 68.0	57.7 73.4 70.1 71.9	58.9 74.0 71.1 73.2	70.4	70.2 68.5	54.5 69.5 67.0 68.2	55.5 71.3 68.2 69.7	55.5 - -	54.8 70.9 67.3 68.7	2.5 1.8 2.5 2.8

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-4H.2

# AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 DCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

SITE: 4H

(SOFT) - 300 M. WEST

JUNE 8,1983

	LEVELS	@ ACC	USTIC	EMMIS	ON AND	GLES OF	F (DEGF	(EES)			LEVEL DEGREES	3
BAND NO.	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERG	Y AVE	ARITH	Std
		SOU	IND PRE	SSURE	LEVEL	dB re	20 mic	roPasc			777	Dv
4567890123456789012345	581373174908001223106 452653188383941102109 4565655545383933333333	1853778372894664433680 83010998911196166665310	94415123293410363158408 4941559993410363158408 555999614171523344331	0258598778899735392139 4502208592182545666429 55656545545543333333333	6157581568896208987428 15144200920605333444320 5565655645544533333333333	715136460404618827943 55627375562517307787653 556555555554433333333333333333333333	24111335601207897476754 7425131015071524665320 456555655544333333333333	5542442456508228314499 556565465555443333333329	3158812041869738670483 556565555555544333333333333	6796661902201540630615 65646022732184245666451 122233354344435333333333333	6045335639733973247173 05142208030724534554310 55656565555544333333333333	000100001111100000000000000000000000000
36 37 38 39		Ξ		••		=		_	-	-	-	-
38					777			-	_		_	-
39	-	1 2		-	2000		- 2			- 1		100
40	-	-	-	-	223		**	-	20	-	-	-
AL DASPL PNL PNLT	49.2 68.9 61.9 63.7	51.1 66.2 63.1 64.8	50.0 66.1 62.5 63.6	50.4 66.8 62.7 64.5	50.5 68.4 63.8 65.6	51.5 68.5 64.7 65.7	51.0 67.6 64.1 65.7	51.1 67.6 63.9 65.9	50.7 67.6 63.5 65.0	50.7	50.6 67.5 63.3 64.9	0.7 1.1 0.9 0.9

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-4H.3

### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 4H

(SOFT) - 300 M. WEST

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 4/21/84

	GROUND IDLE****										AUEDAGE LEUEL				
	LEVELS	@ ACOUS	TIC	EMMIS	ON AND	SLES	OF	(0)	EG	REES)	OVER	ERAGE 360	DEGREES		
BAND NO.	0	45	90	135	180	225		270	^	315	ENERGY	AVE	ARITH	Std	
140.			2.00	240	100	2.7.					*	**	***	DV	
		SOUND	PRE	SSURE	LEVEL	dB 1	re	20	m i	croPascal				//\T255	
14	48.2	_	-		52.7				_	2.	51.0	6.3	50.4	3.2	
15	4732423823404687252583 7670863083063444311263 4445444433332222222222222	-	100	in the same of	51.4	- 1	7.0		-	-	49.8		49.4	2.8	
16	46.7	-	-		49.6		-		-	-	44444433332222222222222217	48964899129091452 0373666667553103444	48.1	2.1	
17 18	47.3	-	-	-	48.8		-		-	-	48.1	17.9	48.1	11.6745746	
18	50.2	-	-	-	49.3		-		-	-	49.B	23.6	49.7	0.6	
901034567890	48.4	-	-	-	49.4	-	-		***	_	48.9	26.4	99939748002551762 45207305455432125 222222222222222	0.7	
20	46.2	-	100	2	45.6 42.6 39.8 37.7		-		-	***	45.9	26.8	45.9	0.4	
21	43.3	***	-		42.6	-	-		-		43.0	26.9	42.9	0.5	
22	40.8	-	-	77	39.8		-		-	1.70	40.3	26.9	40.3	0.7	
23	38.2	-	-	-	37.7		-		-	-	38.0	27.1	37.9	0.4	
24	33.3	-	-	_	34.2		-		-		33.8	25.2	33.7	0.6	
25	30.4	_	Ξ	-	30.5						30.5	23.9	30.4	0.1	
26	26.0			-	25.6 24.7		-		-	-	25.8	21.0	25.8	0.3	
27	23.4	-	_	-	24.7					7	24.1	20.9	24.0	0.9	
28	24.6			<b>■</b> 200	25.4		**		-	-	25.0	23.1	25.0	0.6	
29	24.8	-	1		25.6		_		-	<u> </u>	25.2	24.4	25.2	0.6	
30	24.7	-	_	= =	24.3		-		-		24.5	24.5	24.5	0.3	
31 32 33	23.2	-		-	23.9		-		-	12	23.6	24.2	23.5	0.5	
32	21.5		_	7.0	22.6		-				22.1	23.1	22.1	0.8	
33	21.2	-	-	***	22.6 22.3 22.8	- 1	***			-	21.8	23.0	21.7	0 " B	
34	22.5	-	21	-	22.8				-	_	22.7	24.0	22.6	0.2	
34 35	26.8	-		-	23.6		-		-	7 4	25.5	26.7	25.2	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
36 37	23.3	144	-	_	24.7	0.	-		-	2	24.1	25.1 22.6 19.6 18.0	24.0 22.1 19.7 19.1	1.0	
37	-	25	-	Ξ	22.1	1.83	7		-	Ξ	22.1	22.6	22.1		
38	-	-	-		19.7		-		-		19.7	19.6	19.7	=	
39	- 7	1.5	_	-	19.1		-		-	100	19.1	18.0	19.1	-	
40	-	-	-	-	23.6 24.7 22.1 19.7 19.1	3.5	**			-	19.1	16.6	19.1	70	
AL	38.0		-	-	38.0		-		_	-	38.1	38.1	38.0	0.0	
DASPL	38.0 56.8	22	-	-	38.0 58.7	100			_		57.9	-	57.7	1.3	
PNL	50.4	erec.	-	-	49.7		-		_	-	50.0	-	50.0	0.0	
PNLT	51.7	_	-	-	50.2		_		-	-	50.5	-	50.9	1.1	

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> TABULATED LEVELS ARE CONTAMINATED BY LOCAL AMBIENT

#### TABLE NO. C.3-5H.1 (REV.1)

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 5H

(HARD) - 150 M. NORTH

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 6/11/84

	LEVELS	e acous		-GROUND- ISION AN	483 Carl 1007 (183 Carl	F (DE	GREES)	OVE	VERAGE R 360	LEVEL DEGREES	i
BAND NO.	0	45	90 13	5 180	225	270	315	ENERG'	Y AVE	ARITH	Std
		SOUND	PRESSU	RE LEVEL	dB re	20 π	croPasca		10.0	200	DV
14	-		125	61.6	61.1	-	4	61.4	16.7	61.4	0.4
15				- 63.5	63.4		-	63.5	24.1	63.4	0 - 1
16	-	-		72.1	72.5			72.3	24·1 37.7	63.4	0.3
17	-	-	150	- 63.7	65.8	-	7.	64-9	34.7	64.7	0.3
18 19 20 21 22 23	-	<del>7</del>		- 77.8	75.2		-	76.7 69.3 67.7	50.5	76.5 69.2 67.3	1.8
19	_			- 68.4 - 65.5	70.0	-		69.3	46.8	69.2	1.1
20	135	150		65.5	69.2	-		67.7	46.8 48.6 58.7	67.3	2.6
21	- 7	4		76.0	73.1 73.3 75.2	-	- 2	14.8	58.7	14.6	2.1
22	**	_		70.9	73.3	-	75	72.3	58.9	72.1	1.7
23	_	<del></del>		- 74.6	75.2		*	74.9	64.0	74.9	0.4
24 25		-		- 75.3	77.3		-22	76.4	67.8	76.3	1.4
25	- 3	-		- 75.8	78.1 78.7		-	77.1	70.5	76.9	1.6
26				- 76.5	78.7			77.7	72.9	77.6	1.6
56				- 76.9 - 75.5	18-4	T	57	77.7	74.5	77.6	1 1
27 28 29 30				- 75.5	78.4 76.9 73.2		===	76.5	14.4	76.2	1.0
27	-			- 71.9	13.2	-		72.6	71.8	72.5	0.9
30	***			70.0	71.2	-	1	70.6	70.6	70.6	0.8
31 32	104			- 69.5	70.9	-		70.3	70.9	70.2	1.0
32		7		- 67.8	68.6	-	70	68.2 65.5	69.2	68.2	0.6
33				- 65.2	65.8	-	***	65.5	66.7	65.5	0.4
34 35				- 63.1	63.9	**		63.5	64.8	63.5	0.6
35				- 61.2 - 56.7 - 52.3 - 50.8	62.5			61.9 57.2	63.1	61.8	0.9
36				- 56.7	57.7	-		3/.2	58.2	57.2	0.7
37				- 52.3	53.7	-		53.1	53.6	53.0	1.0
38	**	*		- 50.8	52.5	-		51.7	51.6	51.6	1.2
39	-	-		46.5	48.7	(0.5		47.7	46.6	47.6	1.6
40	-	-	-	- 39.7	42.0	-		41.0	38.5	40.8	1.6
AL			-	- 81.1	82.6	-	-	81.9	81.9	81.9	1.1
DASPL.		**		- 81.1 - 86.3	87.2			86.8	772	86.7	0.6
PNLT	I	=	I :	- 92.3 - 94.2	93.6		-	93.0	=	92.9	0.9

<sup>-</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### TABLE NO. C.3-5H.2 (REV.1)

#### AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

DOT/TSC 6/11/84

SITE: 5H

(HARD) - 150 M. NORTH JUNE 8,1983

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

	LEVELS	G ACC	DUSTIC	FLIGHT EMMIS	C. I. SASSOCIONES	GLES OF	F (DEGR	(EES)	OVE	VERAGE R 360	LEVEL DEGREES	i
BAND NO.	0		90 IND BB5	135	180	225		315	*	Y AVE	ARITH	Std Dv
11122222222222333333333333333333333333	372572967803010999866226334 8181094252099862219643186637 5666755656655555544443333332	2.449380015753191610837296271 6649380756901198752963287850 665655566655555443333333	PR 3963560009772271146880970400 5674889805532420746887750 66553244187750	543328246055981028414588446 927039749644223074297519961 56667556666666555544433333	VE 21183596970859583642368199289 56867657666664432987553217755445 576666666655555555445	72920114500899876111230461458 7292019425444429863085149960 6667656666666665555568519960	18060066740660206059290737 66766666677777776666555554451	63.0 68.4 66.9	586671925621858578266500633 92820197166655530864197742183 56667656666655555544442183	1233438011765039774289705528 434248018579121987520852170 455555555555555528	828200960554432975297520072 5686765666666665555544444433	5396070733568999436811107414
AL DASPL PNL PNLT	63.0 75.0 74.8 76.5	65.1 75.5 76.5 77.9	68.2 76.5 79.2 80.4	68.2 77.2 79.1 81.3	69.4 78.2 81.4 83.4	68.9 77.0 80.0 81.5	75.0 80.9 86.1 86.7	70.1 77.9 81.6 82.9	69.8 77.6 81.1 82.6	69.8 -	68.5 77.3 79.8 81.3	3.5 1.8 3.4 3.2

BANDS 14 TO 40 - STANDARD 1/3 OCTAVE BANDS 25 TO 10KHz

\*\*\*\* - 32 SECOND AVERGING TIME

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

#### TABLE NO. C.3-5H.3 (REV.1)

## AEROSPATIALE AS-350D HELICOPTER (ASTAR) 1/3 OCTAVE NOISE DATA -- STATIC TESTS

AS MEASURED\*\*\*\*

SITE: 5H

(HARD) - 150 M. NORTH

CEDIAIN THE

JUNE 8,1983

DOT/TSC 6/11/84

	LEVELS	@ AC	OUSTIC	GROUNI EMMIS	O IDLE	GLES O	F (DEGF	REES)	QVE	VERAGE R 360	LEVEL DEGREES	i
BAND NO.	0	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	ENERG	Y AVE	ARITH	Std
		50	UND PRE	SSURE	LEVEL	dB re	20 1110	roPasca				
111111022222222223333333333333333333333			140223526056598105166623 6665555555555554465333318				438434450327903344019926 55555544455555444444444444 4445555444444		605705943292311169855870 6555555445555544444444444443	969580839336592365878075 112222333333344444444444444444444444444	734878433181291224642779 976431990012966754322207 55555544555544444444443	056643010000111400N11100
38 39 40	Ξ	=	38.2 35.3 29.7	=	=	=	36.7 33.2 28.4	-	37.5 34.4 29.1	37.4 33.3 26.6	37.4 34.2 29.0	1.5
AL OASPL PNL PNLT			57.1 69.1 69.9 70.9	-	=		55.0 63.0 67.8 68.1		56.2 67.0 69.0 69.5	56.2	56.1 66.1 68.8 69.5	1.5 4.3 1.5 2.0

<sup>\* -</sup> UNWEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\* - A-WEIGHTED ENERGY AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES
\*\*\* - UNWEIGHTED ARITHMETIC AVERAGE OF MEASURED LEVELS OVER 360 DEGREES

<sup>\*\*\*\* - 32</sup> SECOND AVERGING TIME

#### APPENDIX D

#### Direct Read Acoustical Data for Static Operations

This appendix contains time averaged, A-weighted sound level data (Leq values) obtained using direct read Precision Integrating Sound Level meters. Data are presented for microphone locations 5H, 2, and 4 (see Figure 3.3).

A description of the measurement systems is provided in Section 5.6.2, and a figure of the typical PISLM system is shown in Figure 5.4. Data are shown in Table D-1, depicting the equivalent sound levels for eight different source emission angles. In each case the angle is indexed to the specific measurement site. A figure showing the emission angle convention is included in the text (Figure 6.1). In each case, the Leq (or time averaged AL) represents an average over a sample period of approximately 60 seconds.

Quantities appearing in this appendix include:

HIGE Hover-in-ground-effect, skid height 5 feet above

ground level

HOGE Hover-out-of-ground-effect, skid height 30 feet

above ground level

Flight Idle Skids on ground

Ground Idle Skids on ground

TABLE D.1.1

# STATIC OPERATIONS DIRECT READ DATA (ALL VALUES A-WEIGHTED LEG, EXPRESSED IN DECIBLES)

ASTAR

6-8-83

SITE 4H (SOFT SITE)

HIGE		FLT.IDLE		GRN.IDLE		
1-0	54.30	J-0A	50.40	J-0B	40.30	
I-315	56.80	J-315A	52.30	J-315B	NA.	
1-270	57.70	J-270A	53.30	J-270B	NA NA	
1-225	55.20	J-225A	52.60	J-2258	NA	
I-180	61.00	J-180A	52.20	J-180B	39.00	
I-135	59.70	J-135A	51.90	J-1358	NA.	
1-90	56.30	J-90A	51.30	J-90B	NA.	
I-45	54.90	J-45A	51.80	J-45B	NA	

#### SITE 2 (SOFT SITE)

HIGE		FLT.IDLE		GND.IDLE	
1-0	65.80	J-0A	59.40	J-0B	47.20
I-315	68.20	J-315A	62.00	J-315B	NA
1-270	68.10	J-270A	63.40	J-270B	NA
1-225	68.20	J-225A	63.70	J-225B	NA
I-180	72.30	J-180A	62.50	J-180B	46.10
I-135	71.40	J-135A	64.30	J-135B	NA
1-90	67.40	J-90A	62.00	J-90B	NA
1-45	65.40	J-45A	65.20	J-45B	NA

TABLE D.1.2

#### STATIC OPERATIONS DIRECT READ DATA (ALL VALUES A-WEIGHTED LEG, EXPRESSED IN DECIBLES)

ASTAR

6-8-83

SITE 5H (F	MARD SITE)					
HIGE		FLT.IDLE		GRN.IDLE		
I-0 I-315 I-270 I-225 I-180 I-135 I-90 I-45	NA 76.70 73.70 74.40 78.00 83.50 83.50 77.00	J-0A J-315A J-270A J-225A J-180A J-135A J-90A J-45A	68.80 66.70 64.70 69.00 74.40 69.20 NA	J-08 J-3158 J-2708 J-2258 J-1808 J-1358 J-908 J-458	55.20 NA NA NA 56.00 NA NA	

#### SITE 7H (HARD SITE)

HIGE		FLT.IDLE		GND.IDLE	
I-0 I-315	71.49 70.61	J-0A J-315A	59.67 59.54	J-08 J-3158	51.12 NA NA
1-270	66.03	J-270A	58.46	J-270B	49.79
1-225	67.78	J-225A	62.97	J-225B	
I-180	69.85	J-180A	67.50	J-180B	NA
I-135	74.99	J-135A	60.69	J-135B	NA
1-90	77.36	J-90A	62.48	J-908	NA
1-45	70.14	J-45A		J-45B	NA

#### APPENDIX E

#### Cockpit Instrument Photo Data

During each event of the June 1983 Helicopter Noise Measurement program cockpit photos were taken. The slides were projected onto a screen (considerably enlarged) making it possible to read the instruments with reasonable accuracy. The photos were supposed to be taken when the aircraft was directly over the centerline-center microphone site. Although this was not achieved in each case the cockpit photos reflect the helicopter "stabilized" configuration during the test event. One important caution is necessary in interpreting the photographic information; the snapshot freezes instrument readings at one moment of time whereas most readings are constantly changing by a small amount as the pilot "hunts" for the reference condition. Thus fluctuations above or below reference conditions are to be anticipated. The instrument readings are most useful in terms of verifying the region of operation for different parameters. The data acquisition is discussed in Section 5.3

Each table within this appendix provides the following information:

Event No. This event number along with the test date provides

a cross reference to other data.

Event Type This specifies the event.

Time of Photo The time of the range control synchronized clock

consistent with acoustical and tracking time

bases.

Heading The compass magnetic heading which fluctuates

around the target heading.

Altimeter Specifies the barometric altimeter reading, one of

the more stable indicators.

IAS Indicated airspeed, a fairly stable indicator.

Rotor Speed Main Rotor speed in RPM or percent, a very stable

indicator.

Torque The torque on the main rotor shaft, a fairly stable

value.

TABLE E.1

# COCKPIT PHOTO DATA

HELICOPTER	TER AStar				TEST DATE	TE 6-8-83	
EVENT NO.	EVENT TYPE	TIME OF PHOTO	HEADING (DEGREES)	ALTIMETER (AGL) FT. (METERS)	IAS (KTS)	ROTOR SPEED (RPM OR %)	TORQUE
F6	APPROACH	9:38:46	125	760	65	380	26
F7	APPROACH	9:43:51	125	770	62	380	28
F8	APPROACH	9:46:34	125	750	62	380	30
F9	APPROACH	9:51:03	120	740	99	380	26
E10	TAKEOFF	9:55:53	310	500	58	360	96
E11	TAKEOFF	9:58:30	310	620	62	360	100
E12	TAKEOFF	10:20:37	1	480	09	360	98
E13	TAKEOFF	10:26:54	1	440	09	360	74
E14	TAKEOFF	10:29:48	300	460	56	360	98
E15	TAKEOFF	10:33:11	305	470	ı	380	1
E16	TAKEOFF	10:35:28	ı	540	65	380	98
E17	TAKEOFF	10:41:56	305	500	65	380	100
H18	APPROACH	10:46:13	1	680	1	380	22
H19	APPROACH	10:49:25	1	700	80	380	10
H20	APPROACH	10:53:38	1	720	74	380	20
H21	APPROACH	10:56:00	1	700	73	380	12
A22	500 'LFO 0.9	11:02:18	120	780	130	385	84
A23		11:04:17	310	800	130	385	84
A24		11:06:27	120	780	130	385	82
A25		11:09:22	310	780	130	385	80
A26		11:11:22	120	770	130	385	78
A27	500'LF0 0.9	11:12:14	310	800	130	385	80
828	500 LFO 0.8	11:21:49	125	800	118	385	99
829		11:25:37	310	800	118	385	70
B30		11:27:35	120	780	1	385	62
B31		11:29:40	310	800	ſ	385	72

TABLE E.2

# COCKPIT PHOTO DATA

EVENT NO.	EVENT	TIME OF PHOTO	HEADING (DEGREES)	ALTIMETER (AGL) FT. (METERS)	IAS (KTS)	ROTOR SPEED (RPM OR %)	TORQUE
1300	HIGE	8:05	305	330	0	380	84
1345	HIGE	8:06:04	350	320	0	380	82
130	HIGE	8:08:37	030	320	0	380	82
175	HIGE	8:09:12	065	310	0	380	82
I120	HIGE	8:11:52	125	310	0	380	82
I165	HIGE	8:13:51	170	300	0	380	82
I210	HIGE	8:16:57	215	300	0	380	82
1255	HIGE	8:23:31	255	300	0	380	82
J300A	FLT IDLE	8:26:21	300	300	0	370	23
J345A	100	8:26:46	350	300	0	370	22
J030A		8:38:54	030	300	0	370	22
J75A		8:40:28	.080	300	0	370	22
J120A	FLT IDLE	8:42:12	120	300	0	370	22
J120B	GND IDLE	8:45:21	120	310	0	165	10
J165A	FLT IDLE	8:47:58	170	300	0	370	22
J210A	FLT IDLE	8:48:25	210	290	0	370	22
J255A	FLT IDLE	8:50:06	260	300	0	370	22
11	APPROACH	9:18:39	120	800	29	380	22
F2	APPROACH	9:22:34	125	770	65	380	=
F3	APPROACH	9:25:23	125	740	99	380	28
F4	APPROACH	9:29:04	120	790	69	380	28
1							

TABLE E.3

# COCKPIT PHOTO DATA

EVENT         EVENT         TIME OF         HEADING         ALTIMETER (AGL)         IAS         ROTOR SPEED         TORON           NO.         TYPE         PHOTO         (DEGREES)         FT. (METERS)         (KTS1)         (RPM OR %)         (\$1           C32         SOOTATEO 0.7         11:34:00         310         800         -         385         51           C34         SOOTATEO 0.7         11:36:00         -         810         100         385         51           C34         SOOTATEO 0.7         11:38:11         310         810         100         385         60           C35         SOOTATEO 0.7         11:40:24         310         810         98         385         62           C35         SOOTATEO 0.7         11:40:24         310         810         98         385         62           C35         SOOTATEO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385         62           D39         1000*LEO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385         62           D40         1000*LEO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385         62	HELICOPTER	TER AStar				TEST DATE	TE 6-8-83	
500*LFO 0.7         11:34:00         310         800         -         385           500*LFO 0.7         11:34:00         -         830         109         385           500*LFO 0.7         11:36:00         -         810         100         385           500*LFO 0.7         11:36:24         125         810         100         385           500*LFO 0.7         11:40:24         125         810         100         385           1000*LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         120         128         385           1000*LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385           1000*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         820         140         385           1000*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         820         140         385           1000*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         820         140         385           500*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         820         140         385           500*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         860         140         385           500*LFO 0.9         11:54:05         310         860         140         386	EVENT NO.	EVENT	TIME OF PHOTO	HEADING (DEGREES)	ALTIMETER (AGL) FT. (METERS)	IAS (KTS)		TOROUE (%)
\$600'LFO 0.7   11:36:100		00	11.34.00	310	800	1	385	70
500 'LFO 0.7         11:38:11         310         810         100         385           500 'LFO 0.7         11:40:24         125         810         100         385           500 'LFO 0.7         11:40:24         310         125         810         98         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         120         128         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         820         122         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:51:29         310         840         -         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:51:29         310         840         -         385           500 'LFO         11:51:29         310         840         -         385         1           500 'LFO         11:56:45         310         840         -         385         1           500 'LFO         12:01:47         125         310         860         140         385         1           500 'LFO         12:06:33         310         800         800         -         380           500 'LFO	522		11.36.00	1	830	109	385	51
500 'LFO 0.7         11:40:24         125         810         100         385           500 'LFO 0.7         11:40:24         310         125         810         98         385           500 'LFO 0.7         11:46:54         310         1250         132         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:46:54         310         1300         122         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:51:29         310         126         122         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:54:06         125         126         122         385           1000 'LFO 0.9         11:54:06         120         125         385         11           500 'LFO 0.9         11:51:29         310         840         -         385         1           500 'LFO 0.9         11:56:45         310         860         140         385         1           500 'LFO 12:00:35         310         860         140         385         1           500 'LFO 12:00:35         310         800         -         385         1           500 'LFO 12:00:35         310         60         83         385           500 'LFO 12:00:45         310         70         380 <td>533</td> <td></td> <td>11.38.11</td> <td>310</td> <td>810</td> <td>100</td> <td>385</td> <td>09</td>	533		11.38.11	310	810	100	385	09
500 LEO         11:42:30         310         810         98         385           500 LEO         11:42:30         310         126         132         385           1000 LEO         11:46:54         310         126         128         385           1000 LEO         11:46:54         125         126         122         385           1000 LEO         11:51:29         310         820         140         385           500 LEO         11:56:45         310         840         -         385           500 LEO         11:56:45         310         860         140         385           500 LEO         11:56:45         310         860         140         385           500 LEO         11:56:45         310         860         140         385           500 LEO         12:00:35         310         860         140         385           500 LEO         12:00:45         310         800         83         385           500 LEO         12:00:45         310         640         -         380           500 LEO         12:00:45         310         680         70         380           700 LEO	C34		11.40.24	125	810	100	385	62
1000   LFO 0.9   11:46:53   120   1250   132   385   120   1260   128   385   120   1260   128   385   120   1260   122   385   1200   LFO 0.9   11:54:26   125   126   125   385   125   120   125   385   125   120   125   125   120   125   125   120   125   120   125   120   125   120   125   120   120   125   120   125   120   125   120   125   120   125   125   120   125	C35 C36		11:42:30	310	810	86	385	43
1000 'LFO 0.9   11:46:54   310   1300   128   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:54:06   125   1310   122   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:54:06   125   1310   125   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:54:06   120   820   140   385   1155:29   120   840   -	1		11.66.53	120	1250	132	385	84
1000 'LFO 0.9   11:51:29   125   1260   122   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:51:29   310   1310   125   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:51:29   310   310   385   125   310   385   125   310   385   125   310   385   125   310   385   125   310   385   310	D3/		77.97.11	310	1300	128	385	80
1000 'LFO 0.9   11:51:29   310   1310   125   385   1000 'LFO 0.9   11:51:29   310   310   385   11   385   310   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310   385   310	D38		10.07.	125	1260	122	385	82
500 LFO         11:54:06         120         820         140         385         11           500 LFO         11:56:45         310         840         —         385         11           500 LFO         11:56:45         310         840         —         385         11           500 LFO         11:56:45         310         860         140         385         11           500 LFO         12:00:47         125         860         83         385         11           500 LFO         12:04:08         300         800         —         385         385           500 LFO         12:06:23         125         800         83         385         11           500 LFO         12:09:45         310         780         —         380           500 LFO         12:10:45         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:10:24         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380           700         740         79 <td>D39</td> <td></td> <td>77:64:11</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>1310</td> <td>125</td> <td>385</td> <td>7.1</td>	D39		77:64:11	0.0	1310	125	385	7.1
500*LFO         11:54:06         120         820         140         385         1           500*LFO         11:56:45         310         840         -         385         1           500*LFO         11:58:53         120         830         140         385         1           500*LFO         12:00:35         310         860         83         385         1           500*LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385         1           500*LFO         12:06:23         125         800         -         385         385           500*LFO         12:09:45         310         640         -         380           500*LFO         12:16:49         310         700         380           500*LFO         12:16:49         310         680         70         380           7AKEOFF         12:16:49         310         700         70         380           7AKEOFF         12:27:04         305         700         70         380           7AKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380           70         305         740         79         380	D40		11:51:29	010	2121	7		
500 LFO         11:56:45         310         840         -         385         1           500 LFO         11:56:45         310         830         148         385         1           500 LFO         12:00:35         310         860         -         385         1           500 LFO         12:01:47         125         760         83         385         1           500 LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385         1           500 LFO         12:06:23         125         800         -         385         385           500 LFO         12:09:45         310         780         -         380           500 LFO         12:09:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:12:45         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:30:30         305         740         79         380		OB II OO I	11.54.06	120	820	140	385	100
500 LFO         11:58:53         120         830         148         385         1           500 LFO         12:00:35         310         860         140         385         1           500 LFO         12:01:47         125         760         83         385         1           500 LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385         385           500 LFO         12:06:23         125         800         -         385         385           500 LFO         12:09:45         310         780         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:16:49         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:19:20         310         700         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380	N4 I	200 1100	20.45.11	310	840	1	385	100
500 LEO         12:00:35         310         860         140         385         1           500 LEO         12:00:35         310         860         140         385         1           500 LEO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385         385           500 LEO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385           500 LEO         12:06:23         125         800         -         385           500 LEO         12:09:45         310         780         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:12:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:19:20         310         700         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380           TAKEOFF         12:30:30         305         740         79         380	744	200 11 100	1.50.73	120	830	148	385	100
500*LFO         12:01:47         125         760         83         385           500*LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385           500*LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385           500*LFO         12:06:23         125         800         -         385           500*LFO         12:09:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:16:49         310         680         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:219:20         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         305         70         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         70         79         380           TAKEOFF         12:30:30         305         740         79         380	N43	200.750	20.00	01-0	860	140	385	100
500*LFO         12:01:47         125         760         83         385           500*LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385           500*LFO         12:06:23         125         800         83         385           500*LFO         12:06:23         310         780         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:12:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:19:20         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         310         700         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         305         700         70         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:30:30         305         740         79         380	N44	500'LF0	12:00:35	010	000			
500*LFO         12:04:08         300         800         -         385           500*LFO         12:06:23         125         800         83         385           500*LFO         12:06:23         125         800         -         380           500*LFO         12:09:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:16:49         310         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:19:20         310         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         310         70         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         70         79         380           TAKEOFF         12:30:30         305         740         79         380	3,77	500 T.FO	12:01:47	125	260	83	385	54
500°LFO         12:06:23         125         800         83         385           500°LFO         12:06:23         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:12:45         310         640         -         380           TAKEOFF         12:16:49         310         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:19:20         310         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:23:45         310         70         70         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         70         79         380           TAKEOFF         12:27:04         305         740         79         380	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 TOOS	12:04:08	300	800	1	385	1
TAKEOFF 12:12:45 310 780 – 380  TAKEOFF 12:12:45 310 640 – 380  TAKEOFF 12:16:49 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 310 700 70 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 305 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 740 79 380	M40	500 11 50	12:06:23	125	800	83	385	26
TAKEOFF 12:12:45 310 640 - 380  TAKEOFF 12:16:49 310 680 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:19:20 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 70 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	M48	500 LF0	12:09:45	310	780	1	380	62
TAKEOFF 12:16:49 310 680 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:19:20 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 700 79 380  TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	9	CLEO MATERIA	27.01.01	3.10	049	1	380	06
TAKEOFF 12:16:49 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 70 79 380  TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	646	TAKEUFF	C4.71:71	0 0	680	70	380	90
TAKEOFF 12:19:20 310 700 70 380  TAKEOFF 12:23:45 305 700 79 380  TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	650	TAKEOFF	12:16:49	010	000	100	380	06
TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 700 79 380 TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	G51	TAKEOFF	12:19:20	310	700	2 5	200	000
TAKEOFF 12:27:04 305 700 79 380 TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 79 380	G52	TAKEOFF	12:23:45	310	700	0 0	200	000
TAKEOFF 12:30:30 305 740 /9 380	653	TAKEOFF	12:27:04	305	700	7 1	000	0 0
	654	TAKEOFF	12:30:30	305	740	6/	380	0

#### APPENDIX F

#### Photo-Altitude and Flight Path Trajectory Data

This appendix contains the results of the photo-altitude and flight path trajectory analysis.

The helicopter altitude over a given microphone was determined by a photographic technique which involves photographing an aircraft during a flyover event and proportionally scaling the resulting image with the known dimensions of the aircraft. The data acquisition is described in detail in Section 5.2. The detailed data reduction procedures is set out in Section 6.2.1; the analysis of these data is discussed in Section 8.2

Each table within this appendix provides the following information:

Event No.	the test run number
Est. Alt.	estimated altitude above microphone site
P-Alt.	altitude above photo site, determined by photographic technique
Est. CPA	estimated closest point of approach to microphone site
Est. ANG	Helicopter elevation with respect to the ground as viewed from a sideline site as the helicopter passes through a plane perpendicular to the flight track and coincident with the observer location.
ANG 5-1	flight path slope, expressed in degrees, between P-Alt site 5 and P-Alt site 1.
ANG 1-4	flight path slope, expressed in degrees, between P-Alt Site 1 and P-Alt Site 4.
ANG 5-4	flight path slope, expressed in degrees, between P-Alt Site 5 and P-Alt Site 4.
Reg C/D Angle	flight path slope, expressed in degress, of regression line through P-Alt data points.

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER(0.9\*VH)/TARGET 1AS=130.5 MPH

			CE	TERLINE				SI	ELINE					
	١	IIC #5	1	11C W1	М	IC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	0/3
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
A22	538.5	529.8	535.7	556.7	533.5	522.5	727.3	47.4	727.6	47.4	3.1	-3.9	3	2
A23	570.7	567.3	600	592.3	623.4	620.3	775.9	50.6	773.1	50.8	2.9	3.3	3.1	2.7
A24	534.4	527.5	535.8	550.7	536.8	528.2	727.4	47.4	727.3	47.4	2.7	-2.5	0	.1
A25	555.2	550.4	568.6	572.3	579.3	573.9	751.9	49.1	750.6	49.2	2.5	.2	1.4	1.2
A26	513.5	508.5	513.9	525.1	514.2	507.9	711.5	46.2	711.4	46.2	1.9	-1.9	0	0
A27	585.8	581	606.4	606.4	622.9	617.7	780.9	50.9	778.9	51	3	1.3	2.1	1.9
AVERAGE	549.7	544.1	560.1	567.3	568.4	561.8	745.8	48.6	744.8	48.7				
STD. DEV	26.2	27.2	37.8	29.5	47.5	49.5	28.4	1.9	27.2	2				

TABLE F.2

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER(0.8\*VH)/TARGET IAS=116 MPH

		-	CEN	TERLINE				SII	ELINE					
	H	IIC #5	М	IC #1	N	IC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG.	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
B28	540.8	536.3	546.7	553.6	551.4	546	735.5	48	734.9	48	2	8	.6	.5
B29	552.8	550.4	549.3	556.7	546.5	543.3	737.4	48.2	737.8	48.1	.7	-1.5	3	2
B30	473.1	474.4	485.5	476	495.4	497.5	691.2	44.6	690.1	44.7	.2	2.5	1.3	1.1
B31	516.8	510.7	534.6	539	548.8	541.9	726.6	47.4	724.9	47.4	3.3	.3	1.8	1.7
AVERAGE	520.9	518	529	531.3	535.5	532.2	722.7	47.1	721.9	47.1				
STD. DEV	35.2	33.4	29.7	37.7	26.8	23.2	21.5	1.7	21.9	1.6				

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER(0.7\*VH)/TARGET IAS=101.5 MPH

## CENTERLINE SIDELINE MIC #1 MIC #4 MIC #2 N

	h	IC #5	1	IIC #1	N	IC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
C32	548.5	550.4	568.5	553.6	584.6	587.8	751.9	49.1	749.9	49.2	.4	4	2.2	1.9
C33	568.8	566	562.8	572.3	558	554.2	747.5	48.8	748.1	48.8	.7	-2	6	5
C34	544.8	541.8	575.4	566	599.9	597.4	757.1	49.5	754.1	49.6	2.8	3.7	3.2	2.8
€35	548.9	546.7	536	547.7	525.8	522.5	727.6	47.5	728.8	47.4	.1	-2.8	-1.3	-1.1
C36	550.2	546.7	547	556.7	544.5	539.9	735.7	48	736	48	1.2	-1.9	3	2
AVERAGE	552.2	550.3	558	559.3	562.5	560.4	744	48.6	743.4	48.6				
STD. DEV	9.5	9.3	16.1	9.8	29.9	31.7	12.1	.8	10.6	.9				

TABLE F.4

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 1000 FT.FLYOVER 0.9Vh/TARGET IAS=130.5 MPH

			CEN	TERLINE				SI	DELINE					
	١	(IC #5	١	11C #1	Ň	IIC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
D37	1013.9	1006.5	1059.9	NA	1087.2	1079.8	1168.5	65.1	1163.2	NA	NA	NA	4.3	4.3
D38	1081.1	1078.7	1075.4	1083.7	1071	1067.8	1182.6	65.4	1183.3	65.4	.6	-1.8	5	4
039	1076.1	1041.3	1054.9	1144.6	1038	993.4	1164	65	1166.4	65	11.9	-17	-2.7	-1.9
D40	1087.1	1078.7	1089.6	1107.3	1091.6	1081.1	1195.5	65.7	1195.2	65.7	3.3	-2.9	.1	.2
AVERAGE	1064.6	1051.3	1070	1111.9	1071.9	1055.5	1177.7	65.3	1177	65.4				
STD. DEV	34	34.7	15.7	30.7	24.3	41.8	14.3	.3	15	4				

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAO TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			CEV	TERLINE				SI	DELINE					
	ħ	IIC #5	١	IC #1	H	IIC #4	HI	C #2	н	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	AN6	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
E10	425.9	398.9	586.7	562.8	714.9	687.6	765.7	50	750	50.6	18.4	14.2	16.4	14.9
E11	430.8	379.5	674.4	661.5	868.7	814.4	834.8	53.9	809.8	54.6	29.8	17.3	23.8	22.6
E12	461.4	469	528.8	476	582.6	595	722.3	47.1	716	47.3	.8	13.6	7.3	6.3
E13	377.5	346.5	536.5	522.4	663.4	631.1	728	47.5	713.1	48.1	19.7	12.5	16.1	14.8
E14	383.1	355.3	562.5	530.6	705.5	678	747.3	48.8	730.2	49.5	19.6	16.7	18.2	16.7
E15	366.8	336	560.6	527.8	715.1	684.4	745.8	48.7	727.4	49.4	21.3	17.7	19.5	18
E16	410.1	379.5	600.5	569.1	752.3	721.7	776.3	50.7	757.6	51.3	21.1	17.2	19.2	17.7
E17	427.2	395	645.4	602.8	819.4	788	811.5	52.7	789.5	53.4	22.9	20.6	21.8	20.3
AVERAGE	410.3	382.5	586.9	556.6	727.7	700	766.5	49.9	749.2	50.5				
STD. DEV	32.2	41.6	51.4	56.7	88.5	73.6	39.7	2.4	35.1	2.5				

TABLE F.6

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: ICAO 6 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

			CEN	TERLINE				SII	ELINE					
	H	IIC #5	١	IIC #1	М	IC #4	MI	C #2	N1	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
F1	285.9	273.4	347.6	335.1	409.3	NA	602.4	35.2	605.8	NA	7.1	NA	NA	7.1
F2	294.6	283.9	353.6	346.5	400.7	389.8	605.9	35.7	601.5	36	7.3	5	6.1	5.5
F3	308	299.1	365	355	410.4	401.6	612.6	36.6	608.3	36.9	6.5	5.4	5.9	5.3
F4	291.2	280.3	353.9	345.3	403.9	392.9	606.1	35.7	601.4	36.1	7.5	5.5	6.5	5.8
F5	282	272.1	353.9	338.4	411.1	401.6	606	35.7	600.7	36.1	7.7	7.3	7.5	6.7
F6	291	276.2	358.3	356.2	411.9	396.1	608.6	36.1	603.6	36.4	9.2	4.6	6.9	6.2
F7	293.5	280.3	352.6	351.3	399.8	385.6	605.3	35.6	600.9	36	8.2	4	6.1	5.5
F8	301.6	288.3	361.6	360	409.3	395.1	610.6	36.3	606.1	36.6	8.3	4.1	6.2	5.6
F9	298.2	288.3	353.1	346.5	396.9	386.7	605.6	35.7	601.5	36	6.7	4.7	5.7	5.1
AVERAGE	294	282.4	355.5	348.3	405.9	393.7	607	35.8	603.3	36.3				
STD. DEV	7.9	8.6	5.2	8.2	5.7	131.4	3.1	.4	2.8	.3				

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: TAKEOFF/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

#### CENTERLINE

#### SIDELINE

	١	IIC #5		IIC #1		IC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
649	332.3	306	474.5	458.9	587.9	560.7	683.5	44	671	44.6	17.3	11.7	14.5	13.2
G50	410.6	383.4	534.8	530.6	633.9	604.9	726.7	47.4	715.1	47.9	16.7	8.6	12.7	11.5
651	432.6	398.5	579.3	578.8	696.3	659.6	760.1	49.7	745.8	50.2	20.1	9.3	14.9	13.6
652	407.6	376.9	564	550.7	688.7	656.6	748.4	48.9	733.4	49.5	19.5	12.1	15.9	14.5
653	413.7	384.7	584.3	559.7	720.3	690.9	763.8	49.9	747.3	50.5	19.6	14.9	17.3	15.8
654	393	367	520.6	511.9	622.3	595	716.3	46.6	704.5	47.1	16.4	9.6	13	11.8
AVERAGE	398.3	369.4	542.9	531.8	658.2	628	733.1	47.8	719.5	48.3				
STD. DEV	34.7	32.7	41.8	42.6	51.1	48.8	30.6	2.2	29.2	2.2				

#### TABLE F.8

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 9 DEGREE APPROACH/TARGET IAS=63 MPH

		RL		

#### SIDELINE

	1	11C #5	١	IC W1		IC #4	HI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
H18	302.2	286.8	384.9	375.9	451	435.1	624.7	38	618.2	38.5	10.3	6.9	8.6	7.7
H19	284.1	260.1	391.1	388.8	476.5	450.8	628.5	38.5	620.1	39.1	14.7	7.2	11	9.9
H20	298.7	NA	402.6	385.8	485.5	468.7	635.8	39.3	640.7	NA	NA	9.6	NA	9.6
H21	308.7	292.8	402.5	388.8	477.3	461.3	635.7	39.3	628.1	39.8	11	8.4	9.7	8.7
AVERAGE	298.4	279.9	395.3	384.8	472.6	454	631.2	38.8	626.8	39.1				
STD. DEV	10.4	17.4	8.8	6.1	15	14.6	5.5	.6	10.2	.7				

TABLE F.9

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=86 MPH

			CEN	TERLINE				SI	DELINE					
	1	IIC #5	1	IC #1	N	IC #4	MI	C #2	H1	C #3				REG.
	EST.		EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
M45	497	487.9	485.3	511.9	476	464.2	691.1	44.6	692.1	44.6	2.8	-5.4	-1.3	-1
M46	535.9	522.9	593.5	592.3	639.5	625.6	770.9	50.3	765.2	50.5	8	3.9	6	5.4
M47	551.2	545.4	541.6	559.7	534	526.3	731.7	47.7	732.6	47.7	1.7	-3.8	-1	8
M48	508	504.3	532.3	527.8	551.6	548	724.8	47.3	722.6	47.3	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.3
AVERAGE	523	515.1	538.2	547.9	550.3	541	729.6	47.5	728.1	47.5				
STD. DEV	24.9	24.7	44.3	35.6	67.7	66.6	32.7	2.3	30.1	2.4				

TABLE F.10

HELICOPTER: ASTAR

TEST DATE: 6-8-83

OPERATION: 500 FT.FLYOVER/TARGET IAS=143 MPH

			CEN	TERLINE				SIE	ELINE					
	M	IC #5	M	IC #1	н	IC #4	MI	C #2	MI	C #3				REG.
	EST.	ie en	EST.		EST.		EST.	ELEV	EST.	ELEV	ANG	ANG	ANG	C/D
EVENT NO		P-ALT.		P-ALT.	ALT.	P-ALT.	CPA	ANG	CPA	ANG	5-1	1-4	5-4	ANGLE
N41	573	571.4	563.9	572.3	556.7	554.3	748.4	48.9	749.3	48.9	.1	-2	-,9	7
N42	580.6	574.1	596.8	602.8	609.8	602.4	773.5	50.5	771.9	50.6	3.3	0	1.6	1.5
N43	573	571.4	557.2	569.1	544.5	541.9	743.3	48.6	744.8	48.5	2	-3.1	-1.6	-1.4
N44	576.5	578.2	555.5	562.8	538.7	539.9	742	48.5	744	48.4	-1.7	-2.6	-2.1	-1.9
AVERAGE	575.8	573.8	568.3	576.8	562.4	559.6	751.8	49.1	752.5	49.1				
STD. DEV	3.6	3.2	19.3	17.8	32.5	29.2	14.7	.9	13.1	1				

#### APPENDIX G

#### NWS Upper Air Meteorological Data

This appendix presents a summary of meteorological data gleaned from National Weather Service radiosonde (rawinsonde) weather balloon ascensions conducted at Sterling, VA. The data collection is further described in Section 5.4. Tables are identified by launch date and launch time. Within each table the following data are provided:

Time expressed first in Eastern Standard, then in

Eastern Daylight Time

Surface Height height of launch point with respect to sea level

Height height above ground level, expressed in feet

Pressure expressed in millibars

Temperature expressed in degrees centigrade

Relative expressed as a percent Humidity

Wind Direction the direction from which the wind is blowing

(in degrees)

Wind Speed expressed in knots

658 E	EST FLIGHT	11 # 1 7:58 EDT	EDT		
HEIGHT=	= 279 FT	-666- TSW	= MISSING DATA	ra La	
PR	PRESSURE	CT.	RELATIVE	MIND	WIND S
	MB	DEG C	HUMIDITY	DIRECTION	ON KTS
10	0.1001	17.8	89	340	100
٥	997.5		64	666-	666-
6	66266	17.4	62	666-	666-
6	990.3	17.3	29	333	8
6	8.986	17.3	26	338	1.6
0	983.3	17.5	53	343	17
6	8.6/6	17.7	51	352	14
0	976.4	17.8	49	356	15
6	972.9	17.8	48	358	15
6	969.4	17.8	47	4	13
6	0.996	17.7	47	9	14
6	962.6	17.5	47	9	16
6	959.2		47	S	17
0	955.7	17.2	48	8	17
0	952.3	17.0	48	8	17
6	948.9	16.8	48	11	15
0	945.5	16.6	48	6	18
٥	942.2	16.4	48	10	18
0	938.8	16.1	49	10	18
6	935.5	15.9	49	13	17
0	932.2	15.6	49	13	18
0	928.8	15.4	20	11	20
6	925.5	15,2	50	12	19
6	922.2	14.9	20	13	19
6	918.8	14.7	50	13	19
6	915.5	14.4	51	12	21
6	912.2	14.2	51	13	20
6	6.806	13.9	51	13	19
6	902.6	13.7	51	2	20
0	902.4	13.5	52	15	18
-	A 34.34.34	C 2. 4	CI	0,	40

ፍስጥ	MISSING DATA	RELATIVE WIND	HUMIDITY DIRECTION	62 340		666- 85	6-	57 22		348			51 356	51	20	50								51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58
HT # 2 8:29 FDT	MSL -99	TEMPERATURE R	DEG C H	18.9	18.7	18.2	17.8	17.6		17.4		17.3	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.5	17.2	16.9	10.0	16.4	16.1	7.01	15.6		14.7	14.4	14.1		13.5	13.2	12.9
29 EST FLIGHT	HEIGHT= 279 FT	PRESSURE	MB	1001.2	997.6	994.1	9.066	987.1	983.6	980.1	976.6	973.1	969.6	966.2	962.7	959.3		949.1		747.4	939.0	733.6		928.9	922.3	919.0	915.7	912.4	909.1	8.504	902.5
TIME: 729 E	CE	HEIGHT PR	FEET	0 10	100			400		6 009			8	2270	320						1800			2100		2400 9		2600 9		2800	

SURFACE	HEIGHT= 279	FT MSL -999=	= MISSING DATA	ΓA	
	30100	TEMPERATURE	RELATIVE	MIND	WIND SPEED
FEET	MB	DEG C	HUMIDITY	DIRECTION	KTS
	3.1001	20.0	26	350	9
100	997.8	19.6	52	666-	666-
200	994.2	19.3	20	666-	666-
200	7.066	19.0	51	316	14
400	987.2	18.8	51	345	10
200	983.7	18.5	51	80	8
200	6.080	18:2	52	Ø	8
200	976.7	18.0	52	352	11
000	973.3	17.8	51	341	15
000	6.646	17.7	27	339	16
1000	966.4	17.5	20	352	15
0011	963.0	17.3	49	8	12
2000	0.000	17.2	49	1	15
1300	956.1	-	48	7	16
1400	952.7	16.8	47	٥	16
1500	949.2	16.6	47	8	17
1600	945.8	16.4	46	٥	17
1700	942.5	16.0	47	8	16
800	939.1	15.7	47	9	17
1900		15.4	47	7	16
2000	932.4	15.0	48	מו	17
2100	929.0	14.8	49	9	17
2200	925.7	14.5	20	n	3
2300	922.3	14.2	21	2	19
0000	0.919	14.0	52	7	19
2500	915.7	13.8	52	H	19
2600	912.4	13.5	23	4	20
2700	909.1	13,3	53	വ	21
2800	905.8	13.1	54	S)	21
2900	902.6	12.9	54	O.	20
1		- Total - Tota	A	c	24

TABLE G.3

83

8

9

DATE:

TIME: 900	EST	FLIGHT # 4 10:00 EDT	TOE	П	100
SURFACE H	HEIGHT= 279	FT MSL -999=	- MISSING DATA	in	
HEIGHT	PRESSURE	TEMPERATURE	RELATIVE	UNIN	WIND SPEED
FEET	MB	DEG C	HUMIDITY	DIRECTION	
0	1001.6	21.7	53	340	7
100	998.1	20.9	49	666-	666-
200	994.6	20.4	50	666-	666-
300	991.1	20.0	51	19	9
400	987.6	19.6	21	349	000
200	984.1	19.3	51	349	) 0
909	980.7	18,9	51	350	. 0
200	977.2	18.6	51	355	. 0
800	973.7	18.2	51	4	. α
006	970.2	17.8	51	11	0 0
1000	8.996	17.5	51	18	0
1100	963.4	17.2	52	12	. 00
1200	0.096	17.0	25	2	α
1300	929.9	16.7	54	360	0 00
1400	953.2	16.4	52	9	8
1500	949.7	16.2	55	12	0
1600	946.3	15.9	25	1	0
1700	942.9	15.6	57	359	11
1800	939.5	15.4	58	354	14
1900	936.1	15.1	59	357	110
2000	932.7	14.8	09	2	10
2100	929.4	14.5	61	1	0
2200	926.0	14.2	62	360	. 6
2300	922.7	13.8	63	356	. 0
100	919.3	13,5	64	349	. α
200	916.0	13.2	65	348	00
2600	912.7	13.0	65	356	1.1
2700	909.4	12.8	64	360	i K
2800	906.2	12.6	64	360	13
2900	902.9	12.4	64	357	1.4
A 45 A 45					

			ED	S																														
			WIND SPEED		8	666-	666-	10	11	6		01	12	6	00	13	4	10	13	13	13	11	7	9	00	0.0	10	10	0.	11	12	10	10	10
		TA	WIND	DIRECT	330	666-	666-	320	326	332	333	332	333	360	354	336	336	343	338	343	342	347	358	2	339	351	341	348	343	332	338	343	351	359
	58 EDT	= MISSING DATA	RELATIVE	HUMIDITY	49	48	49	20	20	51	52	52	53	54	54	52	52	56	28	22	22	58	26	26	09	09	61	61	62	62	63	63	63	64
	HT # 5 10:58	I MSL -999=	TEMPERATURE	DEG C	21.8	21.4	21.0	20.7	20.4	20.1	19.8	19.4	19.1	18.8	18.4	18.0	17.71	17.3	17.0	16.7	16.4	16.1	15:7	15.4	15.1	14.8	14.5	14.2	13.9	13.7	13.5	13.4	13,3	***************************************
0	EST FLIGHT	HEIGHT= 279 FT	PRESSURE	MB	1002.0	998.5	995.0	991.5	0.886	984.6	1.189	9.226	974.1	970.7	967.3	963.8	960.4	957.0	953.6	950.2	946.9	943.5	940.1	936.8	9.33.4	930.0	926.7	923.3	919.9	916.6	913.3	910.1	8.906	903.5
DAIE: 0	TIME: 958	SURFACE HEI	HETGHT	FEET	0	100	200	300	400	200	600	200	800	006	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	2400	2500	2600	2700	2800	2900

SUKFACE H	HEIGHT= 279 F	FT MSL -999=	MISSING DATA	LIND	utan con
FEET	MB	DEG C	HUMIDITY	DIRECTION	MATINE
0	1002.2		49	330	7
100	.866	24.5	47	666-	666-
200	995.3	24.4	49	666-	666-
300	991,8	24.2	50	666-	666-
400	988.4	23.7	51	46	D.
200	984.9	23.3	52	343	9
909	981,5	22.9	23	329	10
200	978.1	22.5	0.4	327	25
800	974.7	21,8	52	352	12
006	971.3	21.4	10	359	88
1000	6.296		500	16	7
1100	964.5	20.5	50	349	_
1200	961.1	20.1	ดเก	338	10
1300	957.7	19.7	55	337	12
1400	954.3	19.2	56	334	1.4
1500	6.056	18.8	56	336	14
1600	947.5	18.4	57	345	12
1700	944.1	18.1	58	339	11
1800	940.8	17.9	28	333	13
1900	937.5	17.7	59	338	12
2000	934.1	17.5	29	343	٥
2100	8.056	17.3	90	340	6
2200	927.5	17.1	61	342	12
2300	924.1	16.9	61	340	8
2400		16.7	62	337	7
5200	917.5	16.5	62	336	13
2600	914.3	16.3	63	334	14
2700	911.1	16.1	64	333	M
2800	907.8	15.9	64	332	12
2900	904.6	15.6	65	331	11
TOOR	2000				

TABLE G.6

83

8

40

DATE:

#### APPENDIX H

#### NWS - IAD Surface Meteorological Data

This appendix presents a summary of meteorological data gleaned from measurements conducted by the National Weather Service Station at Dulles. Readings were noted evey 15 minutes during the test. The data acquisition is described in Section 5.5.

Within each table the following data are provided:

Time(EDT) time the measurement was taken, expressed in

Eastern Daylight Time

Barometric expressed in inches of mercury

pressure

Temperature expressed in degrees Fahrenheit and centigrade

Humidity relative, expressed as a percent

Wind Speed expressed in knots

Wind Direction direction from which the wind is moving

the body of the property of the party of the

and the second s

the second of th

the state of the s

a transplant problem

- Lag - L myself-tiped

The second secon

TABLE H.1

SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA (NWS)

DULLES AIRPORT*
LOCATION:
AS-350D AStar
HELICOPTER:
June 8, 1983
TEST DATE:

(170CHESA)         (4(18)         (78)	TIME	BAROMETRIC	gailtheaddMath	VITATATIA	40000	WIND
29.86       64(18)       78         29.86       65(18)       75         29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       68         29.89       71(22)       61         29.89       71(22)       61         29.89       71(22)       57         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	(EDT)	(INCHES)	°F(°C)	(%)	SPEED (MPH)	(DEGREES)
29.86       65(18)       75         29.87       66(20)       68         29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       68         29.87       70(21)       63         29.88       71(22)       61         29.89       71(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	07:49	29.86	64(18)	78	7	330
29.86       66(19)       73         29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       66         29.89       71(22)       61         29.89       71(22)       61         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	08:14	29.86	65(18)	75	7	320
29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       68         29.87       70(21)       63         29.88       71(22)       61         29.89       71(22)       61         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	08:29	29.86	66(19)	7.3	7	330
29.87       68(20)       68         29.87       69(20)       66         29.88       71(22)       61         29.88       71(22)       61         29.89       72(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	08:46	29.87	68(20)	68	7	320
29.87       69(20)       66         29.87       70(21)       63         29.88       71(22)       61         29.89       72(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       54         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	08:51	29.87	68(20)	68	88	320
29.87       70(21)       63         29.88       71(22)       61         29.88       71(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       72(22)       54         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	09:15	29.87	(90(50)	99	7	330
29.88       71(22)       61         29.88       71(22)       61         29.88       72(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	09:31	29.87	70(21)	63	9	350
29.88       71(22)       59         29.88       72(22)       57         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	60:42	29.88	71(22)	61	8	340
29.88       71(22)       59         29.89       72(22)       57         29.89       74(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	09:52	29.88	71(22)	61	8	350
29.88       72(22)       57       1         29.89       73(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       54         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	10:14	29.88	71(22)	59	6	330
29.89       72(22)       57       1         29.89       74(23)       54       1         29.89       74(23)       55       1         29.89       73(23)       55       1         29.89       74(23)       52       2         29.89       74(23)       52       2         29.89       74(23)       52       2         29.89       74(23)       52       2         29.89       74(23)       52       2	10:31	29.88	72(22)	57	7	360
29.89       73(23)       56         29.89       74(23)       55         29.89       73(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	10:46	29.89	72(22)	57	10	330
29.89       74(23)       54         29.89       73(23)       55         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	10:54	29.89	73(23)	95	7	350
29.89       74(23)       55       1         29.89       73(23)       55       1         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52	11:15	29.89	74(23)	54	9	360
29.89       73(23)       55       1         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       52         29.89       74(23)       51	11:30	29.89	74(23)	55	12	290
29.89     74(23)     55       29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     51	11:48	29.89	73(23)	55	10	320
29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     52       74(23)     52	12:05	29.89	73(23)	55	80	340
29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     52       29.89     74(23)     51	12:20	29.89	74(23)	52	7	350
29.89 74(23) 52 29.89 74(23) 51	12:35	29.89	74(23)	52	6	330
29.89 74(23) 51	12:50	29.89	74(23)	52	7	310
	1:05	29.89	74(23)	51	œ	310

<sup>\*</sup>Sensors located approximately 2 miles east of measurement array

TABLE H.2

# SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA (NWS)

TEST DATE:	June 8, 1983	HELICOPTER:	HELICOPTER: AS-350D AStar (CONT)	LOCATION:	LOCATION: DULLES AIRPORT*
TIME (EDT)	BAROMETRIC PRESSURE (INCHES)	TEMPERATURE °F(°C)	HUMIDITY (%)	SPEED (MPH)	WIND DIRECTION (DEGREES)
1:20	29.89	75(24)	70	7	300
1.35	29.89	75(24)	50	9	310
1:50	29.89	76(24)	50	10	310
2:05	29.88	75(24)	920	7	300
2:20	29.88	77 (25)	67	4	310
2:35	29.88	77 (25)	64	7	300

#### APPENDIX I

#### On-Site Meteorological Data

This appendix presents a summary of meteorological data collected on-site by TSC personnel using a climatronics model EWS weather system. The anemometer and temperature sensor were located 5 feet above ground level at noise site 4. The data collection is further described in Section 5.5.

Within each table, the following data are provided:

Time(EDT) expressed in Eastern Daylight Time

Temperature expressed in degrees Fahrenheit and centigrade

Humidity expressed as a percent

Windspeed expressed in knots

Wind Direction direction from which the wind is blowing

Remarks observations concerning cloud cover and visibility

TABLE I.1

# SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA

TIME (EDT)	TEMPERATURE °F(°C)	HUMIDITY (%)	WINDSPEED AVG R (MPH) (1	ED RANGE (MPH)	WIND DIRECTION (DEGREES)	REMARKS
06:30	54(12)	06				East-cloudy, west-light haze
96:45	62(17)	78				very wet ground
00:20	72(22)	76				
07:15	80(27)	72				
07:30	82(28)	68				
07:45	80(27)	61				
08:00	80(27)	58				
08:15	82(28)	56				
08:30	82(28)	56				
08:45	82(28)	55				
00:60	82(28)	55				Sunny, few clouds
09:15	82(28)	42				dry grass, wet ground
06:30	82(28),	40				
09:45	82(28)	42				
10:00	80(27)	75				Sunny, few clouds
10:15	79(26)	42				dry grass, wet ground
10:30	78(25)	42				
10:45	81(27)	41				

SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA

TEST DATE:	June 8, 1983		HELICOPTER: AS-350D AStar (CONT)	AS-350D AS	tar (CONT)	LOCATION: DULLES, SITE #4*
TIME (EDT)	TEMPERATURE °F(°C)	HUMIDITY (%)	WINDSPEED AVG RU (MPH) (1	EED RANGE (MPH)	WIND DIRECTION (DEGREES)	REMARKS
11:00	82(28)	940				
1:15	83(28)	40				
11:30	83(28)	40				
1:45	83(28)	04				
2:00	84(29)	04				